1	
2	
3	
4	SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE THE
5	JANUARY 6TH ATTACK ON THE U.S. CAPITOL,
6	U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
7	WASHINGTON, D.C.
8	
9	
10	
11	INTERVIEW OF: PASQUALE ANTHONY "PAT" CIPOLLONE
12	
13	
14	
15	Friday, July 8, 2022
16	
17	Washington, D.C.
18	
19	
20	The interview in the above matter was held in Room 5480, O'Neill House Office
21	Building, commencing at 9:06 a.m.
22	Present: Representatives Thompson, Luria, Aguilar, Schiff, Lofgren, Murphy,
23	Raskin, Cheney, and Kinzinger.

1	
2	Appearances:
3	
4	
5	For the SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE
6	THE JANUARY 6TH ATTACK ON THE U.S. CAPITOL:
7	
8	DEPUTY STAFF DIRECTOR
9	SENIOR COUNSEL
10	PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER
11	SENIOR INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
12	CHIEF INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
13	CONTRACTOR
14	INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
15	SENIOR COUNSELOR TO THE VICE CHAIR
16	CHIEF CLERK
17	CONTRACTOR
18	PARLIAMENTARIAN
19	INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
20	PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER
21	
22	
23	For the COMMITTEE ON HOUSE ADMINISTRATION:
24	
25	COUNSEL

2 For PASQUALE ANTHONY "PAT" CIPOLLONE:

- 4 MICHAEL PURPURA, HUESTON HENNIGAN
- 5 TARA HELFMAN, ELLIS GEORGE CIPOLLONE O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY LLP

1	
2	Good morning.
3	Mr. Cipollone. Good morning.
4	This is a transcribed interview of former White House Counsel
5	Pasquale Cipollone conducted by the House Select Committee to Investigate the January
6	6th Attack on the United States Capitol pursuant to House Resolution 503.
7	Mr. Cipollone, could you please state your full name, spell your last name for the
8	record?
9	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Pasquale Anthony Cipollone, C-i-p-o-l-l-o-n-e.
10	Counsel for Mr. Cipollone, could you please identify yourself and
11	spell your last name for the record?
12	Mr. Purpura. Yes. Michael Purpura from the law firm of Hueston Hennigan.
13	Michael Purpura, P-u-r-p-u-r-a.
14	And you are joined by Tara.
15	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> Tara Helfman.
16	Ms. <u>Helfman.</u> Tara Helfman.
17	Got it. Great.
18	My name is the select. I'm the chief investigative counsel for the select
19	committee.
20	In the room with me today from the select committee are
21	chief counsel; who is the senior counsel and counsel to the vice chair;
22	, who are investigative counsel; and and and all also
23	staff to the select committee.
24	Several members of the select committee I believe have joined us remotely.
25	think the vice chair, Ms. Cheney, is present. Ms. Luria and Ms. Lofgren are also present

1	and will participate remotely.
2	This will be a staff-led interview. Members of the committee may also
3	participate and may choose to ask questions. While the interview is taking place in
4	person, we have established a link for the virtual participation via Webex. I'll try my
5	best, Mr. Cipollone, to indicate when members of the committee join remotely.
6	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Okay.
7	There is an official reporter who is transcribing the record of the
8	interview. Please wait until each question is complete before you begin your response,
9	and we will try to wait until your response is complete before we ask the next question.
10	The stenographer cannot record nonverbal responses, such as shaking your head,
11	so it's important that you answer each question with an audible verbal response. I'm
12	sure your experienced counsel has given you the same direction.
13	Mr. Purpura. And Mr. Cipollone himself is experienced counsel.
14	[Laughter.]
15	Exactly.
16	Mr. Cipollone. I will give audible verbal responses.
17	Thank you.
18	We ask that you provide complete answers based on your best recollection. If
19	the question isn't clear, then please just ask for clarification. If you don't know the
20	answer, obviously then just say so.
21	I want to remind you and this is an admonition that we give to all
22	witnesses that it is unlawful to deliberately provide false information to Congress and
23	doing so could be a violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001 or other statutes.
24	Do you understand all of that, Mr. Cipollone?
25	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Yes, I do.

1	Okay. I want to start by making clear on the record that your
2	appearance here is voluntary, that the select committee did issue originally a subpoena
3	for your testimony, though we are now withdrawing the subpoena given your willingness
4	to appear for the transcribed interview today which we appreciate.
5	I also want to make clear that the select committee has had discussions with the
6	White House Counsel's Office about your testimony, specifically to ascertain the current
7	White House position with respect to the possible application of an executive privilege.
8	We understand that you and your counsel have also had discussions with the White
9	House counsel.
10	Based on those discussions, the White House has indicated that given the
11	significance of the topics to be discussed and the importance of congressional oversight
12	into the January 6th attack on the Capitol, an assertion of executive privilege would not in
13	their view be appropriate.
14	I understand Mr. Raskin has now joined us as well.
15	Mr. Cipollone, we understand that you might have you and your counsel might
16	have a few opening remarks. We want to give you a chance to proceed with those at
17	this point.
18	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> Yes. Thank you, And thank you for the courtesies
19	you've shown to Mr. Cipollone throughout this process along with every member of your
20	committee, and more and in particular.
21	I appreciate your acknowledgment that we are here voluntarily, not under
22	compulsion. I also appreciate your acknowledgment of the discussions that each side
23	has had with the current White House counsel. We've also had discussions with counsel
24	for the former President.
25	The topics that Mr. Cipollone has been permitted to speak about are ones that

you well know and we've had multiple discussions about those. And we've gotten the recent correspondence from the current White House as well. We understand that the topics that you will be covering are within those areas.

We also acknowledge of course and hopefully you'll acknowledge for us that Mr. Cipollone has been fully cooperative throughout the several months of discussions that we've had with your staff, including an April informal interview which he provided to you as an accommodation and part of this continuing process.

You also understand from our many discussions that Mr. Cipollone will not speak about Presidential -- direct Presidential communications as those are privileged. And that's of course important to the rule of law, important to the institutional separation of powers, and of course very important to Mr. Cipollone as an attorney.

Finally, you mentioned about recollections. Of course everyone in this room understands that these events occurred 18-plus months ago. You also understand the pressures and stresses and activities that occur in a job as significant as counsel to the President of the United States. Mr. Cipollone's recollections will be his very best, but there may be areas where his recollections have diminished or he simply doesn't remember.

But of course, as always, given who he is, the character he has, he will do his best to speak truthfully and answer your questions to the best of his ability within those bounds.

I appreciate that.

Let me just repeat what you said, Mr. Purpura, about the previous cooperation Mr. Cipollone has engaged with the select committee. Over time we did have an informal interview. I think it was in April. And we've actually used a bit of that information thus far in our public hearings, which we appreciate. We see this as a sort

of a continued next step in that cooperation.

And with respect to privilege, I understand the line that you have indicated in advance you'll draw. Our intention today is to frame questions, complete record of questions, and give you a chance to articulate that.

Given the line that you have indicated, I will not force repetitious assertions. I understand that the line that you will draw with respect to privilege is direct Presidential communications. But we need a record to make sure that we understand exactly which questions generate that objection.

I think we also have now Mr. Schiff and Mr. Kinzinger, who have joined as members of the select committee. You are drawing almost the entire committee at this point. I think six or seven of them are on.

We will march through the topics today. And, again, I appreciate the preview of that, and I think that will make the interview more expeditious, knowing that in advance.

Mr. Cipollone, do you have anything yourself that you wanted to say?

Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I don't have much to say. To start out with, I agree with everything my counsel said obviously. I also would like to thank you, and and and for the professional courtesy you've shown me for your understanding of my view and others' view of the privilege.

Those privileges are important, in my view, to the effective functioning of the executive branch, to the effective functioning of the Presidency, and also to my role as a lawyer, and, frankly, as well, to my conscience.

And so, I appreciate your understanding that you -- they are not my privileges to waive, they do not belong to me. And I also want to make that clear.

But with that said, I'm here to testify truthfully, consistent with those privileges, and to continuing cooperation with the committee to the best of my recollection and

1	ability.
2	All right. I appreciate that.
3	Mr. Cipollone. And also good morning to the members of the committee who
4	are on by Webex.
5	EXAMINATION
6	
7	Q All right. Let's jump right into it then. I want to start with the election.
8	The select committee has developed evidence from numerous lawyers and other
9	experts regarding the results of the 2020 Presidential election. These witnesses have
LO	indicated that over the course of the post-election period they evaluated claims and
11	allegations of election fraud and found no evidence of systemic fraud sufficient to
12	undermine the outcome of the election in any State.
L3	In our public hearings thus far we have put on evidence from eight lawyers and
L4	one former Federal judge: Matt Morgan, Bill Barr, Jeff Rosen, Rich Donoghue, Greg
L5	Jacob, Ben Ginsberg, Eric Herschmann, Alex Cannon, and Judge Michael Luttig.
L6	The evidence about these investigations of election fraud has come from the
L7	President's campaign staff, including its general counsel, who looked closely at allegations
18	of fraud and irregularities in election processes, determined that there was insufficient
L9	evidence of fraud to put any State's results into question. Matt Morgan in particular
20	indicated that it was everyone's assessment in the room that it was not none of that
21	evidence was sufficient to be outcome determinative.
22	This evidence has also come from the President's own appointees at the
23	Department of Justice who evaluated numerous claims of election fraud. Former

Attorney General Barr has said, "Before I left, I made it clear publicly that I did not believe

the outcome of the election or I could find no evidence indicating the outcome of the

24

election was caused by voting fraud. And I repeatedly told the President in no uncertain terms that I did not see evidence of fraud."

I want to start by asking you if you agree, Mr. Cipollone, with the conclusions of Matt Morgan, of Bill Barr, of all of the individuals who evaluated those claims that there was no evidence of election fraud sufficient to undermine the outcome in any particular State.

- 7 A Yes, I agree with that.
- 8 Q Did Donald Trump lose his campaign for reelection in 2020?
- 9 A Yes.

- 10 Q When did you determine -- when after the election did you sort of reach that
 11 conclusion that there was no systemic --
- 12 A Well, I mean -- I'm sorry to interrupt.
- 13 Q No.

A Just to be clear, it wasn't really my role to investigate allegations of fraud as the White House counsel. Obviously I became aware of them, these various allegations. And clearly candidates have a right to litigate issues related to elections. That happens every election season, before elections, after the elections. That's their right, to go to court.

That wasn't my role, obviously. But to the extent that I was there and heard about these claims, looked at them.

But I really was relied on for the most part and supported to the best of my ability the Department of Justice. Attorney General Barr is a man of character. I think the country was lucky to have Attorney General Barr in that role. I came to Washington as a young man, as a young lawyer, to work for Attorney General Barr, and I've had a long relationship with him. So I was in agreement with his conclusions.

1	But the investigation obviously was done at the Department of Justice. The
2	President's own counsel, outside counsel for the campaign, you know, various lawyers
3	were looking at all these issues.
4	When I made a conclusion, I can't really remember. You know, obviously as we
5	got along the time continuum and things were happening in terms of certification and
6	things like that then obviously, I would say the point is I don't remember when I
7	reached the conclusion. In a way, it wasn't my conclusion to reach.
8	But I agree wholeheartedly with the conclusion of Attorney General Barr and I also
9	agree wholeheartedly with conclusions of the very good lawyers that you referenced.
10	Q I see. Attorney General Barr made a public announcement on December
11	1st, less than a month after the election, that he had seen no systemic fraud sufficient to
12	undermine the outcome. Is it fair to say that by December 1st you had reached the
13	same conclusion?
14	A It's fair to say that I agreed with Attorney General Barr's conclusion on
15	December 1st. Yes, I did, and I supported that conclusion.
16	Q Okay. I see Ms. Murphy has now also joined us, another member of the
17	committee.
18	Mr. Cipollone. Good morning.
19	Did you tell the President that he had lost the election?
20	Mr. Purpura. Objection.
21	BY
22	Q Is it fair to say
23	A And just so I can speak.
24	Q Go ahead.
25	A My understanding is, at least with respect to our agreement and your

1	understanding of our agreement, I'm not going to discuss any communications that I had
2	with the President or conversations that might reflect those communications. And
3	that's my current understanding of what the privilege assertions have been.
4	And consistent with that, I'll answer your questions as best I can. To the extent
5	my opinions reflect what I told the President, I will, you know, I will give you my opinion
6	in the best way that I can consistent with my view of the privileges that have been
7	asserted.
8	Q I understand.
9	A And the directions that I have been given.
10	Q Okay. Is it fair to say that the President knew of your belief that the
11	election had not been stolen?
12	Mr. Purpura. I think that's the same objection.
13	BY
14	Q Did you believe, Mr. Cipollone, that the President should concede, once you
15	made a determination based on the investigations that you credited DOJ did, that the
16	campaign did, did you in your mind form a belief that the President should concede the
17	election loss at a certain point after the election?
18	A Well, again, I was the White House counsel. Some of those decisions are
19	political. So to the extent that but if your question is did I believe he should concede
20	the election at a point in time, yes, I did.
21	Q And, again, roughly when did you reach that conclusion?
22	A Again, looking back on it, I don't remember exactly when I reached the
23	conclusion. And my conclusion was kind of regardless of his personal beliefs in terms of
24	you know, what I thought would be the appropriate
25	Q Yeah. I see.

1 A -- action.

Q We presented in a recent hearing some testimony from Bill Stepien about a meeting that he participated in with the President in which he and Leader McCarthy represented to the President that he should encourage rather than discourage his supporters to vote by mail in 2020. Mr. Stepien testified that the President's mind was made up and the President continued to undermine public confidence in mail-in voting during the campaign.

Did you have any discussions with Leader McCarthy or others about mail-in voting, the reliability of mail-in voting, and what the President should say about that?

A Again, this was an issue that was primarily for the campaign and not for the White House counsel. I probably did have conversations -- without getting into what I talked to the President or who I talked about -- I probably did have conversations related to that issue.

I think there were some issues, without regard to those conversations, obviously one issue, one legal issue that was looked at I believe and litigated prior to the campaign and I believe after the campaign. Of course I wasn't in charge of that litigation, but was aware of some of it.

You know, one issue is who decides at the State level, you know, whether mail-in voting can be used, is it the legislature, is it the executive, is it election officials? Those issues are legitimate issues that ought to be litigated.

With respect to the political decision about whether to encourage or discourage mail-in voting, again, not my lane.

Q Not your role.

A But I had a view. But my view -- I would just rely on the political advisers on that.

1	Q Yes.
2	A Did I express a view? Yes, I did. But that view is irrelevant. I think it's
3	irrelevant to what you're asking me.
4	Q Yeah. You have told us before in the informal interviews that the President
5	after the election began to rely on a number of outside voices, lawyers and others.
6	Did you have frustration with the fact that the President was relying on lawyers
7	outside of you and your team on election-related issues?
8	A Well, the President wouldn't rely on the White House counsel on
9	election-related issues. The President would typically in other words, that were
LO	unrelated to institutional issues. Could I, you know, understand those, be aware of
l1	them, be involved in them, to the extent appropriate? Yes.
L2	But those issues were handled by outside campaign lawyers. I thought that Matt
L3	Morgan was a very able and good lawyer. I had worked with him when he was counsel
L4	to the Vice President. He actually worked closely with the White House Counsel's Office
L5	and the team that we had put together in the White House Counsel's Office. I had
L6	confidence in Matt.
L7	Justin Clark as well was counsel to the campaign. And I think there were other
L8	lawyers involved with them. And then as time went on, other voices became involved
L9	as outside lawyers and were litigating those cases, and I think that is publicly known.
20	Q Yeah, it is. And my question is, did you have concerns about those outside
21	lawyers that came in to litigate the cases and were providing the President advice?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Tell me more about those concerns. What were they?
24	A Well, again, I don't think the President, you know, particularly over time, was

well served by the advice he was receiving by some of those lawyers.

1	Q Which lawyers? And why was
2	A And, again, without getting into naming, you know, all the names, and I may
3	forget some of the names because I'm looking back and thinking, obviously there were
4	lawyers like Sidney Powell, who I did not believe particularly over time in some of the
5	meetings I was involved in was serving the President well. And there were a number of
6	lawyers in that group.
7	Q Yeah. Mr. Giuliani, was he in that category that was not serving the
8	President well?
9	A Again, with respect to Mr. Giuliani, you know, I had had a lot of respect for
10	Mr. Giuliani as mayor of New York and as a very capable lawyer. I think some of the
11	things he was doing with that team of lawyers I did not agree with.
12	There were times where Mr. Giuliani did play a positive role, and I'm
13	thinking and while I don't think this is one of the topics that I'm approved to talk about,
14	I think in that meeting, I believe on December 18th, the 6-hour meeting
15	Q Yes.
16	A I think on some of the issues that were being raised by others, Mr. Giuliani
17	played a positive role. So
18	Q Yeah.
19	A There were other lawyers, like Jenna Ellis, who were involved.
20	But, look, I'm not here to be uncharitable or to besmirch other people in any way.
21	But, no, I did not agree whatsoever with the way they were conducting themselves.
22	And then with respect to the whole election fraud issue, okay, to me it's sort of if
23	you're going to make those kind of claims and people were open to them early on
24	because people were making all sorts of claims. And the real question is show the

evidence. Okay.

1	And over time people were making as time went on into December, into late
2	December, for example that meeting on December 18th, there was a real question in my
3	mind and a real concern, you know, particularly after the Attorney General had reached a
4	conclusion that there wasn't sufficient election fraud to change the outcome of the
5	election when other people kept suggesting that there was, the answer is, what is it?
6	And at some point you have to put up or shut up. That was my view.
7	Q A lot of the claims that continued to be made through December and
8	beyond, all the way up to the morning of January 6th, were specific claims the
9	Department of Justice had looked into and had rebutted. Did that cause you frustration
10	when those claims
11	A I don't think frustration is the I don't view that obviously it caused me
12	concern. And, again, without suggesting or reflecting any conversations with the
13	President, you're asking my opinion?
14	Q Yes.
15	A My opinion is this: that the people at the Department of Justice were
16	extremely good and talented people. I had throughout my tenure worked as hard as I
17	can to support the Department of Justice, to protect their prerogatives as the counsel.
18	They obviously had a different role than the White House counsel. I had worked
19	very closely obviously with Bill Barr. Jeff Rosen I've known for a long time. We were
20	law partners together. He is a man of integrity. He is an incredibly talented lawyer.
21	Rich Donoghue, again, had a very good relationship with Mr. Donoghue. Mr.
22	Donoghue is a man of integrity.
23	Steve Engel I had worked with in the past as a lawyer again. And he was the
24	head of OLC, so he obviously was involved in working with us and working with Mike
25	when we needed legal advice and legal opinions, many of which are published. He is an

incredibly talented lawyer.

These are -- I'm leaving people out -- but these are all people of character. In my own staff at that point Mike had gone on to a new job, but the lawyers on my staff same thing.

Pat Philbin in particular who, you know, handled a lot of the legal questions sat right next to me, very, very positive force in all this. Relied on him to look at legal issues to the extent we needed to and he was involved.

So, yes, I trusted those people. I believed those people. I believe they looked at claims to the extent they needed to be looked at claims -- they needed to look at them.

In fact, Attorney General Barr got criticized early on by saying -- because he said that they were going to look at election fraud issues.

So he got -- and this is the one thing about Attorney General Barr. I mean he gets criticized and he got criticized in his tenure from various -- and by the way, I'm not a politician. Obviously, I'm a lawyer and I don't -- but from people on all sides of political issues. Okay. And Bill Barr did the right thing time after time.

I think he did the right thing here. I think he looked at the issues. He had the Department look at credible issues of fraud. And he made a determination that there wasn't sufficient evidence of fraud. He told the American people that. I supported that.

Q Okay. Bill Stepien, who was the campaign manager, testified before the select committee that there were effectively two teams of lawyers. There was what he called "team normal," and then that included Matt Morgan and Justin Clark and the lawyers you mentioned before that were on the campaign team prior to the election; and then there was another team, and that that other team sort of stepped in and took over in the post-election period at the President's direction.

1	Is that a fair characterization of how you sort of saw the differential quality of
2	legal advice that was going to the President?

Again, without getting into characterizations or, you know, nomenclature of Α particular teams, there was a group of people, and I think a lot of people in the White House agreed with this, that were not serving the President well. They were not serving the President well. They were promoting ideas that were wrong. And, yes, there was that group of people.

Yeah. And we're going to get into some of those specific ideas.

Last question on this. You mentioned Mr. Philbin, who was your deputy, your principal deputy.

He was deputy White House counsel. I mean, one thing that, you know, when I was honored to have this job, you know, and I was very, very lucky to have people like Mike Purpura, Pat Philbin, Kate Todd, just incredible lawyers, Tara Helfman, a large group of lawyers who came in to help support my efforts.

And so, yes, Pat Philbin was one of them. I think he was the first one who sent me an email when he heard publicly that I was being considered for the job.

As I told you in the interview, I recommended someone else for the job who was already in the White House. But ultimately when the President asked me do the job, I was honored to take it. And I was honored to work in the Trump administration with the President and with a lot of talented people that I believe did a lot of good for America. So I was honored do that. And Pat Philbin emailed me and he ended up coming in.

Q Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pat Philbin is a very, very talented lawyer, clerked on the Supreme Court, had worked in the Department of Justice, had been my partner at Kirkland & Ellis. So he

1	was there.	And particularly through the end of the administration in those days he was
2	right there v	with me and he was very important to the process of the transition.
3	Q	Pat Philbin, when we interviewed him informally, told the select committee
4	that he felt	that the outside lawyers, including Rudy Giuliani, Sidney Powell, Jenna Ellis,
5	and John Ea	stman, were giving bad advice to the President and that had ramifications for
6	the whole c	ountry. Do you agree with that assessment?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	Attorney General Barr, who has also interviewed with the select committee,
9	described th	ne outside lawyers advising the President as a clown car. Do you agree with
10	that assessr	ment?
11	Α	Again, without getting into pejorative statements, I don't disagree with that
12	characteriza	ation. Let's put it that way.
13	Q	Yeah. Mr. Barr told us that the President when he confronted the
14	President w	ith his opinion of the fact that these lawyers were a clown car, the President
15	indicated th	at "at least Rudy fights for me," and he referenced the willingness to fight as
16	sort of the o	criteria that made him trust them.
17	Did	you sense anything with respect to the willingness to fight or the ability to
18	continue to	press on with these claims as the reason why the President listened to that
19	advice?	
20	Α	Again, I am not going to talk about conversations I had with the President or
21	speculate al	bout what he may or may not have been thinking.
22	Q	All right. Let me ask you a little bit more about Attorney General Barr and
23	the work th	at the Department of Justice were doing.

There were a lot of meetings that Attorney General Barr told us about where he

and other officials at the Department of Justice were explaining to the President that they

24

1	had looked	into these election fraud issues and were not finding them to be meritorious
2	ls it	fair to say that you sort of supported and reinforced to everyone in the White
3	House faith	in the Department of Justice, faith in Attorney General Barr, faith in the
4	conclusions	s that they had reached?
5	А	Yes. And not just at this point in time, but throughout my tenure. I
6	reinforced	faith in the Department of Justice and the importance of the work of the
7	Departmen	t of Justice and defending the prerogatives of the Department of Justice as
8	best I could	l.
9	Q	Okay. And, Mr. Cipollone, were you vocal about that? Did you express
LO	that faith, t	hat belief, and essentially vouch for the process and the conclusions that
l1	Attorney G	eneral Barr and Justice were reaching with respect to those investigations?
L2	А	Was I vocal?
L3	Q	Yes.
L4	Α	Yes, I was quite vocal.
L5	Q	And, again, I understand you will not share with us your direct
L6	communica	ations with the President. But fair to say that the President and everyone
L7	knew how	you felt about the Department of Justice?
L8	Α	Again, without talking about what I said to the President and let me put it
L9	to you this	way. Let me take it back to the beginning of things
20	Q	Yeah.
21	Α	from when I started as the counsel. Okay.
22	l fel	t that what the President wanted from me was my best advice and my
23	unvarnishe	d opinion. He wanted that from everybody. He solicited different opinions
24	Then he ma	ade decisions. And that's what I felt I owed him. And I owed him the best

opinion I could give him. I tried to give it directly for the most part, not in large groups

1	of people, but I gave it to him. And I think he appreciated it. And that was my job, and
2	that's what I did throughout my tenure.
3	Q Yeah. Attorney General Barr told us that on November the 23rd, you
4	actually suggested that he come to the White House, that he hadn't met with the
5	President since the election, and that there was a meeting with him in the White House
6	on November 23rd. Do you recall anything specific about that meeting?
7	A Can you give me you know, because there were so many meetings, and
8	Bill, Attorney General Barr, would come to my we had a weekly lunch. We were in
9	very regular communication. And so, I'm trying to remember. There were so many
10	meetings that have been talked about. Tell me what happened at this meeting and so I
11	can tell you if I was there. I probably was.
12	Q Sure. Let me just tell you read you what Attorney General Barr told the
13	select committee.
14	"So on November 23rd, I hadn't spoken to the President since the election. And
15	in fact I said since the middle of October roughly and it was a little it was getting
16	awkward, because obviously he had lost the election and I hadn't said anything to him.
17	And so Cipollone said, 'You know, I think it's time you come over here.' So I came over
18	to meet with the President in the Oval Office and Meadows and Cipollone were there."
19	He went on to describe the discussion about various theories of election fraud and
20	what the Department of Justice was doing.
21	Do you recall that discussion?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Tell us what you recall about the meeting with Attorney General

Again, that's a meeting that was with the President, so that's not something

that I'm going talk about, because I haven't been authorized to talk about that.

Α

24

1 Q Okay.

- A I would say as a general matter, as I have said repeatedly already today, I was very supportive of the Department of Justice in general and Attorney General Barr in particular. I would say our thinking was quite aligned. We had reached conclusions separately on many things, but certainly I was informed by the work that they were doing to look at particular claims of election fraud.
- 7 Q Yeah.
 - A And, again, I think even Attorney General Barr would say that while there may have been specific instances of fraud, there wasn't sufficient fraud to change the outcome of the election.
 - Q Yeah. As early as that November 23rd meeting, we understand that there was discussion about the President possibly conceding the election. And specifically, we understand that Mark Meadows assured both you and Attorney General Barr that the President would eventually agree to a graceful exit.
- 15 Do you remember Mr. Meadows making any such representation?
 - A Are you saying as part of that meeting or separately? Again, without getting into that meeting, I would say that that is a statement and a sentiment that I heard from Mark Meadows.
 - Q I see. And, again, do you know if it was on November 23rd or some point?
- A Again, it was probably, you know, around that time.
- 21 Q Yeah.
- A And it was probably subsequent to that time. It wasn't a one-time statement.
 - Q Tell me more about that, of your discussions with Mark Meadows, separate from the meeting with the President, about his views that it was time for the President to

1	concede or	moving toward a graceful exit.
2	А	Again, and I can only discuss, you know, my interaction with Mr. Meadows.
3	Q	Yeah.
4	А	My general interaction with Mr. Meadows prior to the election had been
5	very good.	He had been supportive of the White House Counsel's Office. We had
6	worked tog	ether on things, in particular the nomination of a Supreme Court Justice.
7	And	so, I had a what I thought to be a good relationship with Mr. Meadows.
8	could be ca	ndid with him. And I think that sentiment was expressed to me by
9	Mr. Meado	ws, the President would ultimately concede the election or at least recognize
10	that the ele	ction was over and that Joe Biden was going to be the President and leave
11	gracefully.	
12	Q	Okay. And did Mr. Meadows maintain that view at least in conversations
13	with you all	the way through the end of the administration or did that evolve over time?
14	А	Look, I don't remember all of the conversations, that Mr. Meadows, you
15	know, was l	naving conversations with a lot of people, you know, some of which I'm finding
16	out about n	ow, or recently.
17	So, y	you know, again. But whenever you know, and obviously sentiments can
18	change ove	r time. But I think as a general matter, without getting into specifics because
19	I can't recal	l a lot of the specifics, but directionally if what you're saying, was Mr.
20	Meadows h	opeful that at the end of the day, after all of the challenges were adjudicated

Q Okay. And, again, I understand you can only talk about the conversations you had with Meadows, not everything else that he did.

appropriately after the election was certified that things -- that there would be a graceful

transition, I believe he continued to believe that based on what he told me.

21

22

23

24

25

A Now, was he, you know, supportive of looking into claims of election fraud?

- 1 Yes, he was.
- 2 Q Yeah. I guess my question is, did his perspective on Trump would
- 3 eventually concede and agree to a graceful exit change over time or did you hear him
- 4 express contrary views after those initial discussions?
- 5 A That he should?
- 6 Q Yes.
- 7 A Or that he --
- 8 Q Well, either. Could or --
- A I don't think again -- and I'm trying to think back because we have had lots of
- conversations with Mark Meadows, and so I want to be obviously to the best of my
- 11 recollection, you know.
- Did he think that -- did his hope -- was his hope that that would happen
- consistent? Yes. Did he think he should ultimately do that? Yes. And I think he
- thought, although there was a lot of activity that I assume you're going to get into, but I
- think -- I think he thought -- and, again, you're asking me what I thought he -- was in his
- 16 mind. I can't say that.
- 17 Q I'm just asking about your interaction with him.
- 18 A My general sense was that he thought that at the end of the day there
- 19 would be a transition.
- 20 Q Okay. The next time that you met with Attorney General Barr was
- 21 December 1st. That was the day on which he made the public statement to AP about
- the belief that there was no widespread fraud.
- Do you remember seeing Attorney General Barr, meeting with him in the White
- 24 House after that statement was reported?
- 25 A I'm not sure if that was the next time I met with Attorney General Barr. I

- 1 may have met with him between those times. I certainly spoke to him.
- 2 Q Okay.
- A I remember that day. I remember -- I think he was just coming over to
- 4 meet with me and we were going to talk, you know. We may have been meeting with
- 5 Mark Meadows. But, yeah, I remember he was in my office.
- 6 Q Yeah. I believe Chairman Thompson has now joined us as well.
- 7 Mr. Cipollone. Good morning, Chairman Thompson.

1	
2	BY
3	Q Tell us what you remember, Mr. Cipollone, about your interactions with
4	Attorney General Barr on December 1st.
5	A Well, he had made the public statement. It had gotten you know, it was
6	public.
7	Q Yeah.
8	A And I remember we were in my office. We were talking. And I can't
9	remember if we were in my office or maybe walking to Mark's office. I know we spent a
LO	little time in Mark's office as I recall. And I remember we got a call or one of I think
l1	my assistant or someone, you know, they had heard that Attorney General Barr was
L2	meeting with me and that they said the President wanted to see him.
L3	Q Okay.
L4	A So we walked down to the Oval Office together. I believe Mark Meadows
L5	came with us. I don't know if we got that indication again in Mark's office or on the way
L6	to Mark's office or in my office.
L7	Somehow it became known that Attorney General Barr was in the White House
L8	meeting with me. And that was the day that he had made the public statement and the
L9	President asked to see him.
20	Q Yeah. Attorney General Barr has told us about that meeting, about the
21	discussion with the President where the President was angry at him about his public
22	statement. Do you recall that discussion?
23	A I recall that meeting. I believe that's a meeting that falls within the
24	category that I'm not I've not been directed or, again, that the privilege has been
25	invoked with respect to me.

1	Q	Yes.
2	Α	So, you know, to the extent that you're asking me questions about what the
3	President sa	aid or did during that meeting, I'm not in a position to talk about that at this
4	time.	
5	Q	I understand that. I guess I'm just asking you whether or not the President
6	was angry.	
7	Mr.	Purpura. I think, you know, that reflects a communication. We want to be
8	very carefu	with that spoken or unspoken communication.
9	l thi	nk maybe, certainly Mr. Barr's talked about that meeting and
10	there may b	be ways to ask Mr. Cipollone questions about his statements and recollection
11	as to wheth	er Mr. Cipollone agrees or disagrees with that.
12		Okay.
13	Mr.	Cipollone. Let me put it to you this way if I can.
14		BY
15	Q	Please.
16	Α	I cannot and, again, I feel it's a matter of conscience, I feel it's the effective
17	functioning	of the government. I believe a President should have the ability to have
18	senior advis	sers, the counsel, the chief of staff, you know, the National Security Advisor
19	certainty ar	nd others give him frank advice. That's something that's been recognized and
20	supported l	by Presidents of both parties throughout our history. So I feel like that's
21	important.	
22	Q	Yeah.
23	Α	Consistent and as I said, it's not my privilege to waive or invoke. So it's
24	not my cho	ice about what I can say consistent with my beliefs to the fact that as an

attorney I have to honor that privilege.

1	Having said that, do I generally agree with what I know about Attorney General
2	Barr's characterizations? Yes.
3	Q Attorney General Barr told us that during the meeting the President was
4	supremely unhappy with his interview and decision to announce that there was no
5	widespread election fraud and specifically was said to have pushed back during the
6	meeting on the President's baseless election allegations, telling him that they were
7	bullshit and that his legal team was a clown show. That no self-respecting lawyer is
8	going anywhere near it. It's just a joke. That's why are you are where you are.
9	And that at one point the President Attorney General Barr offered to resign, and
10	the President slammed his hand on the table and said, "accepted."
11	Is that a fair characterization, Attorney General Barr's, of the meeting?
12	A Again, without getting into who said what during that meeting or what I may
13	have said, I think those are the kinds of meetings that people typically give candid advice
14	and direct advice. And that's the kind of meeting that I would have given my candid
15	opinion and direct opinion.
16	And without getting into every detail, again, I don't have any reason to question
17	Attorney General Barr's characterization.
18	Q When the meeting ended, was it your understanding that Attorney General
19	Barr had resigned, that that was left open at the end of the meeting, his status as
20	Attorney General?
21	A Again, Attorney General Barr continued in his position after that meeting.
22	Q Yeah. Well, we understand that you and Mr. Herschmann may have
23	intervened to essentially keep him on or talked to him after the meeting about staying on
24	as Attorney General.
25	A I can tell you this. It's my opinion, without reflecting again. And I don't

- want to have to keep saying that, but you understand my point.
- 2 Q Uh-huh.
- A I didn't think it was good for the President or for the country or, frankly, for
- 4 Attorney General Barr for him to leave at that moment.
- 5 Q Yeah.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A And so he didn't. And how that came about, I think he has talked about
 that. But I certainly was not of the view that it would be a good thing for the President
 for Attorney General Barr to leave office. Sometimes tempers flare and sometimes
 people say things maybe they don't mean. And sometimes with a little bit of time, those
 things don't actually happen.
 - Q Yeah. Attorney General Barr and Eric Herschmann told us that you and Mr. Herschmann actually went out to Mr. Barr's vehicle, it was on West Exec. He was getting ready to leave and you essentially convinced him to stay on as Attorney General. Is that accurate?
 - A He was out on West Exec. He was leaving. Someone called. I told somebody -- I can't remember how, you know, I said, "Call Bill, tell him not to leave." I went to his vehicle. I got in next to him. And we had a discussion and he didn't leave.
 - Q I see. You mentioned tempers --
 - A That was -- you know, again, like, people made his own -- people make their own decisions and Bill made his. And I gave him my opinion. I don't want to suggest anything beyond that. I think he respects my opinion. I think, you know, we have known each other for a long time. And I think he made a determination to stay. But actually, you know, at the end of the day it's the President's decision.
 - Q Understood. So the bottom line was at the end of the December 1st interaction he didn't resign, he stayed for a little while longer as Attorney General?

1	A Yeah. And the President didn't ask for his resignation after that, in terms of
2	that day, that meeting.
3	Q Yeah. You mentioned in your prior answer tempers flare. Did you
4	frequently see the President's temper flare? Was he prone to outbursts?
5	A I'm not going to get into tempers flaring or anything like that. I don't I
6	have my own views about things like that. People have different perceptions of that.
7	Okay.
8	I mean, this is not relevant to what we're talking about here, but, you know, I'm an
9	Italian-American. I come from a big family. We do not suffer in silence. We say our
10	opinions. Sometimes we disagree. We get mad sometimes, then we hug each other
11	and we eat.
12	So my view about tempers flaring may be different than what others' perceptions
13	are. But people obviously have strong opinions. Sometimes they express those
14	opinions strongly. They feel passionately about that. That was never anything that I
15	took personally or certainly anything that would stop me from giving my opinion,
16	sometimes very, very forcefully.
17	But my overall relationship with the President, at least from my perspective,
18	certainly prior to the election, I viewed to be a good one. I believe that I was proud to
19	be working in the administration. I was proud to be working with the many, many
20	talented people that worked in the Trump administration. I was proud to be working
21	with the President.
22	He wanted my opinion. I gave it to him. We had many discussions.
23	And so I felt and I continue to feel that when I wanted to express myself to the
24	President, he welcomed that. Didn't always you know, again, without getting
25	into these are general points. Didn't always agree. He's the President. I didn't get

1	elected to anything. Okay. I was there to serve as his lawyer and I was honored do
2	that.
3	Q Yeah.
4	A To serve as the White House counsel, counsel to the President, counsel to
5	the Presidency.
6	I see, Ms. Cheney, you've come off your camera is on. Please go
7	ahead if you have a question.
8	Ms. Cheney. Thank you very much.
9	And thanks, Pat.
LO	I just wanted to ask if you take issue with Attorney General Barr's characterization
l1	of these events or the President's response on December 1st to the Attorney General's
L2	comments.
L3	Mr. Cipollone. Good morning, Representative Cheney.
L4	Again, as a general matter, without you know, a lot has been said about that
L5	meeting in various news outlets and Attorney General Barr has spoken about it. I think I
L6	already said I do not take issue, you know, without going through each and every fact or
L7	what other people might say, I do not take issue with Attorney General Barr's
L8	characterizations of things as a general matter. I may have my own views and additions
L9	that I might add, but, no, as a general matter, I agree with Attorney General Barr.
20	Ms. Cheney. So you don't take issue with Attorney General Barr's
21	characterization of the President's response to the December 1st comments by the
22	Attorney General?
23	Mr. Cipollone. Again, when you say take issue, do I disagree with how Attorney

General Barr in his view described that? I don't take issue with that. Again, I may have

my own views, which I'm not here to express. But, no, I don't take issue with Attorney

24

1	General Barr.
2	Ms. Cheney. Thank you.
3	BY
4	Q Yeah. And, Mr. Cipollone does anyone else this is a good place to
5	actually see if my other members have questions. No?
6	If not, Mr. Cipollone, I just wanted just to stay on this just for a minute. We have
7	had a lot of testimony about the President's temper, the President's outbursts. And I'm
8	just, without I'm not asking you for specific communications, I'm asking you if you
9	witnessed that, explosions of anger, of physical manifestations of anger, of extreme
10	frustration that he would express during your interaction with him?
11	A Again, I'm not going to get into those kinds of questions, because I believe
12	they might reflect my communications with the President. You know, people get angry,
13	a lot of people get angry.
14	In my case, if that ever happened in a meeting that I was in, I did not take it
15	personally. Okay. And it certainly did not dissuade me from giving my opinion.
16	think he knew that about me and I think he respected that about me.
17	Q Yeah.
18	A So that's what I'm going to say about that. Lots of people have different
19	characterizations of how he acted, you know. I have my own. Okay. I never took
20	anything like that personally. And I believed as a general matter, while there were,
21	certainly after the election, there were times where, let's say, were a little choppy in
22	terms of the back and forth, I never took that personally.
23	Q Yeah.
24	A It didn't impact me and my ability to do my job. And that's what I'm going
25	say about that. Is that okay? I mean, I'm not quite sure,

answer your questions, what you're trying to get with this question and why. 1 2 Q I'm just trying -let's go ahead and move to exhibit 1, please. Ms. Cheney. 3 Okay. Let's move to exhibit 1. 4 Mr. Cipollone. I mean, I am happy to answer your questions about that. 5 BY 6 7 Yeah. Let's take a look at exhibit 1. I don't know if you can pull it 8 up on the screen so everyone else can see it. 9 But exhibit 1 is a handwritten note that we received I believe from the Archives 10 that we have received information was crafted right after or on December 1st after this 11 meeting with Attorney General Barr. Does it look familiar to you, Mr. Cipollone? 12 It doesn't look -- I -- I may have -- I don't -- if you give me some more 13 14 information. I don't know what this -- I'm not sure I've seen this. 0 It looks like a draft statement that was proposed in response to Attorney 15 General Barr's statements that there was no systemic fraud in the election. 16 Α Do you know who wrote this? 17 I'm asking if you recognize the document whose handwriting it is or if you 18 Q recall it at all. 19 20 Α No. As I said here today, I do not recall this document. Okay. Do you know whether you received this from Ms. Hutchinson or Mr. 21 22 Scavino or anyone handed it to you to review? I don't know. The counsel's office received all sorts of documents that 23 Α people would send up, and I don't know if this is one of them. 24 25 Ms. Cheney, do you have a question about this?

1	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> I do, sir.
2	I just wanted to ask, Mr. Cipollone, do you recognize Mark Meadows' handwriting
3	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Not really. I don't. I'm not a handwriting sometimes I don't
4	recognize my own handwriting to be honest with you.
5	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
6	Mr. <u>Cipollone</u> . I don't think do you know who wrote this?
7	Yeah. The testimony we received was from Ms. Hutchinson. She
8	said that Mr. Meadows handed her this handwritten note after the meeting in the Oval
9	Office on December 1st, after the President had learned of AG Barr's statement.
LO	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I don't think oh, I'm sorry. As I've told you many times, ir
11	our interaction, where I come from it's not rude to interrupt. So I apologize and I'll try
L2	to stop interrupting.
L3	It's really more for the court reporter. You have to be careful of
L4	that.
L5	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Then I apologize to you.
L6	BY
L7	Q Well, let me just finish. She indicated that at Meadows' direction she
18	brought the note to your office, handed it to you, and asked if it was okay for Dan Scaving
L9	to push it out. And you responded, "God, no."
20	A Okay.
21	Q Does that prompt any recollection about whether you thought a
22	statement this statement or something like it would be appropriate
23	A I don't have any reason to I don't have any recollection of that. So I don't
24	have as I sit here today a reason to dispute that characterization. Did something like thi

ever go out? I don't remember anything like this going out.

- 1 Q No, it did not.
- 2 A I notice the second sentence, "Anybody that thinks there wasn't massive
- fraud in 2020 election should be fired." Is that what it says?
- 4 Q That's what it says.
- 5 A Okay. Well, maybe that would apply to me. So I don't know.
- 6 But I don't have any recollection of this. And by the way, I wasn't fired.
- 7 Q Right.
- 8 A And so --
- 9 Q Okay. So no recollection of this or any --
- 10 A Regardless of -- the President obviously had the ability to fire me whenever
- 11 he wanted. I served at the pleasure of the President. And he never did that.
- 12 Q Okay. Attorney General Barr just a couple weeks later did resign,
- December the 14th. Did you have any discussions with him about his decision ultimately
- to resign on December the 14th?
- 15 A Yes. I'm sure I did.
- 16 Q Tell me about that. What do you recall?
- 17 A Well, look, what do I recall? I didn't want Bill to resign. We had had,
- 18 because we were -- we had worked together, we had had -- and I think he sort of -- my
- understanding is he's talked about this. But we had had sort of an understanding that if
- he was going to go, I was going to go with him. Not for any other reason that, you know,
- we had worked together and there were people in my office who were quite capable of
- doing my job.
- 23 And so -- and when we had talked about -- I think I don't know that I saw his
- resignation letter, he may have talked about it. I don't remember. But I remember he
- 25 wrote a resignation letter that I then saw when he came to the White House. Actually, I

1	think it's one of the best and most concise recitation of the many accomplishments of
2	President Trump.
3	And, again, I didn't want Bill to go. I understood he wanted to move on.
4	Obviously Jeff Rosen was very capable and Rich Donoghue and all those people. So
5	Q Did you have concerns, Mr. Cipollone, that Attorney General Barr's
6	resignation would make it harder for you to keep the President on track or keep him away
7	from the bad advice that he was getting from outside lawyers?
8	A I mean, I would put it this way. You know, Attorney General Barr is a force
9	of nature and he obviously was very, very helpful to me and to everybody in terms of
10	giving frank, candid advice. And so I didn't want him to leave. But I felt confident,
11	because I knew Jeff Rosen, that if Bill wanted to move on right before the holidays, that
12	Jeff would be a good acting Attorney General and that his team over there would remain
13	in place. And so I had full confidence in them as well.
14	Q Yeah. So Attorney General Barr's resignation submitted on the 14th takes
15	effect on the 23rd of December. And then Jeff Rosen, as you indicated, becomes acting
16	Attorney General.
17	On December the 29th there is again, we have developed evidence a meeting
18	at the White House with the new DOJ leadership, with Mr. Rosen and Mr. Donoghue and
19	Steve Engel. And there are, again, discussions at that meeting about election fraud.
20	Do you recall anything specific about that meeting?
21	A I assume I was in that meeting.
22	Q Uh-huh.
23	A Yeah. I don't recall anything specific about that meeting. And that's the
24	kind of meeting again with the President that I think falls outside what I'm authorized to
25	talk about.

1 Q Yeah. Let me ask you about a couple of things that we believe were 2 discussed at the meeting and ask about your view on those topics. First was something called "Italygate" or the allegation that there were some 3 4 people in Italy that had information about satellites that may have impacted Dominion 5 voting machines. Do you remember the discussion, the issue? Α Do I remember the discussion about there were some people in Italy --6 7 Q Yes. 8 Α -- in the embassy, and there may have been somebody in a jail somewhere 9 in Italy with information? 10 Q What do you remember about that? What I do remember about that? 11 Α Q Yeah. 12 13 Α I remember I thought it was ridiculous. Q Did you express that view? 14 I don't have a problem expressing my view. 15 Α Q Do you know whether anything was done --16 Α I was, like, Italy? Why Italy? 17 Q Do you remember whether anything was actually done to look into that 18 claim regardless of --19 20 I think -- I don't -- I don't remember specifically, but I'm sure, as with every claim, if it was sent over, you know, some were more credible than others obviously. 21 22 And I think it was, at least as far as I know, was looked at in an appropriate way. Q Okay. 23 But I don't know the -- I don't remember details about that. 24 Α 25 Q I see.

1	A I didn't again, I think I've clearly expressed my opinion about that.
2	Q Yes.
3	Could I just clarify one thing, Mr. Cipollone, that may jog your
4	memory but also affect your, you know
5	Mr. Cipollone. Sure. I would appreciate that.
6	the bounds of your testimony. I believe this meeting took place
7	in Mr. Meadows' office with leadership from DOJ. I believe there was at least a portion
8	of it that occurred in Mr. Meadows' office without the President's participation.
9	Mr. Cipollone. Okay. Yeah, again, I don't quite remember that. I would
10	certainly defer to the recollections of Rich Donoghue or Jeff Rosen if he was they were
11	there at this meeting. Is that what you are telling me?
12	Yes, they were.
13	Yeah.
14	Mr. Cipollone. Look, again, there were these theories that would come up, all
15	right, on an ongoing basis. I don't know where they were coming from. Some people
16	took them seriously, okay, as far as I can tell and then would want them looked at.
17	From my view, if DOJ was looking at any claims of election fraud and was making a
18	determination again, it's not my determination, that was their call they could look at
19	it, determine from its face what investigation, if any, needed to be done with such claims.
20	But I don't know I can't recall much more about this. There were other things
21	like this that kept coming up, like beaming through thermostats and things like this, you
22	know.

1	
2	[10:06 a.m.]
3	
4	Q Do you remember any of these other specific claims that, in your view, were
5	ridiculous or meritless that came up for discussion over the course of this post-election
6	A I don't as I sit here today. If you can remind me of some of them, I
7	think again, some people presented them as if they, you know, ought to be looked into
8	or were and you would it wasn't my job to sort through, you know, to actually
9	investigate these claims. My view would be, again, they're outside lawyers working for
10	the campaign, working for the President. If they had evidence, then let's have it, okay?
11	And if people thought that the claim was serious, they should refer to the Department of
12	Justice. I remember with some of this, I think Jeff's view was like bring it to the regional
13	FBI office, or bring it to the FBI, if you have something that you want to say.
14	Q Yeah. We also understand that at this meeting and again,
15	correct, the President, I do not believe, was a participant in this meeting, it was in
16	Mr. Meadows' office that the subject of an original the Department of Justice filing a
17	lawsuit directly in the Supreme Court, an original jurisdiction case arose.
18	Do you recall discussion
19	A In this meeting with the President?
20	Q Just generally about that setting?
21	A I remember that generally.
22	Q What do you recall?
23	A Well, I can't remember who was promoting that. You know and look,
24	lawyers were looking at all sorts of, kind of, options. And I mentioned some of the ones

that I thought -- you know, there were some legal issues that ought to be looked into, but

not by me, but by others representing the President. Go to court if you want to, and they did.

You know, on this one -- I will say this, I generally support the Department of

Justice on these kinds of things. My sense was this is not something that they thought

was a thing that they could or should do. My recollection from the time or maybe things

like -- things I've heard since then in terms of testimony that you all have gotten, I think

Engel might have looked at this. I think Steve looked at this. Let me tell you, Steve is

gold standard, okay? Steve is an amazing lawyer. Very, very smart, but -- yeah. So I

would on these kind of things, if they wanted to look at it, that's their prerogative. I

would support their conclusions, and I would defend their conclusions.

Q I understand.

Mr. Engel provided information about this meeting to the Select Committee. He said that the group -- and this is Rosen, Donoghue, you, Pat Philbin, and Mark Meadows, not the President, discussed the President's wish to file an original jurisdiction lawsuit and proposed that Cipollone reach out to John Eastman to help persuade the President that the Department should not file such a case.

Do you remember the discussion, either with Engel or a subsequent call to John Eastman, about the original jurisdiction case?

- A And what time -- what time period?
- 20 Q This was December the 29th.
 - A I don't have a recollection of that. That Mark wanted me to call Eastman and say is this is not a good idea?
- 23 Q Yes.
 - A Maybe that happened -- look, I didn't think it was a good idea, and primarily because the Department of Justice didn't think it was a good idea. I think people were

- 1 looking at all sorts of creative things. This was December 29th. You know, this is the end of December. So I don't think -- this obviously didn't happen. 2 3 0 It did not. Α No. Do you remember any discussion with Professor Eastman about it? 5 Q I don't really recall detailed discussions about this with Professor Eastman. Α 6 7 Q Anything else about the prospect of this original jurisdiction case that you do 8 recall? 9 Not as I sit here. If you have specific questions -- it wasn't filed. And look, 10 you just said that the President wanted --11 Q That was Engel's testimony. These are not ideas -- I think these are ideas that were coming from other 12 people that were raised with the President. I mean, I don't think that -- in my sense, 13 14 okay, from some of the people, maybe not serving him well. 0 Yeah. 15 I mean, there was other original jurisdiction cases, you know? I mean, a 16 State can file an original jurisdiction case. 17 Q Right. 18 But, look, again, I was trying to do my job as best I could. I wasn't a 19 Α
- campaign lawyer. I was defending the Department of Justice on this, you know, and on everything.
- Q Yeah. Another idea that came up is exhibit 4, if you could turn to that in your binder.
- 24 This is a draft executive order with respect to election fraud.
- 25 Let me just ask you to --

1	Α	I haven't admitted to myself that I need bifocals.			
2	Q	This, for the record, is a December 16th, 2020 draft executive order that's			
3	stamped President has seen, and it's titled, "Presidential findings to preserve, collect and				
4	analyze national security information regarding the 2020 general election," and it would				
5	authorize the seizure of voting machines.				
6	Do you recall this draft executive order and any involvement in discussion of its				
7	issuance?				
8	Α	Well, I don't have a recollection right now of seeing this order at the time.			
9	may have.	I mean, things like this would this is not this is something that came from			
LO	the outside	is my understanding. This is certainly not something that my office I			
l1	mean, we drafted executive orders, you know, for the President on many, many things.				
L2	I don't know where this came from.				
L3	Do you know where I have a sense. I have an idea where it might come from.				
L4	You showed this to me at my interview. I know the idea contained in it. I mean, I can				
L5	read this right now if you want me to.				
L6	Q	Well, no. Just look at the last page, the very last page. There's a when			
L7	we received this from the archives				
L8	Α	The very last page?			
L9	Q	Yeah. There's a if you turn all the way to the back, there's a note that			
20	indicates "f	rom the VP to Pat C."			
21	Α	Okay. So then this must have come to my office at some point.			
22	Q	Do you recall your assessment of whether there was factual basis for the			
23	issuance or	legal rationale to enter this executive order?			

Again, without getting into my conversations with the President -- no, I think

Α

24

- through each and every paragraph as a general matter, no.
- 2 Q Why was it a horrible idea?
- A First of all, there is no legal basis, okay? Is this the one -- let me just take a
- 4 minute here.
- 5 Q Yeah.
- 6 A This is seizing of the voting machines?
- 7 Q Yeah.
- A Yeah. No. That's not something that can be done. That's not something
 the President ultimately did. So, no. My view of this was very clear, very strongly
 communicated. Without getting into specific communications with the President, I
 don't think there was any doubt what I thought of this on anybody's part. And I think
 some of it may already be public because I think -- was this the one that was -- I think this

was an idea that was discussed at the December 18th meeting, the 6-hour deal?

14 Q Yes.

13

15

16

17

18

- A Yeah. I remember that. And then there's also something here -- and again, I'm happy to take time and go through each and every point. I'm sure I didn't read this with care when I got it, and I just looked at what it was. I'm sure Philbin looked at it. I'm not, you know -- and there's something in here about appointment of a special counsel --
- 20 Q Yes.
- 21 A -- to investigate --
- Q Well, there's discussion of that at the 18th meeting. It is in here, the very
- 23 last --
- 24 A Well, I just saw it at the last page.
- 25 Q Yeah. "The appointment of a special prosecutor to oversee this operation

and institute all criminal and civil proceedings as appropriate." 1 2 Α No. I was not in favor of that. I thought that was not a good thing. In particular, the person who was being suggested for that role would have been a disaster. 3 4 Tell us more about that. Who was being suggested? 5 Α Well, my understanding is it was Sidney Powell, okay? So no. And without getting into details about conversations with the President, you 6 7 know, there are regulations about who can appoint a special counsel, and then there 8 was -- I think there was some confusion even on the people who put this stuff together 9 on, was it a special counsel at the Department of Justice? There was some suggestion 10 that there would be a special counsel to investigate this in the White House. I 11 remember somebody, you know, suggesting this, or me, maybe even in my office, and that was not gonna happen as far as I was concerned. 12 13 Q So let me just finish with the executive order. It sounds like this was not something that was drafted in the White House Counsel's Office? 14 Absolutely not. Α 15 Yeah. If you actually look at exhibit 31, all the way towards the back, this is 16 one of the new things that we sent over to you. Yeah. It's the same thing, but there's 17 some emails at page 5 of exhibit 31. 18 Α 19 Okav. 20 It looks like this came from General Flynn. "I reviewed, fixed a spelling error in the title, ensure it gets legal review, but this is 21 22 ready to go from my standpoint. Thanks for getting the key points in." Do you have any idea where General Flynn was involved in drafting or proposing 23

I don't know who drafted this, or whether General Flynn drafted it. Was he

this executive order?

24

involved, as far as I know in promoting this idea? Yes, he was. 1 2 Q He was a participant in that December 18th meeting? Α Yes, he was. 3 Okay. How about Phil Waldron? Do you know whether he was --O I don't -- you have to remind who is that is. Who is Phil Waldron? 5 Α He was a former military officer who was involved in working with the 6 Q 7 Giuliani team on these various theories? 8 I didn't have a lot of insight into who was working on that team. This is the 9 Kraken? It's --10 Q Yeah. 11 Α Q Hard to tell what the Kraken is exactly. 12 13 Α Okay. If you turn the next page to page six of the exhibit, the same email chain that 14 Q forwards this draft order, this is sent from, we believe, Phil Waldron to Bernie Kerik. 15 Do you know whether or not Mr. Kerik or Mr. Giuliani were involved? 16 I'm not the person to ask these questions. I don't know who was involved 17 in this. I was at the December 18th meeting. Just to be clear, the President ultimately 18 didn't do any of this. 19 20 But it sounds clear that your office had nothing to do with the crafting of and didn't support the issuance of this order? 21 22 Α No. Pat Philbin would draft executive orders. This is not something that we did or supported. We opposed this vehemently. 23 Let's talk about the December 18th meeting. 24 Q 25 There's lots of iterations of this. It starts out -- you didn't even --

1	Mr. Purpura. Hey before we move there, why don't we take a break.
2	Sure. No problem. We'll go off the record. We'll take 5
3	minutes.
4	[Discussion off the record.]
5	BY
6	Q So Mr. Cipollone, before the break we were talking a little bit about
7	December the 18th, and there was a big series of conversations in the White House that
8	night that I'd like to ask you a little bit about.
9	First of all, did you participate in discussions with Sidney Powell, General Flynn
10	and Patrick Byrne in the White House on December the 18th?
11	A Yes.
12	Q How did you first become aware that they were even present in the White
13	House?
14	A I was actually leaving to go home to dinner I forget what time it was, but it
15	was later on in the evening. And then I got a call, either from Molly or from Eric
16	Herschmann that I need to get to the Oval Office. I remember I had my overcoat on,
17	and so I went to the Oval Office. I opened the door, and I walked in. I saw General
18	Flynn. I saw Sidney Powell sitting there. I saw someone I didn't know, and Eric was
19	there. Derek Lyons was also there. That was that Derek's last day in the White House,
20	so he was staying around after his last day. He had gotten a job and was moving on.
21	And I walked in. I was not happy to see the people who were in the Oval Office.
22	Q Explain why.
23	A Well, again, I don't think they were providing well, first of all, the
24	Overstock person, I never knew who this guy was. Actually, the first thing I did, I walked
25	in, I looked at him and I said, Who are you? And he told me. I don't think any of these

1	people were providing the President with good advice.				
2	And so, I didn't understand how they had gotten in. I didn't understand why the				
3	Overstock.com person is it Patrick Byrne? Is that his name?				
4	Q Yes.				
5	A Patrick Byrne, I didn't understand why he was there. I had never seen him				
6	before. I saw Eric, you know, who				
7	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> Herschmann.				
8	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Yeah. Eric Herschmann, I'm sorry.				
9	Eric Herschmann, who was playing a very positive role generally, in my view.				
10	Derek Lyons also you know, he was staff secretary, but he is a very talented lawyer,				
11	good person, positive role in that meeting.				
12	Then, I think there were other people on the phone. I think Mark Meadows was				
13	on the phone at that point. And again, if you know I'm going by the best of my				
14	recollection.				
15	ВУ				
16	Q I appreciate that. Keep going.				
17	A I think at some point I think Rudy Giuliani got on the phone at some point.				
18	He later showed up for the second half of the meeting. So did Mark. I think Robert				
19	O'Brien may have been on. I don't know who else was on the phone.				
20	Q Yeah. Sidney Powell gave us information, testified before the Select				
21	Committee, and she indicated, "Somebody had notified the world that we were there,				
22	which caused massive consternation among the staff of the White House Counsel's Office				
23	and probably Mr. Meadows and Mr. Giuliani, too, to know that I had access to the				
24	President without their supervision, so they all came running."				
25	Is that generally accurate, that you were notified that Ms. Powell was already				

- present in a meeting with the President, and you came to sort of intervene and/or jointhat discussion?
- A I didn't know what was going on when they called me. They just told me get to the White House. I didn't come running. But I did come -- I'm sorry, get to the Oval Office. And I walked into the Oval Office, and then I saw who was in there.
- Q All right. You testified, I believe before the break, that this executive order that we had discussed previously, that that was one of the subjects discussed during the meeting, the possibility --
 - A As I recall, yes. That was something that was being raised by General Flynn, by, I think, Sidney. And they were just addressing the general view -- you know, they were expressing the general view that there had been fraud in the election, and something needed to be done. I don't think they were happy to see me, and it sounds like that's what she said to somebody.
 - Q Fair to say that you pushed back strongly -- you, Mr. Herschmann, and Mr. Lyons pushed back strongly against the idea of the issuance and this order and the seizure of voting machines?
- 17 A Yes.

- Q Okay. Do you remember any discussion about martial law or the Insurrection Act?
 - A I don't remember that discussion. Was that something that could have been discussed? Yes. But I don't recall whether or not that specific thing was discussed. I can think about it. I mean, if you have information where someone says it was, then I wouldn't contradict that. I remember that was something that I had heard that General Flynn had suggested, but I did not -- I don't have a clear recollection of whether that was discussed at the meeting.

1	Q	Separate from the meeting, what was your view about things that General			
2	Flynn had said, rerunning the election, the declaration of martial law as a possibility of				
3	election-related remedy?				
4	А	All terrible. Shouldn't happen. Didn't happen.			
5	Q	Did you do you know whether or not at that meeting the possibility of a			
6	rally or protest or march to the Capitol came up?				
7	Α	That, I don't recall.			
8	Q	All right. January 6th, the joint session, do you recall any discussion of that			
9	at that meeting?				
10	Α	If people said that was discussed, I don't as I sit here today, I wouldn't			
11	contradict that. But I don't have a clear recollection if that was a topic. The topics that				
12	I have a clear recollection about and again, I'm not going to talk about my discussions				
13	with the President				
14	Q	l understand.			
15	Α	or what the President said, but the topics that I recall generally are this			
16	idea of this	executive order, the idea of election fraud generally. I remember the three			
17	of them we	re really sort of forcefully attacking me, verbally, Eric, Derek. And we were			
18	pushing bad	ck. And we were asking one simple question as a general matter: Where is			
19	the evidence	re?			
20	Q	What response did you get when you asked Ms. Powell and her colleagues			
21	where's the	e evidence?			
22	Α	A variety of responses based on my current recollection, including, you			
23	know, I can	't believe you would say something like you know, things like this like, what			
24	do you mean where's the evidence, you know should now things like that, or you know,				

a disregard I would say, a general disregard for the importance of actually backing up

- what you say with facts.
 Q And what w
 - Q And what was the basis of their criticism of you? You said they were attacking you and Mr. Herschmann.
- 4 On what basis?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A They didn't think that we were, you know -- they didn't think we believed this, you know, that there had been massive fraud in the election, and the reason they didn't think we believed it is because we didn't.
- 8 Q And you articulated that forcefully to them during the meeting?
- 9 A I did, yeah. I had seen no evidence of massive fraud in the election. Did 10 other people believe there had been? Yes, okay, is my sense.
- 11 Q Yeah.
 - A Okay? Did they believe there had been? Seemed to be, that they really believed it. And people are entitled to their opinion, but at some point, when you make those kinds of claims, particularly if you're a lawyer, like Sidney Powell, you -- she understands. And actually, she -- you know, early on my sense -- I didn't ever work with her, but, you know, she was a skilled lawyer. At some point, you have to deliver with the evidence.
 - And I -- again, I just go back to what Phil said, he had not seen and I was not aware of any evidence of fraud to the extent that it would change the results of the election.

 That was made clear to them, okay, over and over again. The meeting convened at one point, or there was a -- and then it moved up to the residence, to the Yellow Oval. At that point, Mr. Giuliani -- Mayor Giuliani came, Mark came.
- 23 Q So they had been on the phone early, and then they showed up in person?
- 24 A Correct.
- Q Okay. And which side were they on?

- 1 A Well -- on some of this stuff?
- 2 Q Yeah.
- A Yeah. Rudy didn't think this was a good idea.
- 4 Q This -- you're pointing --
- 5 A As far as I recall --
- 6 Q You mean the seizure of the voting machines?
- 7 A Yeah. He didn't this was -- no. Or that there was a legal basis for any of
- 8 this.
- 9 Q Yeah. Okay. How about Mr. Meadows?
- 10 A You know, my sense is that Mark also -- again, I'm going directionally, not
 11 with every word that was said or who might interpret, you know -- no. I think Mark also
 12 was sort of, you know, on this issue, my recollection is he was very direct, particularly
 13 with -- I remember him getting up and walking towards the General. And so he was very
- direct and animated about that.
- Yeah. And, of course, you know, my view was clear, expressed repeatedly. Eric
- 16 Herschmann's view was clear and stated repeatedly. Derek's view, Derek Lyons,
- forcefully and repeatedly. Again, he's a lawyer in addition, but he is serving as staff
- 18 secretary.
- 19 I remember at the break, because I had been there a while, when Mark came in,
- 20 he said, you know you can go -- you can leave if you want. I said, no, I'm not leaving.
- 21 So we all went up to the -- I can't remember the time of all this, but it was a long -- it was
- a long haul at those two meetings. But again, at the end of the day, the President did
- 23 not do any of this.
- 24 Q Yeah. And it sounds like from what you're describing, everyone in the
- 25 room or the rooms -- there were two different conversations -- was against the idea of

- seizing voting machines or appointing a special counsel, but for Sidney Powell, Patrick
- 2 Byrne, and Mr. Flynn. Is that right, General Flynn?
- A That's my general sense. My recollection is people were more vocal about
- 4 the voting machines, this idea.
- 5 Q Yeah.
- A With the appointment of a special counsel, I mean that came up. There
 was some issue of -- there was an issue of, you know, do you need a security clearance in
- 8 order to work for the government and things like this. And there was some discussion
- 9 of that.
- 10 Q Did the President actually appoint her, or --
- 11 A I don't believe -- well, no. She did not become the special counsel. So the
- 12 President didn't actually appoint her.
- 13 Q Yeah.
- 14 Ms. Powell told us, "Well, the President asked Pat Cipollone if he had the authority
 15 to name me special counsel. He said yes, then he asked him if he had the authority to
 16 give me whatever security clearance I needed, and Pat Cipollone said yes. And then the
 17 President said Okay, I'm naming her that. I'm giving her security clearance. Shortly
 18 after that, we left, and it totally blew up. Cipollone and Herschmann or whomever the
- other young man was said, you can name her whatever you name her, and no one's going
- 20 to pay attention to it."
- A Again, without getting into -- that doesn't seem to be the whole story there.
- I mean, in terms of the President's ability to grant a security clearance, the President has
- 23 that ability. Did I think that was a good idea, and did I express that very clearly, again, in
- the room? Yeah, of course, I did. I don't think Sidney Powell would say I thought it was
- a good idea to appoint her special counsel. I was vehemently opposed -- I didn't think

1	she should be appointed to anything.		
2	Q Yeah. And she did not ultimately get appointed?		
3	A She did not. And again, that was the President's decision.		
4	Q A lot of people in this meeting have described discussion about sort of		
5	fighting for me, fighting, strength versus quitters, weakling.		
6	Was there discussion about, sort of, who's fighting and who's weak that you		
7	recall?		
8	A I don't know. Well, that I recall let me put it to you this way: Did the		
9	three of those people when I first walked in kind of attack me on the point that I wasn't		
10	doing enough, I wasn't the person to do this, et cetera, et cetera, I can't remember		
11	exactly what they were saying? Yeah. Did it have any impact on me whatsoever?		
12	No.		
13	Mr. Raskin, I see you've come off of you camera's on. Do you		
14	have any questions about the December 18th meeting?		
15	Mr. Raskin. Thank you very much. Yes. I just wanted to ask Mr. Cipollone		
16	about some other people's characterizations of the meetings of that meeting.		
17	It was described as unhinged by one witness, and another characterization I've		
18	seen is it was the craziest White House meeting in the Trump Presidency.		
19	What's your general sense of these characterizations of this 6-hour meeting?		
20	Mr. Cipollone. Well, Representative Raskin, again, I'm not gonna as a general		
21	matter, people have their own views and will characterize things the way they want.		
22	If you want my view of the meeting is, the people that were in that meeting, the		
23	three people who I discussed no. I mean, they weren't behaving in an inappropriate		
24	manner in my view. I think they later went up you know, I tend to keep meetings with		
25	the President that I'm involved in I keep my confidences, okay?		

1	And that one, I think they all went out and started talking about it. They started
2	talking about me. They started, you know, saying that I was disrespectful because I was
3	speaking a lot. I don't know. Everybody has their own characterizations. It was not a
4	normal meeting
5	Mr. Raskin. Okay.
6	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> In the sense of my, you know my time in the Trump
7	administration, and I don't know how they got into the White House even.
8	Mr. Raskin. If I could just follow up
9	Mr. Cipollone. Sorry. If I could just say one more thing. I did not think that
LO	they were serving the President well.
l1	Mr. Raskin. Good. And when you were offered the opportunity to go, but you
L2	said no, you would continue to stay although, I think you had probably determined to
L3	go home maybe a couple hours before that, why did you decide to stay?
L4	Mr. Cipollone. Well, because I wanted to do my job, and I didn't want to leave.
L5	And I wanted to be there to support. I don't leave, okay, in a situation like that. Yeah.
L6	I was determined to go home. I was going home. Not that it's relevant here, my
L7	daughter was having my family over, my parents. I was trying it was the holidays. I
L8	was leaving for that. And then I was like, Okay, I got called to the Oval Office. I'm not
L9	going anywhere until it's done because I wanted to be supportive of my other colleagues.
20	And frankly, I wanted to be supportive of the President in giving him the best advice I
21	could.
22	Mr. Raskin. Okay. Thank you much. I'll yield back.
23	Ms. Cheney, did you have something? I saw you also. Your
24	camera's on.

Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> I did. Thank you very much, Thank you, Pat.

1	Pat, I just wanted to ask, you mentioned a few minutes ago Sidney Powell told		
2	us that it was her view that she had, in fact, been appointed as special counsel, and I thin		
3	you said, Well, that's not the whole story.		
4	I wonder if you could tell us what the rest of that story is?		
5	Mr. Cipollone. Well, I just meant that she answered I was speaking,		
6	Representative Cheney, of the questions that was asking. She said did I sa		
7	that the President had authority to grant a security clearance. Well, the obvious answer		
8	to that is yes. Did I think it was a good idea? No. And so, that's what I was talking		
9	about in terms of her story that she's telling about that meeting.		
10	I don't know what her understanding of whether she had been appointed, what		
11	she had been appointed to, okay? In my view, she hadn't been appointed to anything.		
12	And ultimately wasn't appointed to anything, because there had to be other steps taken.		
13	So that was my view when I left the meeting. But she may have a different view		
14	and others may have a different view and the President may have a different view. But		
15	that was my when I left, I left with Eric Herschmann at the end of the meeting. And		
16	when I left there, I left with the impression that certainly she hadn't been appointed at		
17	that point. Did she want to be appointed? Yes. Were others thinking that she might		
18	be appointed? I don't know. And that's was she ultimately appointed? No.		
19	That's it.		
20	Ms. Cheney. You mentioned that there were additional steps that would have		
21	had to be taken.		
22	So was there an initial step or a serious of initial steps taken to appoint her?		
23	Mr. Cipollone. After that meeting? No. I remember afterwards Mark I don't		
24	think this was something Mark wanted to do either. And so, I think it was		
25	something I'm sorry?		

1	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Sorry, Pat. I didn't mean to interrupt you. It's okay in Wyoming.		
2	I meant during the meeting. You know, she clearly had the impression she had		
3	been appointed.		
4	Were any steps taken, including the President himself telling her she'd been		
5	appointed?		
6	Mr. Cipollone. Again, I'm not going to get into the what the President said in the		
7	meeting, you know? My recollection is you're not appointed you're not appointed		
8	until steps are taken to, you know, get the paperwork done, get and when I left the		
9	meeting, okay, did I guess what I'm trying to say is, I'm not going to get into what the		
10	President said or said he wanted, okay? Was it my impression that that had been done		
11	when I left the meeting? No. I didn't think it would get done. I didn't think it		
12	was you know, it was like 2:30 I don't know, what time was that? Might have been		
13	2, 3 o'clock.		
14	After midnight.		
15	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> It was late at night. And you know, I was, like, okay, we're done.		
16	Eric and I left. And I didn't leave thinking that, you know, Sidney Powell was the special		
17	counsel, let's put it that way, or ever would be. I didn't think that was going to happen.		
18	What was said in the meeting by people, you have to ask them. Did people say		
19	things along those lines? They may have, but I didn't think it was a real thing that had		
20	happened, or, you know, no. Did she want to be that? Yeah. Did other people want		
21	her to be a special counsel? Yes, but it didn't happen. I didn't think it was a good idea.		
22	I thought it was a terrible idea.		
23	Ms. Cheney. And who were some of the other people who contemplated that		
24	she would be special counsel?		
25	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I mean, I think General Flynn did. I think Patrick Byrne did. I		

1	don't know who else may have.		
2	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Did the President?		
3	Mr. Cipollone. I'm not gonna get again, I understand the question, but that's		
4	an area that I think I have to honor the privilege as I've been directed.		
5	Ms. Cheney. Do you know, Pat, did this group meet with the President before		
6	any attorneys from the White House showed up?		
7	Mr. Cipollone. I don't know the answer to that question because when I got		
8	there, they were already in there. Could be. I don't know. People were on the		
9	phone. And look, you know, in terms of was it something people things get said		
10	sometimes and then they're not really done. And things get said, you know, for reasons		
11	that, you know, are unrelated to whether or not it was actually sort of going to get done.		
12	So when I left it was a 6-hour meeting, something along those lines. It was late in the		
13	morning. I didn't have the view that we had Sidney Powell had been appointed		
14	special counsel, and she ultimately wasn't, obviously.		
15	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Okay. Thank you.		
16	Thanks, Ms. Cheney.		
17	did you have something to follow up on on December 18th?		
18	Yeah.		
19	BY		
20	Q Just a couple of follow-up clarifications.		
21	Mr. Cipollone, did Sidney Powell make assertions in this meeting about foreign		
22	actors interfering with the election, including manipulation of voting machines?		
23	A Probably. Yeah. I don't have a specific I'm sure. I mean, there were a		
24	lot of all of those kind of things were raised by the three of the people who were at the		
25	meeting.		

1	Q Ok	kay.	And you mentioned earlier some of Mr. Lyons' efforts to push back
2	during this mee	eting.	
3	Do you r	recall	whether he raised to Ms. Powell the fact that she and the campaign
4	had lost all of th	he 60	cases that they had brought in litigation?

A Yes, he raised that.

- Q And what was the response?
- 7 A I don't remember what she said. I don't think it was a good response.
- 8 Q Generally, was the topic of the --
 - A If you can tell me what others have said about that response, I can tell you if that's my recollection, but -- yeah. I mean, people were saying -- the point was you had your chance, okay, and in terms of, you know, losing or whatever -- some of that was procedural, some -- you know, but at the end of the day -- and again, it's fully within the right of candidates to challenge things in court in appropriate ways. But I think what Derek was thinking and certainly I was thinking and certainly Eric was thinking, was like, Hey, you were the lawyers doing this on the outside, you did it, and here we are. So I think Derek did say that, and I thought it was a good point.
 - Q We showed you a couple of versions of the proposed executive orders earlier. One of the distinctions in the versions that we've seen is a change in the relevant Federal agencies that they purport to direct to take some actions. Some versions we've seen involve the Department of Homeland Security, and others involve the Department of Defense.
 - Do you remember discussion in this lengthy meeting at any point about a role for the Department of Defense in seizing voting machines?
 - A I'm sure there was such a discussion in terms of -- I think the general topic of seizing voting machines was discussed in terms of the agency that actually did that.

1	think there was some discussion of Homeland Security. I think there was some		
2	discussion of Department of Defense. And again, there's no authority to do that, and		
3	that's not a good thing to do, obviously. And it was a terrible idea. So did General		
4	Flynn talk about that? Probably. But I don't have a specific recollection of that.		
5	Q And I think you mentioned at the outset that you recalled that Robert		
6	O'Brien may have participated in some portion of this meeting.		
7	Do you remember when he what portion of the meeting, when he was added,		
8	and if he left, when he did so?		
9	A He was on the phone, I believe, during the early portion of the meeting in		
10	the Oval Office because people were being patched into the phone. I don't know how		
11	long he was on the call for.		
12	Ms. Cheney. One other question on the executive orders; are you aware if the		
13	President signed either of those documents?		
14	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I'm not aware of that. I don't believe that's the case, at least as		
15	far as I know or can recall on that.		
16	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> But you're not aware either way?		
17	Mr. Cipollone. No. If you have some information that could refresh my		
18	recollection, let me know what it is. But, no, this didn't get done. I also remember I		
19	can't remember where in time, but there was another conversation where Ken Cuccinelli		
20	was brought in, and he also said, you know, there's no authority for this.		
21	To seize voting machines?		
22	Mr. Cipollone. Yeah, I think so. Yeah. And no. I mean, this was not no		
23	one that I'm aware of, in terms of government lawyers who were asked about this,		
24	thought that this was a legal sort of option that had any legal authority or that it was a		
25	good idea. It was a bad idea. It was being brought to the President by people who I		

1	don't believe had his best interest in mind. And part of the reason I was angry at these
2	meetings, and I tried to, you know, be respectful as best I could was because I thought
3	they were doing the country and the President, both in his capacity as President and his
4	personal capacity, a disservice. And they were giving him very bad advice. He
5	ultimately did not take that advice, but they were giving him very bad advice.
6	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Pat, did the President have the authority to appoint Sidney Powell
7	as special counsel?
8	Mr. Cipollone. Again, we've I don't know that we ever really carefully
9	considered that. I mean, in terms of the Justice Department, I think the Attorney
10	General has an authority to appoint special counsel. I think this idea that you would pur
11	investigative special counsel in the White House, that was not something that, you know,
12	I thought was either a good idea, or, you know, the best approach to a special counsel.
13	Look, the President is the President, and ultimately, there's executive authority, but I
14	think under the regulations at the Department of Justice, I would think that, you know, a
15	direct appointment of a special counsel I think normally would go through the Attorney
16	General in making a determination of appointment of a special counsel.
17	Ms. Cheney. But would the President have authority to appoint counsel at the
18	White House to undertake the activities that she had described?
19	Mr. Cipollone. Well, does the President have authority to appoint counsel at the
20	White House? Yes. A counsel at the White House that would, you know with
21	subpoena power or ability to do this kind of investigation? I don't believe so. I think it
22	would have to be done by the Department of Justice.
23	Ms. Cheney. But the question of the President's authority to make the
24	appointment in the same way that I think you told the President had the authority to

grant her security clearance, there doesn't seem to be a question about that?

1	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I think it's a more complicated question. The security clearance			
2	is very clear.			
3	Ms. Cheney. And did he grant Sidney Powell security clearance?			
4	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I'm not going to talk about people may have said in the meeting.			
5	Did Sidney Powell ultimately have a security clearance or work in any capacity for			
6	the government? No, as far as I understand. Whether she has a security clearance,			
7	you know, as an individual on the outside, I don't know. But I don't think so.			
8	Ms. Cheney. And Pat, just one last question on this. Are you aware whether			
9	the President signed this document during the period of time he was meeting with these			
10	individuals without any government lawyers present?			
11	Mr. Cipollone. I have no awareness of that as I sit here today, no. I mean,			
12	based on my recollection.			
13	Did he sign this document? I don't this document never was enacted by the			
14	President as I understand it. So I don't know.			
15	Ms. Cheney. Thank you.			
16	Mr. Cipollone. If you have information that you'd like to give me, let me know			
17	what it is, but based on my recollection, Representative Cheney, of that meeting, no.			
18	don't think this was something signed. But if you have one that's signed, let me know			
19	what it is. I don't remember that.			
20	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.			
21	Okay. Mr. Raskin, anything else?			
22	Mr. Raskin. Yes. Just to follow up on Ms. Cheney's question. Did the			
23	proponents of this idea of appointing Sidney Powell as special counsel offer any clarity			
24	about whether they were talking about making her special counsel in the Department of			
25	Justice or in the White House?			

1	Mr. Cipollone. I think they were unclear about that. I think they wanted her to			
2	be special counsel. But part of my understanding of what they were proposing is that			
3	she be a special counsel or be a counsel in the White House to look at this, at least that's			
4	my recollection. I don't think they were thinking through the procedure in any real wa			
5	of how this would get done if you were doing it in a normal way.			
6	Mr. Raskin. I see. I don't know exactly where you are on the question of the			
7	unitary executive, but did you feel the need in any way to countermand the assumption			
8	after the meeting that she had been appointed special counsel?			
9	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> To countermand I don't understand the use of that word. I'm			
10	the White House counsel. The President is in charge of you know, obviously so I give			
11	advice.			
12	Mr. Raskin. Well, I guess			
13	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Was there do I recall, sort of, an ongoing effort or question by			
14	her to get herself appointed or get this thing done? Yeah. Did I work on that with			
15	Mark Meadows and others? Yes. Was she appointed			
16	Mr. Raskin. How long?			
17	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> How long?			
18	Mr. Raskin. Forgive me. I think there's a delay in audio. That's why we keep			
19	interrupting by accident.			
20	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I'll try to be that's my fault. I'll try to be better about that.			
21	Mr. Raskin. How long you needed to pursue the question, how long did that go			
22	on for?			
23	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, I don't have a specific recollection, Representative Raskin,			
24	about the length of time. Like anything else in those days, these are things that you			
25	thought were done, and then would come back around. And so, I don't remember on			

1 this one in particular, but I do know it never happened. 2 Mr. Raskin. But in other words, it was something that you had to contend with or push back on for several days, even after the meeting on the 18th? 3 4 Mr. Cipollone. I think that's correct, yeah. I think that's correct. I'm -- I 5 believe it kept coming back. I think Mark, you know, was dealing with it as well, Mark Meadows. And so, based on what he was saying to me, it didn't seem like something he 6 7 was in favor of either. But that's what he was saying to me, and I had no reason to 8 doubt that. But then it -- at some point, it went away, you know. 9 Mr. Raskin. And did she -- did you ever see her again in that period? 10 ever show up again at the White House? 11 Mr. Cipollone. I don't know if she showed up again at the White House. I don't have any recollection of seeing her again. It's possible, but I don't have a recollection of 12 13 seeing her again at the White House. Mr. Raskin. Okay. Thank you. I yield back. 14 15 Thanks, Mr. Raskin. BY 16 Let me zoom out quickly? 17 Q Ms. Cheney. 18 Oh, yes. Sorry. Yeah. Ms. Cheney. Go ahead. 19 20 Ms. Cheney. First of all to say to Pat, we appreciate very much your role in this. And when you talk about -- first of all, this issue that it kept coming back up again, 21 22 do you recall who kept bringing it back up again? Mr. Cipollone. Well, Sidney Powell kept bringing it back up again. That would 23 24 be one person I recall. Mark was, you know, dealing with it. I can't remember whether she tried to contact me or my office at some point. I don't know. But

- 1 primarily -- again, with the caveat that I'm not talking about conversations with the 2 President, I think the key proponent, as I remember it, the chief vocal proponent of -- one of them of Sidney Powell becoming special counsel was Sidney Powell. 3 4 Ms. Cheney. Was she speaking to Peter Navarro? 5 Mr. Cipollone. I don't know. I don't know. Ms. Cheney. So when you would hear that it kept coming back up again, how 6 were you getting that information? 7 8 Mr. Cipollone. Primarily through Mark Meadows. 9 Ms. Cheney. And then, just back on the meeting itself for just a minute, can you 10 tell us how the meeting finally ended? 11 Mr. Cipollone. Well, I think Eric Herschmann and I had expressed our opinion over and over again, and I think at some point in the meeting, towards the end of it, we 12 13 left. I don't remember -- I think the meeting was essentially over. It was breaking up, is my recollection. But Eric and I left, we left the residence is my recollection of things. 14 15 I think Derek stayed a little bit later, but I think that's what happened. And my sense is the meeting broke up very shortly thereafter. 16 Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Did you stay -- did you see the other people leave? 17 Mr. Cipollone. I don't remember if I saw the other people leave. I don't -- we 18 left -- my recollection, Representative Cheney, is that Eric and I left, okay, but I didn't -- I 19 20 think we might have been -- I think there were other people there still when we left. Ms. Cheney. Thank you. 21 22 Mr. Raskin. could I have one final follow-up? Sure. Yes. 23
 - Mr. Raskin. Mr. Cipollone, when the matter continued to flare up over the next several days, was it your understanding that Sidney Powell was still seeking an

appointment, or that she was asserting that she had been appointed by the President at the December 18th meeting?

Mr. <u>Cipollone</u>. You know, now that you mention it, probably both. You know, in terms of like -- I think she was -- I think she may have been of the view that she had been appointed and was seeking to, you know, get that done, and that she should be appointed. In other words, she was taking the view, Hey, I have been, this is what I've been told, and we need to get this done, because there's a formal process obviously of getting on-boarded into the government.

Mr. <u>Raskin.</u> Is there anyone in the White House who has that title of special counsel?

Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, I mean, there may be -- not in the sense of what she was talking about. But yeah, Emmet Flood who -- when I joined, who's an excellent lawyer and a good friend of mine, he was special counsel in the White House dealing with the Mueller investigation on the part of the President. I believe President Biden has appointed -- that's a title within the White House. You can be special counsel for an activity. I believe President Biden has appointed special counsel to deal with congressional oversight I read recently. But the idea that you appoint a special counsel in the same way that the Justice Department, the Attorney General can appoint special counsel to do an investigation, that's not something that I'm familiar with happening at the White House. That's not what I think about when I think of a special counsel in the White House.

Mr. <u>Raskin.</u> And they were contemplating she would be special counsel to investigate the Presidential election of 2020?

Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> That's my understanding, but you'll have to ask her what she was contemplating.

1	Mr. <u>Raskin.</u> Gotcha. Thank you much, and I yield back.
2	All right. Thanks, Mr. Raskin.
3	BY
4	Q Last thing on this, I just want to go back to something you said a couple
5	minutes ago, Mr. Cipollone, that this is not only a bad idea on the merits, on the facts in
6	the law, but would be bad for the country and be bad for the President personally.
7	Talk more about that. Why was this on a broader scale a bad idea for the
8	country?
9	A To have the Federal Government seize voting machines, that's a terrible idea
10	for the country, you know? That's not how we do things in the United States. There's
11	no legal authority to do that. And there is a way to contest elections. You know, that
12	happens all the time. But the idea that the Federal Government could come in and seize
13	election machines, no. That's I don't understand why I would even have to tell you
14	why that's a bad idea for the country. That's a terrible idea.
15	Q Yeah. I understand. I want to move on to another idea that was
16	proposed, and that is fake electors.
17	Did you have some involvement in plans to convene slates of Trump/Pence
18	electors in States that Trump had lost in the popular vote?
19	A I don't recall having a lot of involvement in that. I think that was being
20	done obviously, again, that's something that would be done by outside campaign
21	lawyers. You know, that's something that I think there's a precertification, you know,
22	and post-certification and analysis that would need to be done there. But no, I don't
23	recall having a lot of involvement in that.
24	Q Let me point you to exhibit 24 in your binder. This is an email that was
25	sent, I believe

1	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Hey,
2	Yes.
3	Ms. Cheney. Sorry about that. Just before we go to fake electors, if we're
4	completely leaving the 18th, I just wanted to ask: Pat, was there any discussion before
5	you and Eric left of the tweet that went out at 1 a.m. that morning of the 19th?
6	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Can you remind me what that tweet was?
7	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Yeah. This was the tweet the President ended with, you know,
8	"be there, be wild," referencing January 6th.
9	Mr. Cipollone. I don't remember having a discussion about that tweet.
10	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> So did you become aware of the tweet that evening, or, I guess, it
11	would be now the next morning?
12	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I don't know when I became aware of that tweet. I don't have
13	Twitter. I don't have social media. So if it was discussed in that meeting, I'm not
14	having a recollection of that.
15	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Okay. Thank you.
16	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> If other people say it was I don't have a reason that's one
17	point I've made before, is I'm giving you the best recollection that I have of events that
18	took place a year and a half ago. Other people have their own recollections. I'm not
19	here to, you know, question anybody else's recollection. I'm just giving you the best.
20	And if you can give me something that refreshes, I'll talk more about it.
21	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
22	Okay. Thanks, Ms. Cheney.
23	BY
24	Q Let me just point you again, Mr. Cipollone, to exhibit 24, this is an email that

came from Speaker Gingrich very early, November the 12th, to Meadows and to you,

- about alternate slates of electors, indicates "Is someone in charge of coordinating all the
- electors? Evans makes the point that all the contested electors have to meet on
- 3 December 14th, send in ballots to force contests, which the house would then have to
- 4 settle. Newt?"

- First of all, do you recall receiving this message from Newt Gingrich back as early as just a week or so after the election?
- 7 A I don't remember receiving this message, but obviously I received it so.
 - Q Yeah. What do you recall about the prospect of the needing these slates of electors in States -- battleground States that the President had lost?
 - A Do you know who Evans is?
 - Q It's Ambassador Evans.
 - A Okay. That's what I thought. Speaker Gingrich had a friend who he thought was a good lawyer who could help -- and by the way, early on, you know, there was kind of a notion, let's get some, you know, good lawyers who can actually think about these things and effectively go about them to represent the President on the outside and by people in the White House, people in the campaign. He had Justin Clark and Matt Morgan. And so, I think Mr. Evans was somebody who Mr. Gingrich, Speaker Gingrich thought was a very effective lawyer. I don't recall this, but if I had to -- I mean, I guess there was -- there was some suggestion -- I take it from this, that, you know, alternate slates of electors for consideration by State houses that were considering this, and maybe this is what that means. But I don't have any recollection.
 - Q Yeah. I guess, my question is exactly that, whether you and your office, the White House Counsel, got involved in any way in assessing whether or not these alternate slates were lawful, had a basis in fact or law, or if this was something being done by folks on the outside?

I think this was done primarily by people on the outside that we have 1 Α 2 any -- like, there was a lot going on during that time period. O Yeah. 3 4 People were, you know, coming in from the outside. There were lots of 5 meetings. Whether we were involved in meetings or had discussions, you know, But no. In terms of the principal people who were looking at this, this was 6 probably. 7 people on the outside. 8 Q Okay. Do you remember any discussions about alternate electors, fake 9 electors, with John Eastman? 10 Α I don't. I don't. As I sit here, I don't have any recollection. Could that 11 have been a discussion? It might have been. How about Rudy Giuliani or any other lawyers representing the campaign? 12 13 Α I don't have a specific recollection. But did I have discussions with people, you know, with lawyers at the campaign? Yeah, I did on occasion, or when they were in 14 the White House or they were meeting. 15 About this subject, or just --16 Α I don't remember about this subject. I'm not saying I didn't, but I just don't 17 have a specific recollection of a discussion about this. 18 Q This email from Newt Gingrich went to both Meadows and you. 19 20 Do you member talking to Mr. Meadows about alternate electors? No. I mean, I don't -- I might have, but I don't remember it. 21 22 Q Okay. We have received --Again, all of these things -- I viewed my role to be, I'm the counsel to the 23 Α President at the White House. I was a government lawyer. Obviously, you know, 24 senior government officials are allowed to interact with the campaign.

1	Q	Sure.
2	Α	There's whole protocol for that, and there's a whole actually, the ethics
3	lawyers in r	ny office, Scott Gast and his team had put out many, many emails how that
4	was suppos	ed to work and all that. So, yeah, I mean but things like this, you know,
5	pursuing lit	igation and all that stuff, that was run by people on the outside.
6	Q	I understand. Do you know whether or not, Mr. Cipollone, whether the
7	White Hous	se Counsel's Office provided a legal opinion on or other assessment of the
8	legality of c	onvening these alternate or contingent electors?
9	Α	I don't. I don't recall. If we provide legal opinions, Pat Philbin would be
10	involved in	that.
11	Q	Okay. We have received testimony, White House Counsel's Office provided
12	a legal opin	ion about the legality of the slates.
13	Α	Okay. A written legal opinion?
14	Q	Unclear if it's written or if it was conveyed orally to the President.
15	Α	Okay. I'm not going to discuss anything that may have particularly legal
16	advice.	
17	Q	l understand.
18	Α	But to the extent that I would be looking at anything like this, this is
19	something	that Pat Philbin would look at, you know, so obviously you had a discussion
20	with him.	
21		Go ahead, Ms. Cheney.
22	Ms.	Cheney. I want to just clarify, legal opinion has a particular meaning, and we
23	need to be	careful about how we're asking the question. I'm not sure that we're asking

Mr. Cipollone. Okay. And you're right, Representative Cheney. If I'm asked

specifically about a legal opinion.

24

1	for a written legal opinion, what the normal process that would be done normally, we'd			
2	go to OLC, we'd talk to Steve Engel, we'd get his advice. I'd talk to Pat Philbin. If there			
3	was an agency involved, then we would talk to the agency's general counsel, and we			
4	would render an opinion.			
5	If President wanted to issue an executive order, for example, that was a very			
6	detailed process. It went through all sorts of clearance at an agency, in my office, and at			
7	OLC before it was issued. So we rendered legal clearance and legal opinions in that way.			
8	BY			
9	Q That's a good point. I'm not talking about a formal opinion that was vetted			
10	through OLC, but rather an assessment about the legality.			
11	Let me just read you the testimony that we got. This is from Cassidy Hutchinson:			
12	"To be clear, did you hear the White House Counsel's Office say that this plan to have			
13	alternate electors meet and cast votes for Donald Trump in states that he had lost was			
14	not legally sound?"			
15	Answer, "Yes, sir.			
16	"Do you remember approximately when that was?"			
17	Answer, "Not sure. Not trying to be overly broad right now. I can recall at the			
18	time, perhaps early to mid-December, could have been the end of November."			
19	But the general testimony was that there the view of the White House Counsel's			
20	Office, that it was not legally sound. Is that consistent with your recollection?			
21	A Again, I'm not going to get into either my legal advice on matters, and the			
22	other thing I don't want to do is, again, other witnesses have their own recollections of			
23	things. So, you know, I may have a different recollection of things.			
24	And in this case, do I recall rendering a formal legal opinion? I don't. I don't.			
25	Did I often make I don't know about the Cassidy Hutchinson, but did I often say things			

- like, you know, this is not a good idea, and because I'm a lawyer, people took things
- that -- well, the lawyers are saying nothing -- they might have. But as I sit here today, I
- don't remember focusing on this issue that much. Maybe Pat Philbin focused on it a lot.
- 4 And as I sit here today, I'm not going to render a legal opinion now.

1	
2	[11:12 a.m.]
3	BY Control of the con
4	Q Yeah.
5	Ms. Cheney, go ahead.
6	Ms. Cheney. Thanks.
7	Maybe we could ask it this way, Pat. Did you think it was a good idea to send
8	fake electors to Congress?
9	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, again, without getting into the terminology, I thought it was
10	a good idea to, once things were certified and once the process was done, was to follow
11	that. That's what I thought.
12	Ms. Cheney. Thank you.
13	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I believe Leader McConnell went onto the floor of the Senate, I
14	believe in mid-December, and basically said, you know, the process is done. You know,
15	that would be in line with my thinking on these things.
16	Ms. Cheney. Thank you.
17	Yeah.
18	Mrs. Luria, I see you've turned your camera on. Go ahead.
19	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Yes. Well, thank you.
20	I would like to go back to a comment that Mr. Cipollone said a couple minutes ago
21	about the process for issuing an executive order.
22	Mr. Cipollone, is it your impression that the President understood there was a
23	lengthy process that required all of this review in order to issue an executive order,
24	irregardless of the topic, and just if that process was necessary [inaudible]?
25	Mr. Cipollone. I believe the President understood, you know, the general

1	process. And, you know, it can be done quickly, and was, on certain occasions, but I
2	think he understood that there was a process and then the executive order would be
3	brought to him. We would come sometimes, but a lot of the times this was done when
4	the final product was completed through Derek Lyons, the staff secretary, and he would
5	sign it.
6	Mrs. Luria. So he would expect that to come from certain people within his own
7	staff, if something was brought from the outside? Or
8	Mr. Cipollone. Oh, no, if something well, I'm just talking about the normal
9	process for a government executive order for the President.
LO	Often it started with, you know, the policy shop or with the President himself or
1	with others who wanted to do something by executive order. Counsel's Office would be
L2	involved in that. If it impacted a particular agency, the agency policy people, the
L3	Cabinet Secretary sometimes, and certainly their lawyers, the general counsel, would be
L4	involved in that.
L5	It would be sent through a process where everyone could chime in and give
L6	comments, and it would get cleared through OLC, and then the President would sign that.
L7	How much, you know, sort of I mean, the President understood that there was a
L8	lot of work being done on these things. Whether he knew exactly who was doing it
L9	or he knew the Counsel's Office was involved, he knew Steve Engel was involved. So I
20	think he had a good understanding of this.
21	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> So, in the case of this executive order that we've been discussing at
22	length in this meeting, is it your impression that he would've viewed this as something
23	that had gone through that extensive process, in the way it was presented to him?
0.4	Mr. Cipallana I dan't know how he viewed this And I den't want to speculate

about how he viewed it.

1	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Thank you.
2	ВУ
3	Q All right, Mr. Cipollone, let me go back. You mentioned Mr. Philbin
4	would've been asked to look at this. We interviewed Mr. Philbin. He described the
5	effort to convene Trump electors in States that he lost as one of the bad theories that
6	were like, he'd used the term "whack-a-mole," in the White House during this period.
7	Is that consistent with
8	A That's consistent with yeah.
9	And, again, Pat and I were, you know, very closely aligned on these issues.
10	relied on Pat, to the extent we had to look at something, you know, to look at it in more
11	detail.
12	I would come at things, you know and I had a lot of things going on, but, you
13	know, if something needed a deep dive, sometimes I would get involved, but Philbin
14	would look at it and other people would look at it. Then we'd have a meeting, and I'd
15	you know, ask a lot of questions
16	Q Yeah.
17	A and get up to speed. But, yeah, no, Philbin
18	Q Yeah.
19	A Philbin is somebody I would rely on.
20	Q It's my Exactly. Right? Pat Philbin to Cipollone.
21	I'll take that opportunity. Can I just ask one point of clarification?
22	BY
23	Q Mr. Cipollone, earlier you said, when we first introduced this topic of fake
24	electors or electors being sent from States that Trump lost, as a distinction between
25	conversations that may have happened pre-certification versus post-certification.

	Can you explain to	us more what y	ou meant by	"certification"	and what	the
		- 3				
reievan	ice is in the process	S:				

A Well, for example, when a State meets and certifies the election results, I think there was a thinking before that happened by some people that, you know, if there was going to be proof of fraud and if there was going to be evidence of fraud -- and, again, this is in part speculation, because I did not do a deep analysis of any of this. And maybe I shouldn't even speculate about this. But I think there was an idea that, if that happened and if they did find fraud, there had to be, you know, an alternate slate. But I don't know.

But then once certification -- so you're using terms like "fake electors." I don't know what you mean when you're saying that. Are you saying early in the process?

Are you saying after certification? Those kind of things.

Q Well, is it fair to say that, after the States had certified the election results, you felt or it was your opinion that it was not appropriate for anyone to convene and send slates of Trump electors from States in which Trump had lost?

A I don't know that I looked at that question in any detail specifically. Again, I would rely on Mr. Philbin's testimony on that, to the extent he would certainly represent the views of our office. And Pat Philbin and I were in agreement, you know, on these kind of things 100 percent 99 percent of the time, I would say.

We would have discussions about -- but, again, this was not something that I have a recollection of our office looking at in any detail. I was more looking at the general issue from a positive view. I was like, okay, there's a process; the process -- there are certain deadlines, and at some point that process is completed, as it normally is, litigation is completed, and, you know, the election is certified.

```
Do you remember this topic coming up, the alternate electors, in
 1
               Q
 2
        conversations --
               Ms. Cheney. could we --
 3
 4
                              Yep. Sorry --
 5
               Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> -- just take a 5-minute break, please?
               Mr. Cipollone. That would be great.
 6
 7
                            Sure.
 8
               Ms. Cheney. Thanks.
 9
               [Recess.]
10
                              All right. Going back on the record.
                       BY
11
                     I want to move now, if I can, Mr. Cipollone, to the issue of the Vice
12
        President's authority at the joint session and, first, just ask sort of generally if you recall
13
14
        this being an issue that you and your team at the White House Counsel's Office looked
        into, the authority of the Vice President with respect to the counting of the electoral
15
        votes at the joint session.
16
                     We looked into it. Pat Philbin looked at it. But primarily I think Greg
17
       Jacob in the Vice President's office was looking, doing a detailed analysis of this for the
18
        Vice President.
19
20
               Q
                     Uh-huh.
                     I think also there was an issue of this would be in his capacity as president of
21
       the Senate and all that. And so --
22
23
               Q
                     Right.
                     -- he -- Greg is a very, very talented and skilled lawyer. I worked with him
24
25
        closely when he became the Vice President's counsel.
```

1	So h	e was looking at it closely. Pat was also looking at it. And I was looking at	
2	it, to some	extent, but also working with those two.	
3	Q	Around when did that assessment begin?	
4	А	I don't know when it began. I remember when it sort of got, you know,	
5	fully on my	radar screen. And, as I recall it and I'm sure people were looking at it	
6	before this	time. But, as I recall it, it kind of came up to me, I think it was, right after	
7	that Jeff Cla	ork meeting	
8	Q	Uh-huh.	
9	Α	which I believe was the 3rd of January.	
10	Q	It was.	
11	Α	And so I'm sure I was aware of it before that, I'm sure people were looking at	
12	it before that, but when it became really sort of a pronounced issue in my mind, in terms		
13	of would be after that time.		
14	l me	an, we were dealing with the whole Jeff Clark situation, you know, through	
15	the new yea	ar, you know, into the first couple days of January, and I was primarily focused	
16	on that.		
17	Q	Right. Right. It was exactly around that time I won't show you these,	
18	but I think v	we sent you in advance a series of exhibits 8, 9, 10, and 11. These were	
19	emails that	Greg Jacob sent to you that had some Law Review articles and some	
20	scholarship	about this topic of	
21	Α	Right.	
22	Q	Do you recall receiving those and passing them along to Mr. Philbin?	
23	Α	I'm sure I received them. I'm sure that if anybody read the Law Review	
24	articles, tha	t would've been Pat Philbin. I may have skimmed them, but I don't have a	

recollection of going into detail about this myself. But Pat certainly looked at it. I had

1 discussions about it --Yeah. 2 Q -- with Pat Philbin, with Greg Jacob, with Marc Short and people like that. 3 Q And tell us your view, Mr. Cipollone, upon those discussions with Mr. Philbin, 5 with Greg Jacob, what was your assessment as to what the Vice President could or could not do at the joint session? 6 7 What was my assessment --8 O Yes. 9 Α -- about what he could or couldn't do? 10 Q Yes, your view of the issue. 11 Α My view was that the Vice President didn't have the legal authority to do anything except what he did --12 Q Yeah. 13 -- in terms of, kind of, those issues. 14 15 Q Okay. 16 Mr. Philbin, who looked at this closely, told the select committee that you asked 17 him to look into the theory of the Vice President's unilateral power at the joint session. 18 He looked into it. He discussed with you his conclusions that any such theory -- that the Vice President had authority to reject slates of electors -- was wrong and that he 19 20 conveyed that to you and to Mr. Jacob. Is that, again, generally consistent with --21 22 Α Yes. Q 23 Okay. And I know you will not share with us direct communications with the President, 24

but is it fair to say the President understood your and the White House Counsel's position

on	
Α	Again, I think that falls within the privilege.
Q	Do you remember discussing this with any of the outside lawyers that we've
discussed	Mr. Giuliani or any of his team?
Α	I don't remember discussing this with Mr. Giuliani.
Q	How about Members of Congress, with Leader McConnell or Senator Lee or
any	
Α	I might've discussed it, yeah. Certainly Senator McConnell I had discussions
with genera	ally. You know, I and Senator Lee, you know, who I know, who was also a
great lawye	r, and so, yeah, I'm sure I might've had discussions with him.
But	I can't remember specific I mean, again, just going back to it, there was sort
of, kind of,	as I look at it chronologically, as I think back on it, you know, we got through
that Decem	ber 18th meeting. You know, then we had the holidays, but around
the hol I	mean, the new year and the end of and, obviously, we were working
through tha	et entire period, because we were also starting to, you know Pat and I were
working wit	th Chris Liddell and I can't remember exactly when this started to have the
transition.	Like, you know, there was a lot of work that needed to be done, and we
were worki	ng with Chris and his team.
Q	Uh-huh.
Α	And then we had and we had Jeff Clark. And then this happens.
So, e	over that time, was I having discussions with people on the Hill about I
probably w	as, yeah.
Q	And, in those discussions, were you conveying to Leader McConnell or
	A Q discussed A Q any A with general great lawye But of, kind of, a that Decem the hol I through that working with transition. were working Q A So, G probably was

Senator Lee or others your view that the Vice President did not have the authority to

24

25

reject these slates of electors?

1	Α	Again, I'm sure I was conveying to people that that was my view, you know,
2	if they aske	d me that.
3	lt wa	as primarily a question that I was thinking about with the Vice President's
4	team	
5	Q	Right.
6	Α	in terms of, you know, conveying my views.
7	Q	Yeah. And it sounds like your views were aligned with theirs, that you
8	shared	
9	Α	Extremely aligned with theirs.
10	Q	Yeah.
11	Let's	talk about John Eastman. Were you familiar with Mr. Eastman,
12	Dr. Eastmar	, before the 2020 election? Did you have any relationship with him or
13	familiarity v	vith him?
14	Α	I was familiar with John Eastman. I met him a long time ago when I was
15	working at I	Kirkland & Ellis, and he was, I believe, working in the Washington office there
16	at the time.	So I met him back then. I think he went out to California; he became a law
17	professor.	
18	Q	Uh-huh.
19	Α	You know, I had a generally good opinion of John Eastman. You know, he
20	was a smart	lawyer. He clerked on the Supreme Court, I believe.
21	Q	Uh-huh.
22	Α	So I think the general view and then, in the administration, my interactions
23	before this	time with John Eastman were related primarily to the whole issue of birthright
24	citizenship a	and whether or not there could be an executive order on birthright citizenship.
25	And John ha	ad done, I was told, a lot of work on this issue, had written scholarly opinions

- about it, et cetera, et cetera. So I interacted with him on that, because I was asked to.
- 2 And he talked to Pat Philbin, he may have talked to people over at DOJ about that issue
- 3 and given his views.
- 4 Q Do you have any idea, Mr. Cipollone, how the President came to rely on his
- 5 advice with respect to the 2020 election, the Vice President's authority, all of the issues
- 6 we've been discussing?
- 7 A I don't know precisely how, but I know that the President had a generally
- 8 positive view of John Eastman and his, you know, legal analysis, primarily as a result of
- 9 what I just said --
- 10 Q Yeah.
- 11 A -- was my understanding.
- 12 Q Okay.
- A I think that was sort of how he got to know John Eastman. I don't know
- who introduced him to John Eastman. And that's how I had interacted with John prior
- to this time.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A There was some idea that there would be an executive order on -- you know,
- we looked at it closely, and it turned out -- well, there was never an executive order on
- 19 that.
- 20 Q Yeah. Well, that was well before the --
- 21 A It was, but you asked me how did he --
- 22 Q Yeah.
- 23 A -- get to know him. I think that's how he got to know him.
- 24 Q Okay.
- I want to talk about the post-election period and your interaction with

Dr. Eastman. We have received his phone records --1 Α Uh-huh. 2 -- and they reflect that there were three telephone conversations between 3 4 Eastman and you on December the 4th, relatively early in the post-election period. 5 Do you have any recollection about the subject matter of those conversations? I don't have a specific recollection of the subject matter. I would think the 6 Α 7 general subject matter would be related to the election, but I don't have a specific 8 recollection. 9 0 Yeah. There was a 30-minute call, a 26-minute call. And this was just 10 before the filing of the Texas v. Pennsylvania Supreme Court case. Does that refresh 11 your recollection about the --That might've been what we talked about. I don't know if it was just me or 12 if I had my phone with, you know, Pat or who -- I don't -- I don't remember that call 13 specifically. 14 15 0 Okay. Α But if that's the timing of it, then maybe that's what it was about. 16 Q All right. 17 Did you at some point after that become aware of Dr. Eastman's view of what the 18 Vice President could do at the joint session and form an opinion of that view? 19 20 Α At some point. But, again, I think it was well after that --Q 21 Okay. 22 -- time period, at least in my recollection of things, that, you know, we -- I think it was the time -- was I aware of it before the early part of January? Yes, I probably 23 Did I focus on it or think about it a lot prior to that? Well, there were other 24 things that were coming sort of one after the other that I was more focused on. 25

1	But I'm sure Pat Philbin and I don't know what he told you but I'm sure Pat		
2	Philbin may	have been looking at it. I know Greg was looking at it. I know Greg did a	
3	lot of work on it		
4	Q	Yeah.	
5	Α	was my sense, and, you know, looked at it very seriously and analyzed it.	
6	That's my s	ense.	
7	Q	And that's exactly right. They have both told us, Mr. Philbin and Mr. Jacob,	
8	that they lo	oked very closely at the Eastman memos, the Eastman theory, and thought	
9	that it had	no basis, that it was not a strategy that the President should pursue.	
10	It so	ounds like that's consistent with your impression as well.	
11	Α	My impression would've been informed, certainly, by them.	
12	Q	Yeah.	
13	А	But my impression also is, again, I you know, I definitely look at all that and	
14	talk about i	t, but I also come to things, like, with a commonsense perspective of, okay,	
15	what are you saying can happen here, and then just thinking about it and saying		
16	Q	Yeah.	
17	Α	it's not right but let's look at the law.	
18	Q	Let's talk about	
19	Α	And, obviously, other lawyers, I think John and others, had a different view	
20	Q	Yeah.	
21	Α	and I understand that, and I understand that they were talking to the	
22	President a	bout that.	
23	Q	Okay.	
24	l wa	nt to talk about direct communications you had with Mr. Eastman,	
25	Dr. Eastmaı	n, himself.	

1	We believe that there was a meeting on January the 4th, the day after the Jeff		
2	Clark meeting that we'll get to later, in Meadows' office where you had a direct		
3	conversation with Dr. Eastman. Do you remember that discussion?		
4	A I remember having a meeting. I don't remember, you know, whether it		
5	was set up well in advance. I remember hearing that he was down there. Maybe Mar		
6	called me down there.		
7	Q Yeah. Do you remember talking with Dr. Eastman about his theory and		
8	expressing your perspective?		
9	A Yes. I mean, I'm sure in that meeting I expressed my perspective on things		
10	Q Tell us what you remember about the meeting.		
11	A I don't have a very clear recollection about the specific conversation, but,		
12	directionally, my view was, you know, this is not something that is consistent with the		
13	appropriate reading of the law.		
14	Q Uh-huh.		
15	A And so I'm sure I conveyed that.		
16	I think that there was was that the day I think there was a day that he was		
17	having a meeting with the President		
18	Q Yes.		
19	A and with the Vice President and with Greg Jacob, I believe Marc Short, an		
20	Mark Meadows.		
21	Q Exactly. It sounds we have learned that the meeting that you had with		
22	him in Mr. Meadows' office immediately preceded that conversation.		
23	A That's my recollection of that.		
24	Q You didn't go to the meeting in the Oval Office where Eastman met with the		
25	President and with the Vice President. Do you remember why you didn't personally		

1	attend?	
2	Α	I did walk to that meeting and I did go into the Oval Office with the idea of
3	attending t	nat meeting, and then I ultimately did not attend that meeting.
4	Q	Yeah. Why not?
5	Α	The reasons for that are privileged.
6	Q	Okay. Were you asked to not attend the meeting, or did you make a
7	personal de	ecision not to attend the meeting?
8	Α	Again, without getting into
9	Mr.	Purpura. Privileged.
10		BY
11	Q	Yeah. Okay.
12	Afte	r the meeting, did you remain or as the meeting was going on, did you
13	remain in th	ne outer Oval Office and have another conversation with Eastman after?
14	Α	I don't remember. I may have. I don't know that I I think, once my
15	recollection	is, once I left the Oval, I believe I went back to my office, but, again
16	Q	There's been reporting that you had sort of an angry confrontation with
17	Dr. Eastmar	n, either before or after this meeting in the Oval Office. Do you remember
18	that?	
19	Α	That could be. Yeah.
20	Q	Tell us what
21	Α	I don't have a specific recollection of having an angry confrontation, but, yo
22	know I th	ink I think he was aware of my views.
23	Q	Uh-huh.
24	We	have received testimony from various people about this. One was Jason
25	Miller. who	was on the campaign. He said, "The way it was communicated to me was

1	that Pat Cip	olione thought the lidea was nutty and at one point confronted castman,	
2	basically, with the same sentiment."		
3	Doe	s that	
4	Α	I don't have any reason to contradict what he said.	
5	Q	Okay.	
6	Α	I don't have, again, a specific recollection.	
7	Q	Okay.	
8	Did	Dr. Eastman ever acknowledge to you that his theory was on uncertain legal	
9	ground or v	vas unlikely to work during your discussions with him?	
LO	Α	I don't remember specifically what he acknowledged. And, of course, like,	
11	lawyers hav	re different views of, you know, this is a legal theory, and then there's a	
L2	separate question of, you know, what is the likelihood of success even if some lawyer		
L3	thinks that	this is inappropriate.	
L4	So I	don't know if I can't could we have had a discussion like that? Yeah, we	
L5	could have.	But I don't recall the specifics of my discussion with John Eastman on that	
L6	day. I thin	k, directionally, I conveyed my views.	
L7	Q	There's been some reporting that, when you asked Dr. Eastman whether his	
L8	theory that	the Vice President could reject electors could actually happen, he responded,	
L9	"Theoretica	lly maybe, but not likely."	
20	You	response: "You just told the President of the United States this is a doable	
21	thing."		
22	And	his response was, "Well, it's worth a shot."	
23	Do y	ou remember any exchange along those lines?	
24	Α	I don't have a specific recollection of that exchange. Again, that sounds like	

somebody who's doing an analysis of is this a reading of the law that he was proposing

versus a likelihood of success, and, you know, sometimes those are different things. 1 2 But I think, just to put it directly --0 Yeah. 3 4 -- people -- John, the Vice President's lawyer, who'd be Marc Short, the Vice 5 President himself -- they knew what I thought. Yeah. Q 6 7 Okay? I thought that the Vice President did not have the authority to do Α 8 what was being suggested under a proper reading of the law. I conveyed that, okay? I 9 think I actually told somebody, you know, in the Vice President's -- "Just blame me." You know, this is -- I'm not a politician, you know. I don't -- but, you know, I just said, 10 "I'm a lawyer. This is my legal opinion." 11 But let me tell you this. Can I say a word about the Vice President? 12 13 Q Please. I think the Vice President did the right thing. I think he did the courageous 14 thing. I have a great deal of respect for Vice President Pence. I worked with him very 15 closely. I think he understood my opinion. I think he understood my opinion 16 afterwards as well. I think he did a great service to this country. And I think I -- I 17 suggested to somebody that he should be given the Presidential Medal of Freedom for his 18 actions. 19 20 Q Yeah. Greg Jacob has shared with us an email that he sent to Dr. Eastman in which he 21 called him -- "You're the serpent in the ear of the President," essentially saying that he 22 was pushing the President to do something -- or Vice President to do something that he 23 knew was -- knew was unlawful, consistent with what I just asked you about. 24

Did he ever concede to you or did you get the sense that he knew that this advice

was specious or did not have a sound factual basis? 1 I don't have a recollection of that. And, again, everybody has their own 2 Α views of what's in somebody's mind or their motives. I'm not here to express any of 3 4 that. 5 Q Yeah. Were you aware at all, Mr. Cipollone, of any discussions directly between the 6 7 President and the Vice President about this issue, the Vice President's authority? 8 Well, I was aware of the meeting on the 4th, so that was a discussion. 9 there were -- I believe there was another meeting with just the Vice President and the President in the Oval Office. 10 11 Q Yeah. Α And then I remember hearing reports of a phone call with the Vice President 12 13 on January 6th. Q That's all --14 I wasn't present for any of that. 15 Okay. Do you have any information about what was discussed, about the 16 contents of those discussions? 17 Α Again, I'm certainly not going to discuss matters that I may have learned, you 18 know, secondhand about conversations between the President and the Vice President of 19 20 the United States. That is at the heart of the privilege. I view that as sacrosanct. So 21 I'm not -- I'm not here to talk about that. 22 Q Okay. Beyond Dr. Eastman's memos, there were some other materials prepared. 23 Johnny McEntee prepared -- I think you sent you this in advance -- a one-page document 24 25 about Thomas Jefferson using his position as Vice President to win the election.

1	Doy	you remember any discussion with him or seeing his assessment	
2	Α	All of the documents you sent me, the various documents	
3	Q	Yeah.	
4	Α	about this, I don't have a recollection of looking through that, reading, you	
5	know, John	ny McEntee's views about this. I don't have a recollection. Did I know	
6	about them	at the time? Probably I did. Maybe I did. But, no, I don't have an	
7	independer	nt recollection today.	
8	Q	Do you have any idea why it is that Johnny McEntee, the director of, you	
9	know, Presi	dential personnel, would be drafting things for the President about legal	
10	issues like t	he Vice President's authority?	
11	Α	Do I have any idea why?	
12	Q	Yeah.	
13	Α	No.	
14	Q	Is that unusual?	
15	Α	But do I well, Johnny McEntee had some people working for him who were	
16	lawyers, who were not part of the White House Counsel's Office, who sometimes had		
17	ideas about	legal things. And sometimes that got to the President through Johnny	
18	McEntee, is my recollection.		
19	Q	Yeah.	
20	Did	you have concerns, Mr. Cipollone, about the flow of information on legal	
21	issues going to the President that you and your White House Counsel's Office were not		
22	involved in	?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Tell us about that.	
25	А	Although, you know, obviously, if the President's having interaction with his	

1 personal counsel or with his campaign counsel, I didn't have a concern about that, you 2 know, as a general matter, because he's entitled to that. 0 Yeah. 3 4 So, no. 5 Were documents being sent to the President, sent to Molly to give to the President? As a general matter, that would happen. 6 7 You know, part of that, you know, prior to the election, is just part of the way 8 things worked, in the sense of, the President wanted to hear a lot of opinions and he 9 wanted to have a free-flowing discussion of these things, on policy matters, on lots of matters. I think that's one of his strengths. I think he wants to hear different opinions, 10 11 he's open to different opinions. He will push back and give his own views of things, and then he is the ultimate 12 13 decision-maker. And I think, as I've said before, I think he made a lot of great policy decisions for the United States that worked well and came out of that process, okay? 14 15 Was it as formal as, you know, some other people who are President would've run things? No, but I think, you know, that's a stylistic thing. 16 Q Uh-huh. 17 Α Does that answer your question? 18 Well -- I see Ms. Cheney has come off of -- put her camera on. 19 Q 20 Ms. Cheney, go ahead. Ms. Cheney. Thanks, 21 22 Pat, I just wanted to go back for a second, and in the context of what you're describing now about how the President operates, were there other occasions where you 23

intended to attend a meeting in the Oval Office and then didn't, for privileged reasons?

Mr. Cipollone. I -- I don't know, you know.

24

1	Generally, if I wanted to attend a meeting in the I didn't attend meetings that,		
2	you know, had nothing to do with me. I had an open invitation from the President to		
3	attend any meeting I want to, as a general matter. And I didn't go to every meeting.		
4	Sometimes if I wasn't at a meeting, he would call me down.		
5	But, again, I think that's a very broad question over a long period of time, so I		
6	don't		
7	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Well, I appreciate that.		
8	In the specific case of this meeting, though, you wanted to attend and, in fact, did		
9	attend, did go to the Oval Office. Is that correct?		
10	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I did go to the Oval Office, yeah, with the intention of attending.		
11	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Okay.		
12	And did you see Mr. Eastman after that meeting?		
13	Mr. Cipollone. I can't remember if I did, but I might have. It sounds like		
14	someone told you that I did after that meeting, but and I don't have an independent		
15	recollection of when I saw him after that meeting.		
16	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> And		
17	Mr. Cipollone. I went back to my office, is my recollection. I didn't hover		
18	around outside of that meeting, if that's what you're asking.		
19	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> In terms of the confrontation with Mr. Eastman, was the President		
20	aware that you disagreed with Mr. Eastman?		
21	Mr. Cipollone. Again, I think that falls within the privilege. I'm not here to		
22	discuss any conversations about the President or that I may have had or, you know, in a		
23	manner that would reflect advice given or his views or anything like that. I view that as		
24	outside the scope of what we agreed on.		

Ms. Cheney. And I appreciate that.

1	So, in terms of the President's policy about having an open door, and recognizing		
2	where you're drawing privilege lines, were there other instances where you went to a		
3	meeting with the intention of attending the meeting and then were asked not to attend		
4	the meeting?		
5	Mr. Cipollone. I'm sure there were other instances where I went to a		
6	meeting you know, I don't have one in particular in mind. I'm sure there were other		
7	instances where I went to a meeting and I was told, you're really not needed at this		
8	meeting, or, we're good, you know, you can and so I'm sure that happened sometimes,		
9	you know, if it was something that didn't fall squarely within what I needed to be talking		
10	about.		
11	But I was invited to attend any meeting I wanted to, is my sense. But, in the		
12	normal give-and-take, would I sometimes go to meetings, be there for a while, and realize		
13	that, you know, my time you know, that he didn't really need me in that meeting, and		
14	leave? Yeah, I'm sure there were instances like that. Were there meetings where I		
15	didn't think I was needed and he called me into the meeting, asked Molly to call me		
16	down? Yeah, that happened with frequency.		
17	Ms. Cheney. And in the case of this particular meeting, could you tell us what		
18	happened?		
19	Mr. Cipollone. I think I've said what I can say with respect to this particular		
20	meeting.		
21	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> In the case of this meeting, did you make a determination that you		
22	were not needed at the meeting?		
23	Mr. Cipollone. Again, with I think I've said what I'm going to say about this		
24	meeting.		
25	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.		

1	Mr. Cipollone. And I appreciate your questions. I don't mean to be
2	disrespectful at all. But you understand, you know, my views of the privilege and
3	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> I appreciate it.
4	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Yeah.
5	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
6	BY
7	Q With your patience, Mr. Cipollone, one more question about this meeting.
8	I know you're not going to you've told us that you can't tell us the reason why
9	you didn't attend the meeting, but my question is just: Was the reason that you didn't
LO	attend the meeting apparent to the Vice President and his staff?
L1	A Actually, my recollection of this was that they hadn't arrived yet. That's my
L2	recollection, that they hadn't arrived yet, but that John was there, I believe Mark was
L3	there, and I was there. That's my recollection. I think they arrived shortly so that's
L4	my recollection.
L5	Q "Mark" meaning Mark Meadows, not Marc Short?
L6	A Correct, Mark Meadows.
L7	Q Okay.
L8	A I apologize. I'll try my best to use last names.
L9	Q No worries.
20	BY
21	Q But, in summary, it sounds clear that everyone involved in that meeting was
22	aware of your strongly held view about this substantive issue.
23	A Again and I appreciate the questions, and I have a lot of respect for you as
24	a lawyer I've said what I'm going to say about that meeting.

Q

Okay.

Let me just finish what I was asking before about the flow of paper into the Oval		
Office. Did you and Mr. Herschmann at some point try to create some sort of rigor or		
discipline about legal issues, paper going to the President needing to be seen by you or		
Mr. Herschmann first?		

A Well, the rigor that is already in the White House is: Pieces of paper come through, for the most part, the staff secretary, okay? And Derek has a -- now, for lots of different reasons, a lot of them fully appropriate reasons, a lot of them stylistic reasons, you know, people would send things.

Q Yeah.

A And I think the President welcomed that, and I actually think, as a general matter, that was one of his many strengths.

Now, there were people in the outer Oval, you know, who were all very, very good, talented people who worked for the President, who would get things, and, particularly as time went on, after the election, you know, with some of the people who I have already told you were giving bad advice to the President, I think both Eric and I and probably others told them that if something comes to the President that we need to be aware of, to let us know before, if possible, that's provided to the President, if it has to do with a legal issue. But, obviously, we didn't get in the way of the flow of information, but we just wanted to put in a system of awareness.

And they were doing that anyway. So, you know, Molly Michael, who's, again, a -- there were many, many good people who worked in the Trump White House --

Q Uh-huh.

A -- and Molly was one of them. And Molly was a very skilled professional.

Good judgment. Good person. She would call my office if something came that she thought I needed to be aware of. She would call one of my assistants, she'd call Philbin,

2	Q	Yeah.	
3	You	u told us in the informal interview, together with Eric Herschmann, you made	
4	efforts to	understand if materials were going into the Oval Office outside of the regular	
5	process ar	nd enlisted outer Oval staff, Molly Michael and Nick Luna, to assist in the effort	
6	to ensure	that anything legal went through Counsel's Office first. Ultimately, you did	
7	your best to make sure incorrect or inappropriate materials were intercepted before		
8	getting to	the President, but that was ultimately and these are your words "a losing	
9	battle."		
LO	ls t	hat accurate?	
l1	Α	Well, what I would say is that we tried, particularly at that time, because	
L2	things, like	e this executive order	
L3	Q	Yeah.	
L4	Α	you know, people were sending things directly to the President, and we	
L5	wanted to	make sure we had an awareness of it and could weigh in. Yes.	
L6	Bu	t, yeah, obviously, the President has a right to whatever information he wants.	
L7	That's not up to me.		
L8	Q	Yeah.	
L9	Α	But Eric and I and others wanted to make sure that if it's something like an	
20	executive order or these type of things, that somebody from the outside was giving him		
21	extremely bad advice, was putting it in front of him, that we were aware of it so that we		
22	could weig	gh in on that.	
23	Q	Yeah.	
24	An	d it sounds like, Mr. Cipollone, not to put words in your mouth, but that that	
25	happened	with some frequency in the post-election period. People were putting things	

she'd call me. And so -- and others there would do the same thing.

1	in front of the President that, in your view, were just bad advice. Is that right?		
2	A Yes.		
3	Q Okay.		
4	I want to stop and see if anyone has any questions on the Vice President's		
5	authority or any of the, sort of, post-election legal issues. I'm ready to move to		
6	January 6th, but I want to stop here and see if any members have questions on these		
7	topics we've covered.		
8	Yeah, Mrs. Luria, I see you've come off. Go ahead.		
9	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Thank you.		
10	I wanted to go back to some testimony that came from General Milley. And I		
11	didn't know if you had frequently sat in on meetings that included General Milley,		
12	Secretary Pompeo, national-security-related meetings?		
13	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Is that a okay. Is that the end of the yes, I did. I was		
14	involved in that. I worked closely with Robert O'Brien, obviously others, including all of		
15	the people you mentioned. And		
16	So		
17	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Robert were primarily responsible, but I sat in on a lot of those		
18	meetings, not all of them. I sat in on the daily briefing. Typically I was invited to that.		
19	And, you know, the President, I think, wanted me involved in those things.		
20	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> So, in General Milley's testimony, he references two meetings that		
21	he participated in, one on 12 November and one on 4 December.		
22	And he recalled a comment made in one of these meetings he couldn't pin		
23	down which of those two it was but there was a discussion about a		
24	national-security-related issue that he doesn't go into because of the nature and the		
25	classification of it.		

1	But he says: "So we're in the Oval Office. There's a discussion going on. And		
2	the President says words to the effect" he said, he thinks to Secretary		
3	Pompeo "words to the effect, 'Yeah, we lost. We need to let the issue go to the nex		
4	guy,'" and General Milley's words "meaning President Biden."		
5	Do you have any recollection of this meeting, and were you present?		
6	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I don't I don't recall being President sorry, present at that		
7	meeting. I don't want to discuss anything where the President was well, let me take a		
8	step back.		
9	Anything that even and we talked about this. I'm not here to talk about		
10	anything related to national security, anything related to anything that could remotely be		
11	classified.		
12	I don't have a recollection of being at that meeting. I may or may not have been		
13	at that meeting. I don't have a recollection.		
14	Mrs. Luria. Okay. Did you discuss the content of this particular meeting with		
15	any of the people present?		
16	Mr. Cipollone. I don't recall that. I think as time went on and I think, in		
17	particular, you know I can talk about the Jeff Clark meeting because there was a waiver		
18	as to the Jeff Clark meeting, and I think		
19	Yeah. Which we're going to get to.		
20	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> And I think well, I just mean, I wanted to tell the		
21	Representative, I think the issue you're getting at I can probably talk about in the context		
22	of the Jeff Clark meeting.		
23	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Okay.		
24	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Not this specific issue, but the general issue.		

Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Okay.

1	And then, you know, another characterization that General Milley gives about		
2	these two meetings and contrasts them to subsequent meetings, you know, he says that		
3	this was a relatively normal meeting during the timeframe and this was getting up to		
4	early December. And he says, but then in subsequent meetings he didn't know how to		
5	characterize it there was a distinct change. He said it's anger, it's denial, that there		
6	was sort of not this normal tone that he had experienced during all his previous		
7	interactions in his role as Chairman of the Joint Chiefs.		
8	Would you say that his characterization was accurate?		
9	Mr. Cipollone. Again, these are the kinds of questions I I worked with General		
10	Milley. I respect General Milley. He has his own views and characterizations, and I		
11	don't really want to comment on that.		
12	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Okay. Thank you.		
13	Ms. Cheney, I see you're also you're on camera. Go ahead.		
14	Ms. Cheney. Thanks, Tim. Mr. Cipollone mentioned the Jeff Clark meeting,		
15	and, just chronologically, I wanted to suggest we go to that before we go to January 6th.		
16	I'm not sure what the plan was here.		
17	We can. I was going to do the 6th first, but we can switch to		
18	the we can move to the Jeff Clark meeting. That's fine.		
19	Ms. Cheney. Okay.		
20	All right. Any other questions before we leave the, sort of, legal		
21	issues post-election?		
22	Mr. Raskin? I see you're also on camera now.		
23	Mr. Raskin. Yes. Thank you, I just have one question about how the		
24	former White House Counsel viewed all of these activities that were taking place.		
25	Presumably you didn't get involved in [inaudible] legal issues. Did you		

1	see about the succession of questions related to State legislatures, electoral slates, and		
2	the authority of the Vice President to nullify electors, did you see all of these as an		
3	extension of campaign activity or something that was also reasonably within your		
4	jurisdiction to be rendering advice?		
5	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, again, it would depend on the issue. And, you know, as I		
6	looked at my role and what I was being asked to do, you know, sometimes I would give		
7	general advice that, you know, had nothing to do with legal issues but just kind of genera		
8	advice.		
9	I think that to the extent that these issues had an institutional component, I would		
LO	think that that I believe that that was where we needed to clearly weigh in on things, a		
l1	a general matter.		
L2	And then, with respect to the rest, I mean, I was aware of things, I talked about		
L3	things, but I tried as best I could to maintain my role as, you know, the White House		
L4	Counsel, the government lawyer.		
L5	But I was involved in some of these things. And, you know, I worked with a lot o		
L6	these people, and some of the people who were in the White House and outside the		
L 7	White House I knew. So I'm sure I had conversations with them.		
L8	Mr. Raskin. Okay.		
L9	Yield back. Thank you.		
20	All right. Anyone else?		
21	Let's talk just briefly about schedule, and we can go off the record for a minute.		
22	[Discussion off the record.]		
23	Let's take 20 minutes. It's 12:02. We'll be back at 12:22.		
0.4	[Pacacs]		

1		
2	[12:31 p.m.]	
3	All right. We'll go back on the record.	
4	Welcome back, everyone.	
5	BY	
6	Q Let's talk now, if we can, Mr. Cipollone, about the Department of Justice ar	
7	I think you referenced earlier, the whole Jeff Clark situation.	
8	First of all, do you know Jeff Clark?	
9	A I do know Jeff Clark.	
10	Q How?	
11	A I've known him for a long time. I mean, we worked at the same law firm	
12	for many years. He clerked for the same Court of Appeals judge that I clerked for.	
13	knew him in the context of, obviously, he was working over at the Department of Justice	
14	So I've known Jeff for some time.	
15	Q Do you know how he came to be acquainted with Representative Perry?	
16	A I have no idea.	
17	Q All right. And do you know how Jeff Clark first got involved in discussions	
18	with the President about the election?	
19	A Did I know it at the time? No.	
20	Q Do you know how it came about?	
21	A My understanding is that Representative Perry introduced him to the	
22	President, and then there were meetings at the White House, is my understanding or	
23	meeting.	
24	Q Okay.	
25	Let's talk about that. When you learned that Mr. Clark had met with the	

1	President at the White House, what was your reaction?	
2	A I was very unhappy about that.	
3	Q Why?	
4	A Well, I mean, he's a Department of Justice lawyer and just let me say that	
5	all of my experience with Mr. Clark up to that point had been positive.	
6	Q Yeah.	
7	A I mean, he's a smart lawyer. He was mainly an environmental lawyer, an	
8	administrative lawyer. He had been promoted to acting head of the Civil Division, I	
9	think, at that point.	
10	Q That's right.	
11	A And, you know, good lawyer, generally good person, based on my	
12	experience up to that point.	
13	I believe I learned about this I'm trying to remember how I found out about this,	
14	that he had been over in the White House meeting with the President. I believe I found	
15	out about it from Jeff Rosen, who had found out about it, and he called me about it.	
16	I was surprised to hear that. That was something that I wouldn't expect of Jeff.	
17	That is not something that's normal. When a lawyer from the Department of Justice	
18	comes to the White House, whether that be the Attorney General himself, he would	
19	notify me.	
20	And so, no, that was not a good thing, that Jeff Clark was meeting you know,	
21	from my perspective	
22	Q Yeah.	
23	A was meeting with the President. I don't think the leaders of the	
24	Department of Justice at that time thought that was a good thing. I was surprised by it.	
25	Q Yeah. As a matter of fact, there's a policy that governs both Department of	

- Justice personnel and a White House policy that sort of carefully circumscribes the people
- 2 in each, in the White House and the Department of Justice --
- 3 A Yeah. That's the contacts policy.
- 4 Q Right. And Mr. Clark, it sounds like, violated that --
- A Well, without you drawing conclusions about whether it was -- it was certainly not in keeping with the spirit of the contacts policy.
- 7 Q Yeah.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

- Was this of particular concern to you, Mr. Cipollone, during this time period, because of the, sort of, bad ideas that you referenced before that were being discussed with the President regarding overturning the election?
 - A I don't know if that -- because, to be honest with you, I didn't expect bad ideas to come from Jeff Clark at this point in my life, okay? But when I found out, I was confused. It wasn't reflective of the person that I had known. I mean, look, I didn't know him extremely well, but I knew him and I had worked with him and I had respect for him.
- 16 Q Yeah.
 - One of the first things that comes up in the discussion with Jeff Clark is a letter that he was putting forth that he thought should be sent to the State legislatures. It's exhibit 6 in your binder.
- 20 A Okay.
 - Q Let me ask you just to take a quick -- I don't need you to read the whole thing, but take a quick look and tell me if you recognize this as the letter that Mr. Clark was putting forth, something that the Department of Justice, under his maybe potential leadership, should send.
- 25 A This looks like the letter.

1	Q	Did you have a view as to whether or not it made sense for the Department	
2	of Justice to send such a letter to State legislatures?		
3	Α	Yes, I had a view.	
4	Q	What was that view?	
5	Α	A horrible idea. This letter	
6	Q	Yeah. Why?	
7	Α	Well, for a number of reasons. First of all, I think I learned about the	
8	existence of this letter from somebody at DOJ I think it was Jeff Rosen; it might've bee		
9	somebody else, but that this was even circulating.		
10	Obviously, you know, I was in regular communication with Jeff Rosen, and		
11	so and I wanted to make sure, obviously, as a general matter, that the Justice		
12	Department you know, the prerogatives of the Justice Department were protected.		
13	This didn't seem like something, based on when I first heard about it, that the Justice		
14	Department should even or could even be involved in. Obviously I would rely on them		
15	on those co	nclusions.	
16	They were firmly of the view that this letter was not something that the Justice		
17	Department	could or should be involved in. I believe they've all testified to that fact,	
18	and Steve Engel has as well.		
19	So, y	reah	
20	Q	Yeah.	
21	Α	no, this is this was not a good idea.	
22	Q	The Department of Justice officials that you just referenced indicated that	
23	both the letter had no factual basis it cites existence of DOJ investigations that were		
24	finding irregularities, which was not accurate and that, procedurally, it was		
25	inappropria	te for the Department of Justice to suggest to a State legislative body that it	

1	should take	some action. Again, consistent with your response to this letter.
2	Α	I agree with that.
3	Q	All right.
4	Pat	Philbin told the select committee that he reviewed this letter that Clark
5	wanted to s	send to senior officials in Georgia, considered it part of the crisis around the
6	possible Jeff Clark appointment.	
7	Did :	this come up in the context that Jeff Clark would send this if he were
8	appointed?	
9	Α	I think that's part of what he was intending to do, as I understood it, was to
10	get this lett	er sent out, or send this letter out, at the Department of Justice.
11	lt ap	pears from the letter, and my understanding is, that he presented it to Jeff
12	Rosen, Rich	ard Donoghue, as signatories to this letter initially
13	Q	Yeah.
14	Α	and then and had his own name on it. Obviously, they reacted very
15	negatively to this and very firmly to this, as I did.	
16	Q	Exactly.
17	All r	ight. Were you also aware that Mr. Clark had requested a briefing from the
18	Director of	National Intelligence regarding some election fraud allegations?
19	Α	I became aware of that, yes.
20	Q	All right. And did you help facilitate such a briefing?
21	Α	I don't know about I was involved in I think people determined that
22	that I thin	nk even, I believe, at DOJ that, fine, let him have a briefing. We thought it
23	would be a	positive kind of step, given what and so I can't give the briefing, but I believe
24	the DNI, yo	u know, gave approval for the briefing.

Q Yeah. And was your understanding that it would be a positive thing

1	because the briefing would essentially tell Mr. Clark that there was no evidence of fraud -	
2	А	I'm not going to go into anything that is classified
3	Q	Okay.
4	Α	or would talk about that briefing or what may or may not have been
5	contained i	n that.
6	Q	Did you first speak to Mr. Clark on December the 31st and express your
7	displeasure with his direct communications with the President without your knowledge?	
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Tell us about that conversation.
10	Α	I remember it was New Year's Eve or the afternoon of New Year's Eve.
11	can't remer	nber if I called him or he called like, I reached out to him. I don't
12	remember	all of the specifics of that conversation, but, directionally, you know, I
13	expressed r	ny unhappiness about the fact that he had been over at the White House
14	without my	knowledge, without the knowledge of anybody in the White House Counsel's
15	Office, mee	ting directly with the President without telling us.
16	And	then and then I'm sure we discussed other matters related to some of his
17	theories, as	I think through but I'm trying to remember all of the topics and thinking of
18	the chronol	ogy of that.
19	Q	Yeah. Did he apologize or acknowledge that he had erred in talking directly
20	to Presiden	t Trump?
21	А	I can't remember if he apologized, but I think he understood I think he said
22	some things	s that indicated that he understood that that was not that he should've
23	Q	Yeah.
24	Α	told us.
25	Q	Did he nonetheless continue to push for this letter, or

1	Α	Yes. No, he was firmly he was firmly of the view, okay, as far as I could
2	determine,	that there had been election fraud and that this letter was part of the
3	response th	at the Justice Department should take to election fraud. That was my
4	understand	ing.
5	Q	So, despite the fact that Attorney General Barr and others in the Justice
6	Departmen	t had rebutted a lot of those theories, Mr. Clark, it was your impression,
7	continued t	o believe that there was election fraud.
8	Α	By my observation, yes, he thought that there was, and he thought that it
9	should cont	inue to be investigated.
LO	Q	Yeah.
11	Α	And I think this letter was part of that idea.
L2	Q	Did you also ask Mr. Philbin to reach out to Clark directly?
L3	Α	Well, that was the day you know, that was the day of January 3rd, I believe
L4	is when tha	t conversation took place. I mean, we were involved in, you know, the
L5	lead-up to t	hat meeting.
L6	I'm t	rying to remember exactly the point in time that the idea that Jeff Clark
L7	would beco	me Acting Attorney General kind of got on my radar screen. I don't and I
L8	would have	to you know, I'm sure it was whenever Jeff Rosen found out about it, I'm
L9	sure I found	out about that shortly thereafter.
20	Q	Yeah. Yeah. On January 3rd, we're going to get to, is the meeting at
21	which that	is clearly in mind. It's the meeting where you're at liberty to talk about it
22	with the Pro	esident, but
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	how far before that did the idea of maybe Mr. Clark being appointed as

Acting Attorney General --

1	A It must have been a couple days before that, but I don't remember the exact		
2	chronology. I would think whenever the DOJ people found out about it, found out about		
3	that, is around the same time I found out about it. I believe I found out about it from		
4	them. I may have also heard it from Mark Meadows. But I don't have a specific		
5	recollection about when I found out or who I found out from. My best my best		
6	recollection is Jeff Rosen.		
7	Q Yeah. All right.		
8	It looks like, from the DOJ officials that we've talked to, that there was a meeting		
9	with the President on December the 31st, the same day that you had that conversation		
10	with Clark, that Donoghue and Rosen, Meadows, you, and Mr. Philbin all attended, and		
11	the discussion was about election fraud.		
12	Do you recall that meeting?		
13	A I recall that meeting, yes.		
14	Q All right. And can you tell us about that meeting?		
15	A No.		
16	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> No.		
17	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> That's within the privilege.		
18	I see. January 3rd is the meeting		
19	Mr. Purpura. Yes.		
20	Okay.		
21	BY		
22	Q Well, just to Mr. Donoghue indicated that the President was a little bit		
23	more agitated than he had been previously and continued to put forth these theories of		
24	election fraud.		

Again, not asking you about the communications, but is that a fair characterization

1	or the ri	csiderit s	defileation of files	ou, that he was		
2	Д	Agai	n, I'm not going to	characterize the Pr	esident's demeanor.	I will say
3	that Rich	Donogh	ue is a man of inte	grity and, you knov	v, a friend of mine and	l a great
4	public se	rvant, an	d I don't have any	reason to question	his recollection of thi	ngs.
5	C	Q Okay	<i>1</i> .			
6	Д	ll right.	Well, then, after	that meeting, the ic	lea of Jeff Clark servin	g as Acting
7	Attorney	General	is brought forth.	And before the ac	tual meeting on the 3	rd, it looks
8	like Mr. I	Philbin ha	as a couple of conv	ersations with him		
9	D	o you rer	member, again, as	king		
LO	Д	Irem	nember talking to	Pat, and I remembe	er Pat had also work	ed with Jeff
l1	at Kirklaı	nd, knew	Jeff, you know, I t	hink had generally	the same opinion as I	had.
L2	Т	his didn't	seem like Jeff, wh	nich you know, it	was like, well, where i	s this coming
L3	from, wa	is my que	estion.			
L4	C) Yeah),			
L5	А	lt wa	s out of character	for him.		
L6	Д	ind, you k	know, I had spoker	n to him, as you said	d, on the 31st, and I sa	id, Pat, call
L7	this guy	and talk h	nim down, basicall	y, you know.		
L8	C) Right	t.			
L9	A	Figur	re out what's goin	g on, see if you can	talk to him, you know	, and on
20	two poin	its, I think	k, on that day, bot	h the idea that he w	vould become the Act	ing Attorney
21	General	of the Un	ited States and als	so, my recollection,	on sort of the issues g	generally
22	around e	election fr	raud and various t	heories of election	fraud.	
23	C) Yeah	. Exactly what N	1r. Philbin told us.	He said that, upon yo	our request,

he called Mr. Clark. He was unable to convince Clark that his theories of election fraud

were without merit. Clark's response was to claim that there's enough out there that

24

1	smells rotte	n and rings true enough of being rotten that the election would be stolen if
2	not properly	y examined.
3	Do y	ou recall hearing about that conversation from Mr. Philbin?
4	Α	Yeah. Yes, I recall generally hearing about that conversation. I recall he
5	didn't get it	done with despite the lack of valiant efforts with Mr. Clark.
6	And	, in my mind, because I knew Mr. Clark and I had a respect for him as a lawyer
7	and as a per	rson before this, I wanted to make sure that we were talking to him. And
8	Pat, in parti	cular, and I tried and Jeff had tried to give him every opportunity to sort of
9	understand	, like, this is not good, this is not a good thing, it's not good for you, it's not
LO	good for the	e President, it's not good for the Department of Justice
l1	Q	Right.
L2	Α	and try to get it to you know, try to get it to end.
L3	Q	Yeah. So your first attempt
L4	Α	I didn't think it was good for him to have a meeting with you know, I didn't
L5	think that m	neeting would be productive for Jeff, certainly not for the President.
L6	Q	Yeah.
L7	Α	You know, I was I wanted to give him every opportunity to stop.
L8	Q	Uh-huh. So, upon hearing of the prospect of him being installed as Acting
L9	Attorney Ge	eneral, your first thought is, "Let's just talk him out of it," "him" being Jeff
20	Clark.	
21	Α	Let's figure out well, my first thought was, this is a terrible idea. Jeff Clark
22	cannot be in	nstalled as Acting Attorney General of the United States. I must be missing
23	something l	nere, given what I know about Jeff Clark, so let's talk to him.

Jeff had talked to him. He had -- Jeff Rosen -- and he had relayed to me some of

those conversations, and I was confused by some of the conversations, in terms of how

24

- 1 Mr. Clark was reacting.
- 2 We all tried. Let's put it that way.

1		
2	[12:46 p.m.]	
3		BY
4	Q	Unsuccessfully.
5	Α	We still had the meeting, yes.
6	Q	Yeah. Okay. Let's talk about the meeting. So before the meeting
7	occurred, di	d you give some advice to Mr. Rosen or Mr. Donoghue about the things they
8	should do in	advance of the meeting, like talk about potential resignations?
9	Α	I think Pat also Pat and I well, a couple of things.
10	Q	Yeah.
11	Α	I think we were all on the same page anyway. So I don't know if I could
12	classify it as	advice or whatever. I told them Jeff called me or I called Jeff, or Jeff and I
13	were talking	that day.
14	Q	Yes, Jeff Rosen.
15	Α	Sorry, Jeff Rosen. Right there were right there were two Jeffs here. Jeff
16	Rosen called	me. I may have spoken to other people from there. I may have spoken to
17	Steve Engel,	I may have spoken to Rich. I can't remember who I spoke but here are
18	the things tl	nat I would have conveyed, two things: Number one, we need to have
19	people beyo	and, you know, it should be other people from the Justice Department coming
20	to this meet	ing in my view. They were in agreement with that. I thought it was
21	important tl	nat Steve Engel be at the meeting. I thought it was important that Rich
22	Donoghue b	e at the meeting.
23	Q	Why?
24	Α	Why? A, those two people are you know, they had the respect of the

President in my view, as did Jeff Rosen, as a general matter. You know, based on my

- interaction. And I respected them. And I wanted the -- you know, I wanted to make 1 2 sure, you know, in providing advice to the President, because, again, this was another idea -- I don't think the President, you know -- I don't even know if he knew Jeff Clark, 3 4 okay? So I don't think the President in my sense without revealing any privileges on his 5 own came to the conclusion, Hey, there is this guy Jeff Clark at the Justice Department, wouldn't be make a nice acting Attorney General. I think this was another idea that was 6 7 put in front of him, okay? Jeff unfortunately participated in that. And that is where we 8 got where we got, but I wanted to make sure in doing my job for the President that he 9 was aware, A, of all the facts, and B of the views of people he respected and had worked 10 closely with, and who, you know, thought a lot of him, thought a lot of the President.
- 12 Q Yeah.

They were proud to be in his administration.

11

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

- A Okay? And I consider myself to be one of those people. So I wanted to be at the meeting, Philbin was going to be at the meeting, Eric Herschmann was going to be at the meeting. So I thought it was important that the meeting be broadened end to include those people.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A There was firm agreement, you know. And again, who thought -- but that
 19 was my view.
 - Secondly, I thought it was important that the President know how people at the Department of Justice would react to this and how strongly they felt about it. I think -- I don't think that was information that had been provided to the President, okay, by either Jeff Clark or the Representative
- or whoever. I don't think the President fully understood at that point. Again, I can't talk about privilege, but it was my personal assessment that if he really knew how people

1	felt about this and what this would mean, I think he would think differently about it and I
2	think he deserves that. We owe him that.
3	Q Uh-huh.
4	A So, I said to Jeff, or I think it was Jeff on that call, but again, I may have said it
5	to a couple of people. Philbin also kind of did the same thing. It was like you need to
6	figure out who is going to leave if this happens. I think there was already some thinking
7	going on at the Department of Justice about that, but you know, Jeff Clark is trying to
8	become the acting Attorney General. And the President has right to know, he deserves
9	to know what that going to mean for people who he trusts and who have done a good job
10	for him, in terms of how they are going to react to it. So I said, you know, You need to
11	bring that, you need to bring whoever the resignations are bring them to this meeting.
12	Q Before we get into to the meeting, I see Ms. Cheney and Mr. Raskin had their
13	cameras on.
14	Ms. Cheney, go ahead.
15	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thanks very much.
16	Pat, the President had been meeting with Jeff Clark prior to January 3rd, and you
17	mentioned someone put Jeff Clark in front of them. Can you tell us specifically who you
18	think that was?
19	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> My understanding was it was Congressman yeah, Congressman
20	Perry.
21	Congressman Perry from Pennsylvania.
22	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Correct.
23	Okay. And how did you form that view that it was Perry?
24	Mr. Cipollone. I was told by Rosen, and I think it was told ultimately by

Meadows, too.

1	Okay.
2	Ms. Cheney, go ahead.
3	Ms. Cheney. And did you understand Mr. Meadows to be facilitating those
4	meetings?
5	Mr. Cipollone. I don't know what Mark was doing vis-à-vis those meetings.
6	don't know if he, you know, facilitated them. I don't I don't know. I don't remember,
7	you know. If someone comes into the White House, typically the Chief of Staff would
8	know about that or be informed about that. But there had been some instances where
9	people like the December 18th meeting, I don't know who knew or how those people
10	got into the White House, but somebody WAVEd them in. So I don't have an answer on
11	that.
12	Ms. Cheney. Thank you.
13	Mr. Raskin, did you have something on this. No? Okay.
14	BY
15	Q Let's talk about the meeting. Why don't I start with an open-ended
16	question about what you recall about the discussion in the Oval Office. First of all, who
17	was present?
18	A Okay, who was present. You know, obviously Jeff Clark was present. Jeff
19	Rosen was present, I was present, Pat Philbin was present. Steve Engel was present.
20	Q Eric Herschmann?
21	A Of course, Eric Herschmann was present. I don't think Rich Rich came
22	and he was not in his view, like, I was why isn't Rich in this meeting? He is outside,
23	you know. Rich is a former military guy. He is, you know, very much into protocol,
24	which he should be, obviously it is the Oval Office, but he was sitting outside. And then I
25	can't remember how he ultimately someone said the President found out, Hey, Rich is

1	outside. The President likes Rich Donoghue and respects him, and then Rich came in.
2	Those were the people who were there.
3	Q Okay, tell us what you recall about who was on what side when it came to
4	the prospect of Jeff Clark being appointed acting Attorney General?
5	A Who was on what side? Everybody in that room, the lawyers in that room
6	were on one side. And Jeff Clark was the man alone.
7	Q No one spoke up in Mr. Clark's in support of that?
8	A None of the lawyers did, no.
9	Q Tell us about the conversation. What were the specific arguments that you
10	and others put forth to the President that this was not a good idea?
11	A Well, and again a lot has been said about this and people have testified.
12	And I know Jeff Rosen and Rich Donoghue and Steve Engel have all testified. So I think
13	you have a pretty thorough understanding of what happened at that meeting. I came in
14	I was I was seated at, you know, the chairs were like this. I was seated all the way on
15	to the President's left on the right-hand side, kind of in that chair where I kind of was
16	directly, you know, to the side of the President.
17	Q Did you take that seat purposefully?
18	A I took that seat because I would sit in that seat a lot of times. And, you
19	know I think the President sometimes would, you know, turn and look at me during the
20	course of the meeting, and I
21	Q Yeah, yeah. This was a meeting where you, I think, are at liberty to talk
22	about what the President said. I am curious if you recall specific questions he asked and
23	specific things he said during the meeting?
24	A Again, I think that has been thoroughly testified to by others. I can tell you

what I remember --

1 Q Yes.

A Okay. So, I was very unhappy with Jeff Clark when I came into this meeting, okay? And I think the meeting began -- I think this might have been before Rich got into the meeting, but I just started, you know, and others did too. There was definitely a very active questioning and participation of Jeff Clark by several of the attorneys in that room. I was one of them. I kind of started out with just by pushing Jeff on some of the theories that he was propounding on, or looking into, or suggesting might have some merit on election fraud. In particular, as I recall, I had in my mind, you know, and again, without getting into things, he had had briefings, so, you know, I was -- I was questioning him related to these theories and, you know, basically you get -- he had gotten what he had asked for, and we started talking along those lines. And Rich came in at some point. I think the -- Jeff talked, Jeff Rosen talked initially, and so did Jeff Clark. And then I -- I did a lot of talking, Rich Donoghue did a lot of talking, Eric Herschmann, Pat Philbin all spoke up, and, of course, Steve Engel.

Q Yeah.

A I thought it was important in particular that, you know, Steve be there, because Steve had, you know -- the President had a very, very positive view of Steve as he should.

Q Right.

A And Steve, you know, I worked closely with Steve and the President on a number of very important and key and good policies that the administration put into effect, that the President put into effect. He was familiar with Steve's work.

Q Uh-huh.

A He was a fabulous lawyer. I wanted him to hear what Steve had to say.

Q Yeah. You told us in the informal interview that the President, during this

1	meeting, re	peated a litany of election fraud allegations and concerns about DOJ
2	investigatio	ns that you told him that were without merit, that you and others continually
3	rebutted or	pushed back on the specific can claims that he raised.
4	Α	He raised and as you know, others jumped in, too. I, you know I didn't I
5	kind of tw	o generic things. I supported the Department of Justice's views, fully backed
6	them up the	em, and then presented my own opinion as to that, but you know, these
7	things have	been looked at, they did not have merit.
8	Q	Yeah.
9	Α	And there was no let me put it to you this way: Some of them just were
10	wholly with	out merit, some of them, there was not sufficient evidence to suggest that
11	there was,	you know, significant fraud so that it would impact the result in the election.
12	Q	Uh-huh. And did the President ever acknowledge that any such claims had
13	been rebut	ted or had been resolved during this meeting?
14	Α	My impression of the President in this meeting, okay, and as a general
15	matter is, h	e believed that he won the election, okay? Based on what again, this is my
16	determine -	
17	Q	Okay.
18	Α	can't get inside of head, that he believed that there had been massive
19	fraud in the	election. You know, obviously he said that before that time, after that time
20	and since th	nen. And that is what I think he my impression was he believed that.

23 A I think some people were telling him the opposite, okay?
24 Q Uh-huh.

Q

telling him otherwise?

21

22

25

A And I think Jeff Clark was one of them based on my assessment.

Despite Attorney General Barr, his own campaign staff, numerous people

- 1 Q Yeah.
- A And I think it wasn't really -- he would raise something, then Rich or

somebody else or Philbin would kind of give the facts of that, you know, and Rich

- 4 obviously walked through a lot of those. And then he would just move, Well, what
- 5 about this?

- 6 Q Yeah.
- 7 A And --
- 8 Q So rather than acknowledge the merits or lack of merit of an argument you
- 9 would just move onto the next allegation or the next argument?
- 10 A Well, I think again, this is my recollection. He wanted to talk about this and
- 11 Jeff Clark, you know -- I mean, Jeff Clark was there, kind of, also in my recollection,
- 12 responding to these things.
- 13 Q You ultimately told us that you described this meeting as a -- not this
- meeting, the Georgia letter that was proposed as an F'ing murder suicide pact. Do you
- remember using the term murder suicide pact?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q What did you mean when you said that?
- 18 A Well, what I meant was, the letter, the whole thing the Jeff Clark becoming
- 19 attorney -- this letter, it was bad for the President. It was bad for the country. But it
- was bad for the President himself personally. So I thought it was -- that was how
- 21 I -- that was the shorthand version that just came to me, and, you know, without
- repeating the salty language, I said this is -- yeah, this is a murder suicide pact.
- 23 Q Yeah. You told us this letter is a murder suicide pact. It is going to kill
- 24 you, Clark, and you, President Trump with him. This thing is going to damage anyone
- 25 who touches it.

1	Α	And I think what I was trying to say is Jeff Clark is doing something bad to
2	you right no	w.

Q Yeah.

A Okay. I cared about the President. I went to work with him and I was honored to work with him in that administration as I have said several times. So when people like that were telling him things that were not only wrong, okay, for whatever their own personal reasons might be, and I can't -- I don't want to speculate in Jeff's case. I got upset about that too. I got upset about that, because I didn't think he was properly serving President Trump.

Q I see. Did the subject of resignations potentially mass resignations arise during the meeting?

A Yes.

Q Did you tell the President that you, Mr. Cipollone, would resign if he did this?

A I can't remember my exact words in that meeting. But, yeah, I think he understood that would be it for me and that would be it for Pat Philbin.

Q And were you prepared to follow that through, if the President had appointed Jeff Clark as Acting Attorney General, were you prepared to resign?

A I didn't think I would have to follow it through, because I didn't think he would do it. I think once he saw what this really was, okay, and once he understood that here are people that have worked hard for this administration, you know, that have supported your policies, that have been honored to work in this administration and the many people, the Cabinet Secretaries who we were able to work with, we think this is bad. And I think -- I thought, my hope was, when he heard that, he would make the right decision, and he did.

Q Did he say why he ultimately decided not to appoint Mr. Clark? Did he give

- 1 a reason?
- A I -- I can't remember all the reasons. I mean, one of the reasons, you know,
- 3 he -- I don't if this was his reason, but be he definitely said that these guys are, you know,
- 4 not having this, and I forget the word, the exact words. And you know, you can't -- they
- 5 are not going to -- it is not going to happen.
- 6 Q You told us something, like, it was not worth the breakage.
- 7 A I don't remember that word. I mean, I -- I don't remember that, you know,
- 8 that he -- I -- that is maybe my speculation about what he -- part of what he thought.
- 9 But I didn't think he would think at the end of the day, he thought it would be a good
- 10 decision.
- 11 Q And --
- 12 A And not just because for lots of reasons, including --
- 13 Q My question is, what were those reasons to the extent you could tell during
- the meeting? It was the resignations, what's it the merits -- the lack of merit of the
- steps that Mr. Clark proposed taking?
- 16 A I didn't have an extensive discussion. I know without getting into privilege
- 17 based on conversations and a conversation that took place after that meeting, he
- understood it would not have been a good decision for him.
- 19 Q All right. After the meeting, Mr. -- in our informal discussion with
- 20 Mr. Philbin, he told us that the President said something along the lines of, you have to
- 21 understand there is a coup going on and you are complicit in it. Talking to both you and
- 22 Mr. Philbin. Do you recall the President talking about a coup going on?
- A He said that. My recollection is he said that toward the end of the meeting.
- 24 I think he was -- I think he was expressing the fact that I said that he believed that there
- 25 was fraud in the election, that, you know. And he viewed that, I guess, as, you know, he

1	used the word "coup," and he pointed a	t us and said, And you are complicit and you are
2	complicit, and he said the same to Eric.	I don't if he really, you know, I mean, meant
3	that, but that is what he said.	

Q And he said that you were complicit in this coup or that you how had somehow facilitated the election being stolen?

A No, no. I think what he meant was, you know, I think he understood what I felt about the claims of election fraud in that meeting. I think he -- and we just disagreed about that, but, you know.

O I see.

10 Ms. Cheney, I see you are on. Go ahead.

11 Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.

Pat, did you ever hear the President cite any genuine evidence that the election had been stolen by fraud?

Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I can't go into my conversations with the President. I think a lot of people were providing the President with instances of what they believed to be fraud. And I think the President, you know, was considering that. Some of that, a lot of that went over to the Department of Justice. There were all sorts of claims early on, because, you know, I think there have been some issues as I mentioned before some legal issues about how mail-in ballots, you know, were authorized in certain States, how they may have been used, all of these things. I think after we got through that, I think people were, you know, there were various theories of dead people voting, and you have heard many of them that people were putting in front of them — in front him, Rich Donoghue and Jeff Rosen talked about that in the public testimony, some of those issues. I don't think I need to go through all of them.

Ms. Cheney. Well, I am actually asking you about in that meeting, Pat. In that

т.	meeting, and you hear the resident cite any genuine evidence that the election was
2	stolen through fraud?
3	Mr. Cipollone. I think he cited a number of things that he believed that he had
4	been told, based on my observations, he he believed were true, but I didn't it wasn't
5	as if he started providing detailed evidence of each claim, no.
6	Ms. Cheney. And as you told us earlier today, the Attorney General, the acting
7	Attorney General, the previous Attorney General, his own campaign lawyers all had told
8	him that there was no basis for these allegations, that there was sufficient fraud to
9	change the outcome of the election. And I think you said you agreed with that.
10	Mr. Cipollone. I did agree with that. Yes, when Bill Barr told him that, I agreed
11	with it.
12	Ms. Cheney. And this meeting happened after that?
13	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Yes.
14	Ms. Cheney. And so, again, I ask you, did the President cite any genuine
15	evidence in this meeting of fraud sufficient to steal the election from him?
16	Mr. Cipollone. And again, you know, I will give you the same answer, in my view
17	he was raising issues that had been raised. It wasn't a debate about specific evidence.
18	He would say, Well, what about this? And then Jeff or Jeff or Rich Donoghue would
19	respond. And that is how the meeting went.
20	Ms. Cheney. And these were all claims that Jeff and Rich Donoghue had told you
21	previously had no merit.
22	Mr. Cipollone. For the most part, yes. And that we assessed on our you
23	know, it wasn't our job to drill down on each one of these claims, but to the extent we
24	could and Pat Philbin and I also chimed in at this meeting in terms of our views of these
25	things. We supported DOJ, we gave our independent view, this was January 3rd at this

1	point, you know.
2	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
3	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> To the extent but I did leave the meeting thinking that he he
4	believed this.
5	Ms. Cheney. Can we I don't know if we're done with this meeting, but this is
6	about the same period of time as the Raffensperger call, so I would like to move to that,
7	if we are wrapping up this section.
8	We are about to. Let me see if Mr. Raskin, if you have any
9	questions about the January 3rd meeting. If you do, please go ahead. No? Okay.
10	BY
11	Q Just quickly to follow up before we leave the January 3rd meeting, on the
12	very question that Ms. Cheney was asking, we talked to Mr. Philbin this, and he indicated
13	in this meeting, President Trump would go on and on about the fraud that was taking
14	place and how no one was willing to drill down and find out about it, that he returned to
15	some of the same theories that had been brought up repeatedly, brought up and
16	rebutted by DOJ. And that Mr. Philbin assessed the lawyers had adequately rebutted
17	and responded to the President's claim, and that there could not be any confusion as to
18	the collective view that each of these things the President brought up, had been looked at
19	and rebutted. Is that consistent with your view of the discussion
20	A I agree with what Pat said there.
21	Q Okay. Then let us go to the Raffensperger call. As Ms. Cheney
22	mentioned, just the day before this on January 2nd, President Trump has a phone call

A At the time, I don't have any recollection of knowing about that call until I

with Georgia Secretary of State, Brad Raffensperger. First of all, are you familiar with

23

24

25

that call?

1 heard about it later. Okay. So before the call --2 Q Not based on my recollection. I, you know --3 4 You didn't have any involvement in setting it up or talking to the President about it at the time? 5 Α No. 6 7 Q No? Okay. 8 Α Not based on my recollection. 9 0 You heard about it in the media. Is that right? 10 Α I think as I recall, look, those days there were a lot of things going on, and I 11 understood he was doing various things that I didn't have some insight into -- a lot of 12 insight into with the campaign team or with others and some of these lawyers. But my recollection is, I was actually -- I can't remember when the story broke, but it was right 13 14 around that time. 0 15 It was. Α I remember being out on a walk in, like, in the Cabin John trail and hearing 16 about this. 17 Would --Q 18 Α That is my recollection of how I found out about the details of that call. 19 But 20 that is -- again, this was a time period where a lot was going on. I think that was the -- did you say it was the day before? 21 22 Q The call itself took place on the 2nd, which was the day before the Jeff Clark meeting, it then broke --23 I was mainly focused on the Jeff Clark issue. 24 Α

Okay. When you heard about the call, and it was actually leaked, did you

25

Q

1 have concerns about the words that were used on the call?

- A I am not going to go into that, into my opinions about the call or, you know, particularly to the extent it would reflect privileged information.
 - Q I am not asking about discussions with the President. I am asking whether when you heard that call, you had legal concerns about the words that were used during the conversation.
 - A Again, I would say that I didn't. At that point, I was focused on Jeff Clark, you know. Did I -- did I think that call was a positive thing? No. Was it my role to do a kind of -- sort of legal analysis of what had happened? I didn't do that, but Pat did, so that is where -- that was my opinion.
 - Q Yeah. I understand that you didn't do a formal legal analysis. But when you heard the President asking the Georgia Secretary of State to find 11,000-plus votes or whatever it is, did you have concern about that sounding like a pressure campaign or potentially creating legal exposure for the people --
 - A Again, without getting into questions of legal -- he had other lawyers on the outside involved in, I assume, assessing that and looking at that no, in terms of those activities. But I didn't -- I didn't have a positive view of call generally once I heard about it.
- 19 Q Okay. Did you talk to Mark Meadows about that? He was a participant in 20 the call.
 - A I may have. I prob -- I probably did. But I don't -- I don't recall specific conversations about that call afterwards. I -- I am sure I expressed my views to Mark about kind of the overall some of activities that were going on at that point with it. And more in view of, like, okay, we are at -- you know, this is January 3rd now.
- 25 Q Yeah. Did you express your displeasure of the fact of the call to

1 Mr. Meadows or others in the White House? Probably I did. I don't have specific recollections of those conversations. 2 Α Okay. Ms. Cheney, anything else about the January 2nd meeting? 3 Ms. Cheney. I don't. Thank you. 4 5 Okay. , go ahead. 6 7 BY 8 Q Just to clarify, Mr. Cipollone, did anyone from the White House Counsel's 9 Office participate in any portion of that call? 10 Α I don't believe so based on my recollection. 11 All right. I want to move now to January 6th unless anyone else 12 has any general questions before we go to the day of. All right. Let us go ahead. Mike, do you need a minute? 13 14 Mr. Purpura. Yeah, give me a minute, guys. 15 Sure. Mr. Purpura. Can we take a 5-minute break? 16 Take a 5-minute break. No problem. 17 [Recess.] 18 I want to take you now to the day of January 6th itself. 19 20 Ms. Cheney. Tim, I am sorry please hold on for at least one second. Yeah, please, please. 21 22 Ms. Cheney. Thank you. Pat, I assume that you saw, and maybe you didn't, I 23 am happy to read it to you. Eric Herschmann's testimony before this committee that on Jan 7th he told John Eastman he was going to need a good F'ing criminal defense 24 25 attorney.

1	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I saw that testimony. Yean.
2	Ms. Cheney. Do you agree with Mr. Herschmann?
3	Mr. Cipollone. I am not going to I am not going to give me legal assessment of
4	things, Representative Cheney.
5	Ms. Cheney. Let me go back to the chronology just for a minute. So we were
6	talking about the January 3rd meeting in the Oval Office. By that time on December
7	14th, the electoral college had met and voted. And also by that time, the President or
8	his associates had brought had lost 60 out of 61 cases they had brought to challenge
9	different aspects of the election in a number of States. They lost 60 out of 61 of those
10	cases. So by the time we get to January 3rd, that has been clear. I assume, Pat, that
11	you would agree the President obligated to abide by the rulings of the courts?
12	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Of course.
13	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> And I assume -
14	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Everybody everybody is obligated to abide by rulings of courts.
15	Ms. Cheney. And I assume you also would agree the President has a particular
16	obligation to take care that the laws be faithfully executed?
17	Mr. Cipollone. That is one of the President's obligations, correct.
18	Ms. Cheney. Okay. So by the January 3rd meeting, the electoral college had
19	met and voted and the courts had ruled.
20	Mr. Cipollone. Correct.
21	Ms. Cheney. Thank you.
22	Anything else before we go to January 6th?
23	BY
24	Q Okay. Let's talk if we can, Mr. Cipollone, about your expectation of the day.

Did you have any intelligence, law enforcement or otherwise, regarding the possibility of

1	violence on	January 6th?
2	А	You mean prior to that day?
3	Q	Prior to the day.
4	А	No, not that I can recall.
5	Q	Did you have
6	А	We had conversation, I think Pat Philbin and I had conversations with Jeff
7	and others	at the Justice Department about what their assessments were.
8	Q	Yes.
9	А	And I believe Pat may have talked to you about that. I told you I didn't I
10	think they t	hought that if they had matters in hand, can set the date, we are going to be
11	involved in	anything. And no, I did not I did not expect what happened.
12	Q	Yeah. You told us actually that you expected large crowds, but that the
13	preparation	s were in place to manage, like
14	Α	That was my understanding. Obviously, it is not none of that is my role in
15	terms of I	out I was aware of it from Jeff.
16	Q	Yeah.
17	Α	And I actually, my recollection is that Jeff was of the view that the crowds
18	might be sn	naller than maybe anticipated. But, again, the bottom line is, we had a
19	couple conv	versations with them. I did not expect what happened on January 6th.
20	had no indi	cation that that would happen based on what I knew at the time.
21	Q	Yeah. Did you also understand at the start of the day on January 6th that
22	the Vice Pre	esident had made clear to the President that he would not exercise any
23	purported a	authority to affect the outcome of the election at the joint session.
24	А	That was my understanding.

All right. In other words, the President had informed, at least the evening

25

Q

- of January 5th, if not earlier, that the Vice President would count the electoral votes and would certify President Biden's victory in the election. Was that you expectation?
- A That was my understanding. And then I remember the Vice President issued a letter publicly. I don't recall the exact timing of that letter, but that also went out from the Vice President's office.
- 6 Q Yeah. That happened the morning of the 6th.
 - Let's talk about the morning of the 6th. Did you hear any discussion that morning about the President's desire to go to the Capitol?
 - A I got in a little later than normal on January 6th. I might have been there late the night before. And so I am trying to remember the exact time I got in. I think it might have been I don't know 10-ish or something, something like that. Anyway, do I remember hearing -- I don't have a specific recollection, but I remember that there was some talk of that. And I don't remember who I heard that from. But, yeah, the idea that the President wanted to also go to the Capitol, I remember hearing that at some point that day.
 - Q You heard it that day. But did you also hear discussions then the days before January 6th?
 - A I might have. I don't -- look, I was not involved in obviously any of the planning or the preparation for that rally. I am not charge of Presidential movements, so I don't --
 - Q What did --

- A To the extent that I heard that anyone wanted the President to go to Capitol

 Hill, my view is that was a bad idea. And, you know, I don't remember when I heard that

 or who I heard it from.
- 25 Q Tell us more --

- 1 A I don't know focused I was anyway when I heard it.
- 2 Q Okay. Why was it a bad idea?
- 3 A Well, I mean, in my view, and again, people can -- people have a right to, you
- 4 know -- let me put it to you this way, okay? I didn't think any of this was a good idea. I
- 5 didn't think the rally at the Capitol on that day was a good idea, just from, you know, not
- as a legal analysis but just as a person. And so, to the extent that there would also be a
- 7 movement to the Capitol, no, I wouldn't think that was a good idea.
- 8 Q Was that because the practical security reasons, legal concerns? Tell us
- 9 more what informed your view.
- 10 A I don't recall having sort of a legal analysis about that. That just struck me
- as, that is not a good idea. I am sure Tony Ornato would be involved in security
- concerns, Chiefs of Staff's office, and things like that. I just didn't think it would be, you
- 13 know, a good idea for the President to go up to the Capitol.
- 14 Q Did you express that view to people?
- 15 A lam sure l did.
- 16 Q Okay. What did you understand --
- 17 A He didn't go.
- 18 Q What did you understand the purpose of that movement to be when it was
- 19 discussed?
- 20 A I don't -- I don't know.
- 21 Q Do you have any sense of what the President intended to do once he arrived
- 22 at the Capitol?
- A I don't recall any sense of what he intended to do. I am sure I don't.
- 24 Q Do you know whether anyone was recommending or advising or requesting
- 25 this movement or this possible trip to the Capitol?

1 A I don't -- I don't know who was recommending or requesting it.

- Q All right. And again, did you have any concerns about the legal implications of him going to the Capitol during the joint session?
 - A Again, when we were going into January 6th, and even the morning of January 6th, what ended up happening was not something that I thought would happen, anticipated happen, have any sense of that. I don't -- I didn't do -- I didn't think about it in terms of legal at that point. I just didn't think it was good for the President to be going up to the Capitol or having this event.
 - Q Were you aware, Mr. Cipollone, that the President persisted in his desire to go to the Capitol, despite being advised that it was unwise?
 - A I -- I wasn't -- I didn't -- I wasn't there obviously. I don't -- I don't have any information about whether he persisted in his desire or what. I know he came back to the White House after speaking at the rally.
 - Q Yeah. My question was sort of, was this, like, the Jeff Clark idea that kept coming up, despite the fact that you and others had argued against it?
 - A Again, this is not one that would be kind of in my lane, you know, whether the President goes -- I don't remember when it first came up to my attention. I don't know how often it came up. It certainly wasn't something that, you know, I was involved in for any significant amount of time or consideration. I am sure I heard about it and I expressed my opinion.
 - Q All right. During the speech, he actually talks about going to the Capitol, I will go to the Capitol. I will be there with you. First of all, you didn't go to the speech, correct?
- 24 A No, I did not go to the speech.
- 25 Q Do you remember hearing that?

1	Α	I don't know that I watched the speech. I remember going back to my
2	office. And	d I may have we had a television kind of in the outer portion of the office.
3	And someti	mes, you know, we would watch TV out there. I may have been watching
4	some of it, l	out I don't recall that specific part of it, no.
5	Q	And do you know whether or not upon the President's return that those
6	discussions	continued whether or not he should go to the Capitol?
7	Α	I don't I don't know. I mean, I don't have any recollection. Whether he
8	should then	go to the Capitol, no, I don't have a recollection of those discussions.
9	Q	So you weren't involved in any such discussions upon completion of the
10	speech abou	ut the President continuing his desire to go to the Capitol?
11	Α	After he was already back?
12	Q	Yes.
13	Α	Not that I can recall, you know. But a lot of things were happening that
14	day. But b	ased on my current recollection, that is not something that sticks out as
15	something t	hat I was hearing or being asked about after he returned.
16		Okay.
17	Yes.	Ms. Cheney, go ahead.
18	Ms.	Cheney. Thank you. Pat, the committee has evidence which is not yet
19	public from	a source who was in the President's motorcade about an altercation in the
20	presidential	vehicle. I take it from your testimony that you are not aware of such an
21	altercation?	
22	Mr.	Cipollone. I am not, no. I don't recall being aware of it at the time. I was
23	surprised to	hear it when I heard about it publicly. I don't there was I have heard
24	what people	e have said, or some witnesses have said happened, but I don't I don't have

any information about that. I don't -- I don't remember in the course of the day. That

1	wasn't something I was focused on. Again, a lot was going on that day. I mean, and
2	no, but I don't remember hearing about an altercation as I sit here today.
3	Ms. Cheney. And Kayleigh McEnany testified to the committee that the
4	President was determined to go to the Capitol after he returned from the Ellipse. Did
5	you talk to Kayleigh about this that day?
6	Mr. Cipollone. I don't I don't recall having a conversations with Kayleigh about
7	that. You know, I may have. I don't I don't remember.
8	Ms. Cheney. And were you aware of anybody else who had, or any other
9	discussions, did you have any other discussions about the President's determination to go
10	to the Capitol after he returned to the White House?
11	Mr. Cipollone. Not that I can recall as I sit here, no. I mean, I my recollection
12	sort of, you know, begin once, you know, it became clear that people were entering the
13	Capitol and starting to enter the Capitol, and some violence was occurring. That is when
14	I that is when I sort of remember, you know I remember that clearly, that part clearly
15	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> And do you know if Pat Philbin was involved in any of these
16	requests by the President or demands by the President to go to the Capitol?
17	Mr. Cipollone. I don't. But Pat Philbin and I were sort of joined at the help that
18	day. I mean we did a lot of this together to the extent we went to the Oval Office, I
19	think, all, or almost all of that we did together. We got on various phone calls with DOJ,
20	with the Pentagon, with Homeland Security during the course of that day. And I would
21	say, for the most part, Pat Philbin and I were together.
22	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
23	ву
24	Q Just to summarize this, Mr. Cipollone, is it fair to say that whenever this idea

came up, the idea of the President going to the Capitol, you expressed your view, your

- strongly held view that it was a bad idea.
- A I am sure I did, yeah. I didn't think it was a good idea. But I don't
- 3 remember -- and I don't think I did start using legal terms or legal jargon to discuss it or
- 4 think about it from that sense.
- Did it come up repeatedly over the course of the lead up to and the day of
- 6 January 6th?
- 7 A I have told you what I recall about that. Again not an area that I would be,
- 8 you know, kind of central in considering such a thing.
- 9 Q Okay. You said you got in around 10 --
- 10 A I don't remember the exact time I got in. I remember I started late in that
- morning. I went to the church in the morning, and then went home for a little while.
- And then I just had -- at that point, you know, I was -- well, anyway, then I went in. And I
- don't -- I don't remember exactly the time. But it was -- normally I would get in a little
- bit on the earlier side, but this was a little bit later than my normal day.
- 15 Q You told us that you had been at the White House late the evening before,
- that you came in to work a little bit later than usual. After first going to your office, you
- went down to the Oval Office to see what was going on, and you saw that the President
- 18 was meeting with some members of his family. Do you remember that?
- 19 A I do. I remember, and again, I don't remember what time I left the night
- 20 before, you know, but I got -- when I got to work, I went to my office, I dropped -- you
- 21 know, I checked in at my office, and then I walked down to the Oval Office. And I
- walked into the outer Oval, and I walked into kind of the door of the Oval Office.
- looked in. I don't remember everybody who was in there. But I remember members
- of his family being in there, primarily members of his family. I think Mark Meadows may
- 25 have been in there. I believe General Kellogg may have been in there, but I don't know.

- 1 And then I remember Eric Herschmann was standing there and came and my recollection
- is he came to me as I was standing in the door and said, this is -- this is family -- just kind
- of -- you don't need to be here. And I said, fine. And I believe I went back to my office
- 4 at that point.
- 5 Q So you never actually penetrated --
- A Not in my recollection -- I mean, I may have walked in a little bit but yeah, that is my recollection.
- Q There has been a lot of discussion the committee's developed about an angry or heated call between the President and the Vice President that took place that morning. Were you present for that?
- 11 A No, no.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 12 Q Did you hear anything about it after the fact?
- 13 A Well, I heard about it after the fact from people.
- 14 Q What did you hear about it?
 - A I didn't remember when I heard about it, I think there were news reports about it at some point. And you know I remember -- I don't remember -- I don't any if Eric was present for it or not, but I may have heard about it from Eric. I heard it was a heated call. I don't -- I don't have any details and witnesses who were there for the call would be in the best position to tell you what happened.
 - Q And I appreciate that. And I want to clarify with all these questions. I am not looking for things you read about in the news, but things to the extent you can untangle this that you heard from the people, your personal knowledge directly of people in the White House. Did anybody describe for you who was present for this call, the conversation?
- A Not on that level of detail, no. I mean, maybe Eric told me about the call.

- I think it was Eric, but I don't know. And I remember hearing about the call. I wouldn't talk about a call between the President and the Vice President anyway, under, sort of, our privilege analysis; but no, I remember hearing separately that the call took place that it was heated.
 - Q Okay. Anything else that you recall about it?
- A I mean, I am trying -- I am trying to parse what I knew at the time versus
 what I subsequently learned and I just can't.
- 8 Q Okay.

- 9 A You know, I have heard about that call. And I have read about that call in the resources.
 - Q You said a little while ago that you thought the whole thing was a bad idea, meaning the President's -- the rally, the President's speech that he proposed to give at the Ellipse. Tell us more why you thought that whole thing was a bad idea?
 - A Well, again, you know, and that was sort of, in my view, sort of a campaign kind of event so it is not -- other people may have different views of that. I just thought at that point, the best thing to do would be, you know, start the transition, or continue the transition. And having rallies like that at that point, I didn't view as, you know, the best thing to do.
 - Q Did you see a draft of the President's Ellipse speech sometime before it occurred?
 - A I remember -- and I think it was the day of, I think the day of, you know, speeches, even campaign speeches would sometimes get circulated through the process.

 And I was not the one reviewing those speeches. I think Pat Philbin would normally review those speeches. I don't know if it was when I got in, or on the way in, but maybe when I got in I heard that they had circulated the speech to Pat. I believe they would

- copy me on those things normally. I may have a copy of it in my email. I don't have a recollection of doing a close review of the speech. I think Pat did some of that, but I think my immediate reaction was, you know -- I think I told Pat that, to the extent there are recitations of facts, you know, we are not -- it is not our role to review or sign off on that. And I think I suggested he send some email to that effect. I know Eric sent an
- Q They did. You are exactly right. Pat Philbin and Mr. Herschmann

 expressed concern about factual statements the speech that were some of the very same

 claims of election fraud that had been rebutted, discussed ad nauseam --

email, at least I was told he did to that effect. But that is what I know about that.

- 10 A And I told Pat, like, you know, make sure there is a -- a record of that, and he
 11 did.
- 12 Q Why --

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 13 A And he agreed.
- 14 Q Why was it important that that be --
 - A Well, I mean, this thing just dropped in our inbox, you know. I don't remember how many -- maybe Pat saw a copy of it the night before, I don't know when. But I remember the morning of thinking okay, what are we going -- it is not our role to review this for factual accuracy, it would normally be, and I just told them make sure that that is clear that, that is not what we are doing.
 - Q Okay. Were you concerned, Mr. Cipollone, about the inclusion of these false assertions regarding the power of the Vice President or election fraud in the joint --
 - A I didn't have enough detail about the speech to suggest to me, you know, in particular, things I would be concerned about, but I just wanted to make sure Pat aware make sure that, you know, he told me -- we may have talked about particular concerns on that day. I don't remember that. But I just wanted to make sure that to the extent

1	that there were factual assertions in that speech, that there wouldn't be some indication
2	that somehow they sent it to us and we signed off on that, because everybody
3	understood our view at the time. And I don't even know if they were sending us that for
4	that reason. But I just said, Pat, make sure you email something. But I don't
5	remember what he said in the email.
6	Q You said you didn't
7	A I am not a big emailer. Pat would generally, you know, do the he would
8	do the review. He was very, very good at that.
9	Q Tell us more about your decision not to attend the rally on the Ellipse. Why
10	didn't you go?
11	A Why would I go? I am a government lawyer. I had been to rallies in the
12	past obviously as part of the campaign, you know, even during the administration. But
13	that day, it just didn't even occur to me that that would be something that I would go to.
14	Q Did you discuss with Mr. Herschmann or Mr. Philbin their potential
15	attendance at the
16	A My recollection of that is that I don't think Pat Philbin had any, you know,
17	any inclination that he would be going to that rally. And I remember Eric ended up
18	going. I can't remember whether he might have asked Pat or not, you know.
19	O Veah

Q He did. He told us that he asked Pat Philbin to go to attend the rally because he thought Philbin could be helpful, and that Philbin chose not to attend because he knew that the rally would be about election fraud theories that he knew were false, and which he knew the President had been told were false. And that is why he didn't

I don't know why Eric went. I don't know. I am sure he told you in his

testimony.

1	want to go.	
2	Α	That is why Pat Philbin said he didn't go?
3	Q	Yes.
4	Α	Okay.
5	Q	Again, my question is, did you share that view?
6	Α	I shared the view that I share that view generally, yes. I didn't I don't
7	know sort o	f I didn't want to go to that rally. I didn't think it would be it would be
8	odd for the	White House counsel show up at that rally. That is not something I would
9	do. I also	agree with Pat Philbin that I didn't want to go there because theories that
10	were being	propounded. And again, I didn't know exactly what was going to be said, but
11	it is not som	nething that I would do.
12	Q	I understand. Did you watch the speech?
13	Α	As I said, I don't I don't remember if I watched. I think I started out in my
14	office. An	d maybe at some point, I came in and out, watched the speech, you know.
15	think I had a	a TV in my office, but I don't think I ever turned it on once, but I had a TV
16	outside. A	and I may have gone in and out or stood at my door and watched some of it.
17	Q	Do you remember any specific reaction to any of the words the President
18	used in this	speech?
19	Α	No.
20		Ms. Luria first, and Ms. Cheney, you have come off the camera.
21	Ms. Cheney	, why don't you go first, if you have a question and Ms. Luria we will come to
22	you.	
23	Ms.	Cheney. Thank you.
24	Pat,	I didn't know if you saw in one of other hearings we presented some of the

radio traffic from some of the law enforcement sources on the morning of the 6th, it

1	included reports of weapons in the crowd, including AR-15s. Did you see that tape we
2	played in our hearing?
3	Mr. Cipollone. I don't think I saw that tape, no.
4	Ms. Cheney. Are you aware of the police reports that there were weapons in the
5	crowd, including AR-15, body armor, sort
6	Mr. Cipollone. I don't believe I was aware of those things at the time. Of
7	course, that would be a law enforcement function and not my function. But I don't I
8	was not aware of that at the time. Am I aware now what you are saying that there were
9	weapons and things like this? Yeah. I am aware just from subsequent events.
10	Ms. Cheney. So did you hear on January 6th, or in the days before that, concerns
11	about weapons in the crowd?
12	Mr. Cipollone. No, not that I can recall. My primary conversation and I think
13	Pat Philbin's too, were with DOJ and making sure that, you know just finding out from
14	them where are you on this and but no, I did not I don't recall people telling me that
15	there would be weapons in the crowd, no.
16	Ms. Cheney. And did you hear reports on the 6th that Secret Service was
17	confiscating weapons?
18	Mr. Cipollone. I can't remember when I started hearing reports like that, you
19	know, about what was going on in the crowd. If I would have heard such a thing, I
20	would have heard it from Tony Ornato. I remember clearly, at one point in the

prior to the speeches. I said I was, you know, being aware that there were weapons in the crowd, but somebody may have said that at some point, but I don't think so, not to me.

21

22

afternoon, hearing that someone had been shot in the Capitol and reacting to that.

think I heard that from Tony. But I don't have a recollection of, you know, particularly

1 Ms. Cheney. Thank you.

Thank you, Ms. Cheney.

Mrs. Luria.

Mrs. <u>Luria</u>. Yes. So I want to go back to the review of the draft of the remarks and your previous comments as well where you had alluded to the fact that there were things that were being planned that were not factual, you were aware they were not factual. I get the impression you voiced that opinion repeated times. And rather than focusing on that particular set of remarks, that particular event, can you talk more broadly as to what is the role of the White House counsel in this review process, the speeches that are going to be given by the President to cite document, and then report back directly to the President and people who are drafting the speech that there are actually inaccuracies in the text of that document that she is about to go deliver --

Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, there is a process talking about a policy speech, for example, where the policy people, the speech-writing team obviously put together a speech and it typically goes through a number of different reviews, people comment on it. It typically goes through — you know, it gets reviewed by the counsel's office, you know, sometimes for factual accuracy on things like that, if we have a question, or if somebody else who maybe is a policy person with more detailed knowledge of facts, they would get involved. But I, personally, in terms of my role, you know, I would not be the person doing the detailed review of these speeches. You know, typically, Pat would do it or somebody else in the counsel's office would do it, depending on what agency it impacted. We have a large team — well, I mean, 30-ish, 35 lawyers. Each agency had a lawyer assigned to it for a policy perspective, also for an oversight, if oversight issues came up. And so — but if there were particular speeches or particular questions that Pat would raise with me or I was involved in, then, yeah, I would review things, but that

review is typically done by other people on my staff.

Mrs. <u>Luria</u>. So going back to this particular speech the one that the President was to deliver the morning of January 6th at the Ellipse. What review process did it go through? Did you already say that --

Mr. <u>Cipollone</u>. The only thing I can recall, I don't know who else was looking at it who on the campaign. I am sure people, you know, not in the White House and maybe associated with the campaign of the event, I would expect that they would have looked at it, but I don't know. I think that my only recollection is the speech came in, I found out that the speech had come in, it had been copied in my mailbox. And I think I talked to Philbin. And I said what is -- like, why are -- you know. And then I said, just make sure, you know, we are not doing a review for facts. And we are not signing off on things that are in there in terms of the facts. I mean, I remember saying that and I remember Philbin documenting it. But it wasn't -- I didn't spend a lot of time on that. I just remember seeing it and talking to Pat. I remember hearing Eric had done the same thing, and Pat did it, and that was that.

Mrs. <u>Luria</u>. Thank you. Maybe in my context is obviously a little bit different in my professional experience than the White House Counsel to the President, but thinking as somebody who served 20 years in the Navy and having worked directly for flag officers and their JAG [inaudible.] That is given, you know, relative to all aspects of their job. And maybe this will be hard as we get more into the January 6th of what actions were taken at the White House. But it seems as though throughout the day, and we are looking really close at an 185-minute period, that there are a lot of actions that one [inaudible.] taking as the Commander in Chief.

We heard from multiple people that calls were not made, Defense Department,

Justice, Mayor of Washington, D.C., all of these types of things. You know, am I off base

1	in saying is that, you know, as the legal adviser, that I would have anticipated you to have
2	been by his side in this kind of scenario advising on the legality of all kinds of decisions
3	that needed to be made, but that, in fact, didn't happen because of something such as
4	[inaudible.] conversations, decisions were not being litigated by the President during that
5	time.
6	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Let me I can tell you what I did and what we did. And I think,
7	you know, there is the time period before the speech, during the speech, after the
8	speech, once kind of the protests and the start and then once people breached the
9	Capitol, what I did. And without, you know, getting into what would normally be done
10	in normal circumstances. Number one, I was very concerned about the safety of people,
11	the fact that we had enough make sure we had enough law enforcement resources up
12	there.
13	I believed very strongly that there needed to be an immediate and again, I am
14	not getting into what I said or didn't say to the President. I am telling about my personal
15	views. My personal view was that there once people were once things started
16	developing in a way near the Capitol, at the Capitol, and certainly once it was breached,
17	my very strong view was there needed to be an immediate strong direct call to people to
18	get out of the Capitol and to stop. That was my view.
19	And we are going to march through a little bit of that once we keep
20	with the timeline.
21	Mr. <u>Cipollone</u> . With respect to if can I

Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Yeah. With respect to other issues, and I think probably other people have testified to this, with respect to law enforcement issues, obviously other people are responsible for the law enforcement aspects of it, but I was in communication

Please.

- over that period of time with the people at the Pentagon. I was in communication, as
- were others, you know. There was a group of people dealing with it, Justice
- 3 Department; Jeff Rosen; Rich Donoghue was involved, I believe he was at the command
- 4 center; Mr. Bowdich was from the FBI was there; Chris Miller at the Pentagon; the acting
- 5 Secretary of Defense, he was involved; General Milley was involved. DHS was involved.
- And I was on a variety of calls, I don't remember how many, but a number of calls through
- 7 that process, you know, just sort of do whatever it was I could do to make sure that there
- 8 was a full and immediate response to what was going on.

1	
2	[1:58 p.m.]
3	All right. Thanks, Ms. Luria.
4	And I do want to come back for a little bit of your responses to Ms. Luria's
5	questions. But let me just go back to during the speech
6	Ms. Lofgren. can I do a brief follow-up to Ms. Luria's question on reviewing
7	the speech.
8	Of course, yes, Ms. Lofgren. Go ahead.
9	Ms. Lofgren. I understand that you wanted to make clear it was not the
10	counsel's office to ascertain the validity of factual associations assertions and that
11	that was accomplished.
12	But what about legal assertions? Would it be the role of the counsel to correct
13	errors that were prepared by speechwriters as to matters of law? Would that be
14	something within your purview?
15	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, it would depend on the type of speech. Obviously, if it's a
16	government policy speech there was a little bit of a different review, but still a review on
17	campaign speeches and things of that kind.
18	But, yeah, I mean, if there were misstatements of law in a policy speech, then
19	someone on my staff would normally address that and correct it.
20	Ms. Lofgren. You had reached the conclusion, as I think all even Mr. Eastman
21	admitted that his proposal violated the Electoral Count Act that the idea that the Vice
22	President could simply choose the next President was not well grounded.
23	Did your office see evidence in the proposed speech about directing the Vice
24	President to do that, that he had the authority? Did your lawyers correct that?
25	Mr. Cipollone. Again, I did not I think that's a better question for Pat Philbin.

1	I don't know, you know here's what I thought about that. I thought that was done in
2	the sense that people understood our views. The Vice President was not going do that,
3	and it wasn't going to happen.
4	So that's kind of my thinking of that, and that was clear certainly by the morning
5	of January 6th, but I believe probably before that.
6	Ms. Lofgren. Just quickly. It seems that the role of the counsel is to help the
7	President from making mistakes that you're aware of and looking out for him and his
8	office. So that's the origin of my question. Thank you.
9	Mr. Cipollone. I would say, Representative, that Pat Philbin and I and our entire
10	team worked extremely hard over the course of our tenure to achieve that goal.
11	Ms. Lofgren. Let me make clear, I don't dispute that. I was just inquiring as to
12	the speech review and the unfortunate comments made during the speech about the
13	Vice President.
14	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Thank you.
15	Thanks, Ms. Lofgren.
16	Ms. Cheney, do you have something else?
17	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you, I'd like to take a five-minute break, if we could.
18	Sure we can.
19	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thanks.
20	[Recess.]
21	All right. Ms. Cheney, you were in the middle before we stopped.
22	Is there anything else before we resume?
23	Ms. Cheney. No, not now, Thanks.
24	Okay. All right.

1	Q	Mr. Cipollone, I wanted to go back to during the speech Mr. Herschmann
2	returned to	the White House and came sort of straight to the counsel's suite. You told
3	us in the in	formal interview that he was frustrated upon his return.
4	Doy	you remember that initial conversation with Mr. Herschmann?
5	Α	No, I don't remember whether he came back. I think he left during the
6	speech.	
7	Q	Yeah.
8	Α	And then he came back, and he came into our office. And, I mean,
9	whatever h	e said, he's testified to, I don't have any reason to disagree with.
10	Q	What do you recall about the conversation with Herschmann, if anything?
11	Α	Well, I don't think he liked the event either. And I think, having been there,
12	he came ba	ck with that impression.
13	Q	All right. When did you first realize that people from the Ellipse were
14	moving tow	vards the Capitol? Do you have any recollection of how and when you knew
15	that?	
16	Α	No.
17	Q	When did you first realize that there was actual violence or rioting at the
18	Capitol?	
19	Α	I first realized and it may have been on television or it may have been Tony
20	or it may ha	ave been Philbin but I found out that people were they weren't in the
21	Capitol yet,	but they were and then I started watching it, and then I was aware of it.
22	Q	Is there a TV in the counsel's suite or in your personal office?
23	А	There's a TV in the counsel suite.
24	Q	And did you see these images yourself?
25	Α	I'm sure I did, yeah.

1	Q All right. When you first realized that there was actual violence at the
2	Capitol, what did you do?
3	A Well, I went I got well, I first I think the first time I may have gone
4	down before this, but the first time I remember going downstairs was when people had
5	breached the Capitol, they had gotten into the Capitol, you know? And I may have gone
6	down another time before that.
7	But I went down with Pat, and I remember we were both very upset about what
8	was happening. And we both wanted, you know, action to be taken related to that.
9	Q When you say you went downstairs, are you talking about to the Oval Office
10	or to
11	A I'm sure I may have stopped by Mark's office first. I normally would do
12	that. Sometimes I wouldn't. But we went down to the Oval Office, we went through
13	the Oval Office, and we went to the back where the President was.
14	Q Mr. Philbin said
15	A And I believe Meadows was already there at that point or he came but I
16	don't remember where Mark got there.
17	Q All right. You and Mr. Philbin indicated that you first the two of you went
18	downstairs, first, to Mark Meadows' office, either found him in his office or then found
19	him in the President's dining room with the President.
20	A That's correct. Yeah. Yeah. I think he was already in the dining room.
21	I think we went to his office. He wasn't there. We both went into the dining room.
22	Q And what was your intention? I think you said something needed to be
23	done.
24	What specifically did you think needed to be done?
25	A Again, without and I think

1	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> We're not talking about the conversations.
2	Mr. Cipollone. I can't talk about conversations. I think I was pretty clear there
3	needed to be an immediate and forceful response, statement, public statement, that
4	people need to leave the Capitol now.
5	Okay. And that was conveyed in your conversations in the dining
6	room?
7	Mr. <u>Cipollone</u> . Again
8	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> I think you said enough.
9	I think that really does reflect a Presidential communication,
10	BY
11	Q Okay. At some point, did you leave the dining room and go back to the M
12	Meadows' office?
13	A I'm sure I did. I remember at one point the mil aide came and somebody
14	from I believe, Chris Miller or somebody from the Pentagon wanted to talk to someon
15	And I think and I went with the mil aide. And I believe Mark came to the to Mark's
16	office and had a call with Chris Miller. I don't know if General Milley was on that call.
17	He may have been on that call.
18	You know, just to while I'm talking, I don't I want and I'm sure you know th
19	from talking to a lot of people. There were a lot of people in the White House that day
20	Q Yep.
21	A Senior people who, you know, felt the same way that I did and who were
22	working very hard to achieve that result. There were I think Ivanka was one of them.
23	And Eric Herschmann was there, Pat Philbin was there, and a number of other people.
24	Q Yeah.
25	A Dan Scavino was in my view playing a positive role.

1	Q Okay. Do you remember any discussion with Mark Meadows with respect
2	to his view that the President didn't want to do anything or was somehow resistant to
3	wanting to say something along the lines that you suggested?
4	A Not just just to be clear, many people suggested it.
5	Q Yeah.
6	A Not just me. Many people felt the same way.
7	I'm sure I had conversations with Mark about this during the course of the day and
8	expressed my opinion very forcefully, that this needs to be done.
9	In the meantime, I was also going up with Pat and getting on these phone calls
10	with Jeff and the other agencies.
11	And I remember conveying there and I remember saying actually downstairs on
12	my way up my office was on the next floor up in the West Wing from where the Oval
13	Office is, and when I was going up there and I told I'm sure I told Mark this, I mean,
14	we need to get every available Federal resource, Federal law enforcement resource to the
15	Capitol now.
16	Now, that's not my job. There were lots of people working on that. But I
17	wanted to make sure that that was conveyed.
18	I got on the phone call. I don't know who had called. That was already
19	underway. And I communicated that as my sentiment.
20	I think Donoghue at some point left the command center and went up to the
21	Capitol. I remember there was one phone call where he wasn't on, and I believe I called
22	him on his cell, and I said, "Rich what's going on?" And then I relayed it may have
23	been one of the initial phone calls. And that may have been one where he went up to
24	the Hill before he went up to the Hill.

1	communication with Rosen, Jeff Rosen, during the day.
2	Q Before the phone calls start, at the onset of the violence when you first
3	notice on television or wherever that rioters have actually breached the Capitol, did you
4	have a conversation with Mark Meadows in which Meadows indicated he doesn't want to
5	do anything, "he" meaning the President?
6	A I don't I had a conversation I'm sure with Mark Meadows, I'm sure with
7	other people, of what I thought should be done. Did Mark say that to me? I don't have
8	a recollection of him saying that to me, but he may have said something along the lines.
9	Q What, if anything, do you remember Mr. Meadows saying at the very
10	beginning about the President's desire, the President's action or inaction?
11	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> I've got a question.
12	Pat, you said that you expressed your opinion forcefully. Could you tell us
13	exactly how you did that?
14	Mr. Cipollone. Well, that would I mean well, I mean, I think
15	Mr. Purpura. Talking about the conversation with the President, same thing with
16	Meadows or others.
17	Mr. Cipollone. Yeah. I can't I don't have you know, I have to on the
18	privilege issue, I can't talk about conversations with the President, but I can generically
19	say that I said people need to be told, there needs to be a public announcement fast that
20	they need to leave the Capitol.
21	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> And, Pat, could you let us know approximately when you said that?
22	Mr. Cipollone. Approximately when? Almost immediately after I found out
23	people were getting into the Capitol or approaching the Capitol in a way that was violent.
24	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
25	All right. Do you remember anything that Mr. Meadows said,

1	anything at all, during that conversation?
2	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I think Mark was doing the best he could, you know, to under
3	the circumstances, was my view, you know. And others were. And I think Mark also
4	wanted to got I remember him getting Ivanka involved, because he's like get Ivanka
5	down here because he thought that would be important.
6	I don't think Jared was there in the morning, but I think he came later.
7	remember thinking it was important to get him in there too.
8	And, of course, Pat Philbin was expressing the same things. I mean, Pat Philbin
9	was very as I said, I don't think there was one of these meetings where there might
10	have been but for the most part I remember the both of us going down together, going
11	back, getting on phone calls. He was also very clearly expressing this view.
12	Ms. Cheney, go ahead.
13	Ms. Cheney. And so, Pat, at this point, you said you began almost immediately
14	as soon as you realized that there was violence underway.
15	Did you express this opinion forcefully to Mark Meadows?
16	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Yes.
17	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
18	I understand that you were
19	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I remember at one point, and I can't remember when, when I
20	continued to express and this is not something I think this was outside of the I know
21	this was outside of the back the dining room.
22	I expressed to Mark Meadows this needs to be done now. And I expressed
23	if I'm trying to remember if there's a Presidential communication.
24	I expressed very clearly to Mark Meadows and others and it wasn't just me,
25	again, with this sentiment that something needed to be done, that this was not good,

1	that this was a violation of well, let me put it to you this way.
2	I thought what happened on January 6th was a national tragedy. And I did
3	everything I could do during that time to express my opinion that things needed to be
4	done, that statements needed to be made.
5	I wasn't the only one. There were a lot of people who were doing it forcefully.
6	You know who they are.
7	But, no, that was a terrible, terrible day for the United States and certainly for the
8	people who lost their lives and who were victims of violence.
9	At one point, I remember in one of these calls telling Jeff Rosen these people who
10	are doing this up there, who are engaging in these acts of violence, they need to be
11	arrested and they need to be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.
12	That was my view. Now, that's obviously up to the Department of Justice. But I
13	remember saying that on one of those calls.
14	I was also, I remember, in terms of the Vice President and I believe Pat may
15	have communicated with Greg on that, I believe, you know, but we were also obviously
16	concerned about that.
17	Ms. Cheney, go ahead.
18	Ms. Cheney. Thank you.
19	Pat, is it fair to say that you were concerned about what the consequences could
20	be if action wasn't taken in terms of lives?
21	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I actually I wasn't thinking of it in that way. I was thinking of it in
22	a human way, that we need to oh, in terms of lives? Okay.
23	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Yeah.
24	Mr. Cipollone. Yeah. Yeah. In terms of people's safety. I mean, that's how a

lot of people were thinking.

1	And, again, I was the White House counsel. I was doing what I could do. There
2	were very brave law enforcement officers and the Capitol Police and Federal law
3	enforcement officers, actually elected Representatives who were at risk.
4	And I just wanted to do everything that I could do from my position to make sure
5	that the response was swift and immediate, and I did everything I could do in that regard
6	Ms. Cheney. And just to follow up on that, Pat, is it you view that the tweets
7	were not enough?
8	Mr. Cipollone. Again, I'm trying to give my answer consistent with privileges and
9	consistent with things that might have reflected my advice at the time. And you're
10	talking about the tweets, and there were different tweets.
11	I think the question is, did you believe that the tweets were not
12	enough? Not anything about your advice to the President.
13	Mr. Cipollone. No, I believed more needed to be done. Okay. I believed that
14	a public statement needed to be made.
15	Mr. Schiff, you've come off of your camera's on. Questions on
16	this?
17	Mr. Schiff. Yes. Thank you.
18	Mr. Cipollone, did you have a conversation that day at all with Mr. Meadows in
19	which you indicated that you were trying to persuade the President to do more, but the
20	President was unwilling?
21	Mr. Cipollone. I'm trying to remember precise conversations. I think as a
22	general matter, let me put it to you this way. I think all of us wanted more to be done a
23	quickly as possible. And did I have a conversation with Mr. Meadows about that? I'm
24	sure I did.
25	With respect to what I'm trying to I'm trying to answer your question as

1	directly and clearly as I possibly can without at the same time providing information that
2	might reflect what I may have heard or reflect statements by the President.
3	And I understand your the committee's view of that privilege, and all I'm doing is
4	trying to follow the direction that I've been given in terms of what I can say.
5	So I don't want to be unclear. I think I've been clear about what I thought and
6	what others thought should happen and should happen quickly.
7	Mr. Schiff. I appreciate that. I just want to see if I can get further clarity there.
8	Do you recall any conversation you had with Mr. Meadows where he indicated
9	that he's trying to follow your recommendation and trying to get the President to urge
10	people to leave the Capitol, but that the President wasn't willing to do what he was being
11	asked?
12	Mr. Purpura. Yeah. I think, I think that reflects a direct communication
13	with the President, which is part of the line we've drawn.
14	It does not reflect a communication that Mr. Cipollone had with the
15	President. It's a conversation with Mr. Meadows.
16	I think Mr. Schiff's question is, did you have a conversation with Meadows in
17	which Meadows indicated he was trying to essentially follow your advice, provide similar
18	advice, and it was resisted?
19	Mr. Cipollone. And again, it wasn't just my advice. I don't want to give the
20	impression that I was
21	l understand.
22	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> There were a lot of people in there saying.
23	Yeah, I think Mark Meadows was doing what he could to get things to move
24	quickly.
25	Mr. Schiff. Well, Mr. Cipollone, what is the basis of that conclusion that you

1	believe Mr. Meadows was trying?
2	Did Mr. Meadows convey to you that he was trying to get the President to urge
3	people to leave the Capitol, but was doing so without success?
4	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> I think it's the same I think we're at the same answer here,
5	I mean, he's answered it. He's tried to come up as close to the line as we can on this.
6	I think the confusion, or at least mine, I can't speak for Mr. Schiff, is
7	I understand the direct communications with the President, Mr. Cipollone, you have
8	asserted privilege.
9	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Or
10	Mr. Purpura. Conversations that reflect a direct communication with the
11	President.
12	If you had a conversation with Mr. Meadows in which he expressed
13	anything, a fact or opinion, I don't see how that's covered by executive privilege.
14	Mr. Purpura. Well, I think we're putting words in the President's mouth. If it
15	was phrased in a way that talks about Mr. Meadows' opinion that doesn't reflect a direct
16	conversation of what Mr. Meadows believed, then maybe he could answer the question.
17	Mr. Cipollone. My understanding of and, again, I would defer to Mike on
18	this my understanding of what the instructions that I've received on the privilege is that
19	I'm not to discuss and this is our understanding direct communications with the
20	President or other communications that might reflect direct communications with the
21	President. And I'm trying to answer your question as best that I can, and I'm trying to do
22	it as directly as I can consistent with that.
23	Mr. Schiff. And, again, is reflecting exactly what I was asking. Let
24	me try asking it a different way.
25	You said that you believe that Mr. Meadows was doing the best he can. And by

1	that I take it you mean he was doing the best he could to get the President to issue a
2	statement to leave the Capitol.
3	What is the basis for your saying you believe Meadows was trying?
4	Mr. Cipollone. Well, my own observations of what Mark was trying to do, both
5	inside, you know to the extent that I had observations of Mark. I mean, that was my
6	observation.
7	Mr. Schiff. Your observation of him with the President?
8	Mr. Purpura. If you saw him with the President, that's a Presidential
9	communication.
10	Mr. Cipollone. Again, I'm trying to remember. Let me take a step back and
11	think about you're asking a general question about every encounter that
12	Mr. Schiff. Let me try to clarify.
13	Mr. Cipollone, you said that Mr. Meadows was doing the best he could, and that's
14	based on your observation.
15	That I assume would be on the basis of either you observing him interacting with
16	the President or him telling you about his interaction with the President.
17	So I'm asking you which was it, and if you can give us any clarity.
18	Mr. Cipollone. It was both.
19	Mr. Schiff. In terms of outside of the presence of the President, can you be any
20	more specific about what he related to you what he was trying to do?
21	Mr. Cipollone. Yeah. He related to me that he was trying to get a statement
22	out or a public statement. And I think at one point I said to Mr. Meadows I'm trying to
23	remember if this was in the room.
24	Can we you want to take a break?
25	Mr. Purpura. Let's go off the record for maybe two minutes.

1	All right. Two minutes.
2	[Discussion off the record.]
3	Let's go back on the record.
4	Go ahead, Mr. Cipollone.
5	Mr. Cipollone. At one point, I made a statement to Mr. Meadows. It was
6	outside the presence of the President. It was outside that something needed to be
7	done, that somebody needed to go make a public statement immediately, and that if the
8	President didn't do it, then Mr. Meadows should go out and do that, whoever. But that
9	was one statement that I made that day.
10	Okay.
11	Mr. Schiff. One other question, if I could. In your conversations with Mr.
12	Meadows, did you discuss the threats on the Vice President's life and that something
13	needed to be done to protect the Vice President?
14	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I'm sure I discussed the Vice President at some point in the day,
15	probably early on with Mr. Meadows and others, and certainly on the calls that I was
16	having with law enforcement and people who Federal law enforcement. Obviously,
17	there were other law enforcement officials, including the Capitol Police, who were
18	responding. I didn't have communications with them. But, yes.
19	Mr. Schiff. In your conversations with Mr. Meadows about the Vice President,
20	did he ever indicate his views or the views of others as to whether the Vice President
21	deserved the threat he was experiencing?
22	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, I'm trying to remember specifically.
23	Look, on the Vice President my view was very clear that something needed to be
24	done for the Vice President, but for everybody at the Capitol who was threatened by the
25	violence. But, obviously, I was concerned about the Vice President. I indicated that.

1	I think Pat Philbin also took steps to at least get information. And I understand,
2	obviously, the Secret Service was involved, but I didn't have communication with the
3	Secret Service.
4	Mr. Schiff. With respect to your conversations with Mr. Meadows, though, did
5	you specifically raise a concern about the Vice President with him, and how did he
6	respond?
7	Mr. Cipollone. I believe I raised a concern about the Vice President. And
8	I again, the nature of his response, without recalling exactly, was people were doing all
9	that they could.
10	Mr. Schiff. And what about the President, did he indicate whether or not the
11	President was doing what needed to be done to protect the Vice President?
12	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> That would be privilege. Privilege.
13	You have to assert it. Go ahead.
14	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> That calls for privilege.
15	Mr. Cipollone. I'm being instructed on the privilege.
16	I see.
17	Ms. Cheney. Can I follow up on that, Adam?
18	Mr. Schiff. Yes. Please.
19	Ms. Cheney. Pat, what was your reaction to the 2:24 tweet about the Vice
20	President?
21	That's the one that says, "Mike Pence didn't have the courage to do
22	what should have been done to protect our country and our Constitution."
23	Mr. Cipollone. I don't remember when exactly I heard about that tweet, but my
24	reaction to it is that's a terrible tweet, and I disagreed with the sentiment. And I
25	thought it was wrong.

1	Ms. Cheney. And when you talk about others on the staff thinking more should
2	be done or thinking that the President needed to tell people to go home, who would you
3	put in that category?
4	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, I would put I think I went through them all or a large
5	number of them. I would put in that category not in terms of precisely what they said
6	but in terms of a positive push to get a positive effort to get more done faster, Pat
7	Philbin, Eric Herschmann. Overall, Mark Meadows, Ivanka. Once Jared got there,
8	Jared, General Kellogg. I'm probably missing some, but those are Kayleigh I think was
9	there. But I don't Dan Scavino.
10	Ms. Cheney. And who on the staff did not want people to leave the Capitol?
11	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> On the staff?
12	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> In the White House?
13	Mr. Cipollone. I can't think of anybody on that day who didn't want people to
14	get out of the Capitol once the particularly once the violence started. No. I mean
15	Mr. Schiff. What about the President?
16	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Yeah.
17	Mr. Cipollone. Well, she said the staff. So I answered.
18	Ms. Cheney. No. I said in the White House.
19	Mr. Cipollone. Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize. I thought you said who else on the
20	staff.
21	Yeah. I can't reveal communications. But obviously I think, you know yeah.
22	Let me see if I can can I Ms. Cheney, anything else?
23	Ms. Cheney. Well, I would like to understand how we got to the 4:17 video.
24	Yeah.
25	BY

1	Q	My question's exactly that, that it sounds like you from the very onset of
2	violence at	the Capitol right around 2 o'clock were pushing for a strong statement that
3	people shou	uld leave the Capitol.
4	ls th	at right?
5	А	I was, and others were as well.
6	Q	Okay. No such statement is issued directing or asking people to leave the
7	Capitol unti	4:17 p.m. So there's a couple of hours in which your strong advice had not
8	been taken.	
9	ls th	at right?
10	Α	I don't want to characterize in that way. I want to say that I know there
11	were tweet	s that were sent out, and in my view of the world and I think others more
12	needed to b	pe done, and ultimately that video statement was made.
13	Q	Yeah. So your advice was tell people to leave the Capitol, and that took
14	over 2 hour	s when there were subsequent statements made, tweets put forth, that in
15	your view w	vere insufficient.
16	Did	you continue, Mr. Cipollone, throughout the period of time, up until 4:17,
17	continue, ye	ou and others, to push for a stronger statement?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Were you joined in that effort by Ivanka Trump?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	By Eric Herschmann?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	By Mark Meadows?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. And was it necessary for you to continue to push for a stronger

1	statement l	petween 2 o'clock all the way until the statement was issued at 4:17?
2	Α	Restate that question.
3	Q	Was it necessary for you to continue to push for a statement directing
4	people to le	eave all the way through that period of time until it was ultimately issued after
5	4	
6	Α	I felt it was my obligation to continue to push for that, and others felt it was
7	their obliga	tion as well.
8	Q	Why was it necessary to continue to push for a statement over that period of
9	time?	
10	Was	it because one had not been issued, because your advice had been resisted?
11	Α	I mean, factually, what I can say is that there had been some statements
12	made, and	that I continued to push because I thought more needed to be done.
13	Q	Would it have been possible at any moment for the President to walk down
14	to the podi	um in the briefing room and talk to the nation at any time between when you
15	first gave hi	m that advice at 2 o'clock and 4:17 when the video statement went out?
16	Would that	have been possible?
17	Α	Would it have been possible?
18	Q	Yes.
19	Α	Yes, it would have been possible.
20	Q	Is it fair to say, Mr. Cipollone, that it took you and all the people you
21	mentioned	persistently pushing for a statement directing people to leave for it to
22	ultimately h	nappen?
23	Α	Repeat that one.
24	Q	Was it necessary for all of you that you've named, all of the people that were
25	pushing for	a stronger statement, did it take repeated pushing, repeated efforts of

1	persuasion to finally achieve the goal that you suggested back at 2 o'clock, a statement
2	telling people to go home?
3	A I think, again, what I would say is that a lot of people, including myself, were
4	pushing for more to be done. Some statements were issued. Some tweets were
5	issued. And my view was that we should do as much as we possibly can as quickly as
6	possible.
7	Q Yeah. You told the President or you advised at 2 o'clock that you should
8	tell people to go home. The first tweet says stay, stay peaceful.
9	In your view, was that insufficient?
10	A Again, I'm not going to get I'm not going to get into interpretations of
11	particular tweets.
12	Q Okay. Were you
13	A I, obviously, thought, as I've said repeatedly, that more should be done as
14	quickly as possible, as much as possible should be done.
15	Q Yeah.
16	A And then, as I said, I was in and out of and others were there. I think
17	Ivanka was very effective in and positive in her advice. I think others were too.
18	But, again, I'm not going to get into the privileged communications or direct
19	communications. I was going back and forth to my office to get on these phone calls.
20	And I don't, as I said, I don't remember how many times I went down there.
21	remember being down there when the statement was being recorded outside.
22	Q Yeah. Did you continue to push for that result, that tell people to go home
23	all the way through the period until the statement was issued?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Okay.

1	Ms. Cheney.
2	Ms. Cheney. Can you Pat, are you aware of an argument, a disagreement,
3	between Chad Gilmartin and Sarah Matthews on this day?
4	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> Hold on a second.
5	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Yeah. I can't I was trying to place the people you're
6	mentioning. I'm not
7	They're both on the press staff.
8	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Okay. Mike just told me that.
9	I'm not aware of arguments between them. Yeah. I'm sorry.
10	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> That's okay.
11	Can you tell us who contacted you that day? You said that you were back in your
12	office taking calls. Who called you?
13	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, I got on call I remember the mil aide wanted me to talk to
14	Chris Miller at one point. And then Chris wanted to we got on a bunch I got on a
15	couple of at least two, maybe three conference calls with DOD, Homeland Security,
16	DOJ, people from the FBI. And so that I just got I dialed in or maybe the Sit Room
17	dialed me into those conference calls.
18	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Did you talk to anybody outside the executive branch?
19	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Yeah.
20	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> And who did you speak to
21	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Oh, I'm sorry. Oh, I'm sorry. Outside the executive branch.
22	thought you meant outside the White House.
23	During the course of the day, I can't remember. I'm sure I would one thing
24	that would be helpful, I don't have my call logs. I haven't seen my call logs. And if you
25	have them and I could look at them then I could tell you.

1	Did I talk to people on the Hill at some point during the course of the day?
2	probably did. But I don't recall specific conversations as I sit here. But I'm sure it's
3	all if we just look at the call logs of my office or my phone or whatever, my White House
4	cell phone, we would know that.
5	Ms. Cheney. Pat, did you use text messaging on your official phone?
6	Mr. Cipollone. I didn't have that activated on my phone. I'm not a big texter.
7	But I'm sure people from the Hill were communicating with me. I think I saw reports
8	that Lindsay Graham said he talked to me at some point, Senator Graham. That seems
9	right to me. I can't remember who else I talked to on the Hill.
10	Ms. Cheney. And what about outside government?
11	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I mean, people everybody was calling. People were trying to
12	call. I was kind of I kind of knew what people would have to say, and I was just sort of
13	focused on doing what I could do inside the White House.
14	I remember Chris Christie called my office, but I didn't have the time to talk to him
15	at that point. I can't remember others outside of government.
16	I remember now seeing a lot of the texts that you have with various people
17	outside of government, including people who are media people making comments and
18	texts and things like that. Did I get a text like that? I don't know. Maybe I did.
19	I don't know if I was aware at the time that all of that was going on, but it didn't
20	surprise me people were calling in and texting.
21	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Did you talk to and we've seen the texts. We've seen texts from
22	Sean Hannity to Mark Meadows, for example. Did you hear from Sean that day?
23	Mr. Cipollone. I don't recall hearing from Sean that day. I might have heard
24	from Laura. I actually saw her I saw her in the morning because she was dropping her

kids off at school, and I was at home with Becky, my wife, and she had stopped by to have

coffee with Becky. So I saw her when I got back from church is my recollection. 1 2 And who else called me that day? I mean, obviously, as time -- as the Capitol was secured, I probably got additional calls at that point from people, and I had the time 3 4 to take them. But, again, no one comes immediately to mind as someone who called 5 me. I talked to Jeff Rosen a lot. I talked to -- I mean, a lot. I talked to him -- I 6 7 checked in with him. Probably talked to Rich Donoghue. I may have talked to Chris 8 Miller. I was talking to him throughout the day. General Milley, I talked to a couple 9 times. Again, as part of conference calls and so. 10 Ms. Cheney. Did you talk to Sean Hannity in the days leading up to the 6th? 11 Mr. Cipollone. I may have. I may have. Ms. Cheney. One of the texts that you may have seen is from Sean to Mark on 12 January 5th where he says, "Pence pressure, White House counsel will leave." 13 Mr. Cipollone. Yeah. I may have talked to -- I may have. I don't remember if I 14 talked directly to Sean. I probably talked during that time with Jay Sekulow. Jay had 15 functioned -- you know, had been the President's outside lawyer, and Jay and I were 16 involved in trying the first impeachment case together. I knew him before that. I've 17 worked with him. I have a lot of respect for Jay. And so I'm sure I talked to him. 18 Probably talked to -- in the days leading up to it in terms of -- I would check in with 19 20 Bill Barr. Again, I'm just naming names of people who I would regularly talk to. I don't have the chronology of when I talked to them. 21 22 Ms. Cheney. No. I appreciate that. I guess I'm specifically wondering about discussions you might have had with Sean Hannity, with Jay Sekulow, with Laura 23 Ingraham on this issue of the plans for the 6th might be causing you to leave. 24 Mr. Cipollone. Well, again, are you asking me about whether I considered

1	leaving prior to the 6th?
2	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Yes.
3	Mr. Cipollone. Okay. So I'll give you my best recollection of that and my
4	thinking at the time.
5	First of all, I'm not a resigning type of person, okay? And I certainly don't I kind
6	of hang in, and I don't I don't threaten, go around threatening to resign. I might
7	inform people that if certain things would come to pass that I don't know I don't know if
8	I could stay. I think there might have been a couple instances of that.
9	I think it's fair to say that after Bill left and I said earlier that my plan had been to
10	stay on to sort of leave when Bill left under the circumstances, and having
11	conversations with Bill and others, I decided to stay on.
12	And then just various things that had occurred, I did consider leaving. Was I
13	considering leaving in during that week? Yes. I talked to Meadows about it.
14	But, again, I didn't have a lot of conversations about it. I talked to others. I got
15	advice from people.
16	I had a couple of concerns generally with respect to my doing that. First of all, I
17	could never ask people who worked for me if I'm leaving over a particular situation that I
18	feel like I need to leave over, I'm not the kind of person that would leave and then the
19	people, the good people working with me, no, expect them to stay.
20	So my assumption and my understanding, particularly among the deputies and
21	certainly among the younger lawyers, I wouldn't feel right about that, that if I had done
22	that at particular times, my expectation would be that a number of people in the
23	counsel's office would have gone with me.
24	So there was some of that before January 6th related to various things and the

culmination of various things. I didn't. I stayed for January 6th, obviously.

1	And then after that some people were resigning, obviously, over January 6th.
2	We know who they were. Did I consider it? Yes. Did I do it? No. And the reason
3	that I didn't do it was people contacted me and others too people were concerned
4	about people resigning, and informed me or informed people informed me through
5	other people that I should stay.
6	And, again, I'm just one person. So I'm not trying to self-aggrandize or anything
7	like that. A lot of people were getting these types of messages. Robert O'Brien, who
8	was in a similar circumstance and I think receiving similar messages.
9	And I didn't think it would serve the President for me to leave. One thing I was
10	concerned about is if people in the counsel's office left, who would replace me? And I
11	had some concerns that it might be somebody who had been giving bad advice and might
12	continue to give bad advice.
13	But I sort of didn't think about it that much after that. I may have had
14	conversations with some people on the Hill about it, some people in the Cabinet. But I
15	was more focused on the day of the 7th, there was the other speech that the President
16	gave.
17	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Pat, but let me just stop you before we go beyond the 6th.
18	When you're talking about the period before the 6th, what are the things that
19	you're referencing that made you consider resigning?
20	Mr. Cipollone. Well, I didn't like what was going on with the Vice President and
21	the way that was working. You know, again, I told you, I didn't like the continued the
22	event and the continued notion that we weren't at a point at that point that we were
23	going to have a transition of power. You know, there were probably other things.

But ultimately I didn't -- I ultimately concluded that I was going to stay. But I

don't want to give the impression that it was an ongoing -- there was a time during that

24

1	week, and, frankly, in the weeks earlier, that the thought occurred to me. Didn't talk to
2	a lot of about it, just people who I would typically get advice about or from. But I did
3	my job, and that's what I was more focused on during those days.
4	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
5	Ms. Murphy, I see your hand's raised.
6	Mrs. Murphy. Yes. Thank you.
7	I just wanted to take you back. You said that on the 6th you were trying to do
8	everything you could to get a response, and you included in that you were trying to get a
9	statement to be made.
10	Would you also include in there that you were trying to get responders to respond
11	to the Capitol, like DOD and DHS, law enforcement?
12	Mr. Cipollone. Well, let me put it to you this way. They were responding. It's
13	not that I have to tell them to respond. I just wanted to make sure that I got on these
14	calls, they asked us to get on these calls, and I wanted to make sure that people
15	understood that our view was get every available Federal resource up there.
16	I think that was already underway. Again, the people who actually do those
17	things were doing them. That was being run by that group of people. So I don't want
18	to suggest that anything other than I just added my voice to that. I think it was
19	underway.
20	I think there were some issues with, you know, issues around the National Guard
21	as well that came up that day.
22	So, again, there were others involved. Certainly others mainly outside the White
23	House that were doing everything they can do in my view, acting very bravely, the first
24	responders, the Capital Police, all of those people

I just was asked to get on phone calls, I wanted to get on phone calls when people

- were asking. 1 Mrs. Murphy. So when you say "our view," who are you representing when you 2 3 say "our"? The White House counsel? Your view as an individual? Or the White House's view? Or the President's view? 4 5 Mr. Cipollone. I think all of the above in my mind. I mean, that's all the -- yeah. My personal view, certainly the view of -- but they don't -- they act on their own, 6 7 obviously, and they were acting. 8 Mrs. Murphy. Actually, DOD doesn't deploy --9 Mr. Cipollone. Well, yeah, if you're talking about -- but I'm talking about, sort of, Federal resources. I just wanted to do what I could do. And there are different Federal 10 11 resources. I think they had FBI, I think they had some Homeland, and just making sure that we --12 13 Mrs. Murphy. So you just represented to us that you considered "our view" to be inclusive of the President's view that DOD assets and DHS assets be sent to the Capitol 14 to defend it? 15 Mr. Cipollone. That was my -- again, let me put it to you this way. My 16 understanding, and particularly with communications with Mark and my own 17 communications, that I got on these calls and I communicated what I thought to be the 18 view of everyone in the White House. 19 20 Mrs. Murphy. So --Mr. Cipollone. There was a specific issue -- I'm sorry. 21 22 Mrs. Murphy. Well, just to be precise here, we don't deploy DOD assets
- 25 Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, again, it's not -- no. No. What I was --

24

assets --

domestically without -- well, you tell me, who is the authorizer for deploying DOD

1	Mrs. <u>Murphy.</u> [Inaudible.]
2	Mr. Cipollone. Pardon me?
3	Mrs. Murphy. Who has the authority to deploy DOD assets domestically
4	[inaudible]?
5	Mr. Cipollone. Again, I can give you my understanding of what happened that
6	day. I think there was there was there was some issue that I was called about,
7	about the National Guard and getting the National Guard. And I wasn't, obviously, the
8	one who was had been involved in that. But I remember getting a call.
9	I remember having a conversation with Meadows. He was I think he was
10	confused by any confusion that we would want DOD to do everything being with respect
11	to National Guard assets.
12	Again, there was there are various different scenarios for that, as you know, in
13	terms of the National Guard, other State National Guards, DOD.
14	But primarily what I was focused on at that time was just getting on calls with Pat
15	Philbin and talking about what we had as available Federal resources and what people
16	were doing, just for informational purpose to make sure we were communicating.
17	That's all.
18	Mrs. Murphy. So did you represent yourself on these calls as representing the
19	President's authority to deploy these assets?
20	Mr. Cipollone. I didn't. I didn't get into I didn't I got on the call. And in
21	terms of representing authority
22	Mrs. Murphy. Did you have indications from the President that he was
23	deputizing you or anybody else from the White House to have to exercise that
24	authority?

I'm just trying to figure whether or not when you say "our view," and you included

- 1 the President and the White House, whether you were actually representing the 2 President's authority to deploy DOD assets in defense of the Capitol. Mr. Cipollone. I think the answer to that is, I got specific direction because there 3 4 were some questions. Again, I wasn't -- and that's a DOD, and DOD I believe had the 5 authorities that they needed at that point. And, again, I remember there was a question raised about that. And I remember 6 7 asking. And I remember -- without revealing communications with the President -- I 8 remember Meadows being a little bit agitated that that there was still some 9 misunderstanding or confusion about whether or not they had that authority, I guess, that that authority had been granted. And so that was my understanding. 10 I think also there would --11 Ms. Cheney. I want to just ask a question here, Pat. 12 Are you aware whether or not the chief of staff to the White House is in the chain 13 of command? 14 Mr. Cipollone. Well, again, on that day, I took --15 Ms. Cheney. No. Is the chief of staff in the White House in the chain of 16 command? 17 Mr. Cipollone. I took the chief of staff acting on that day was giving me direction 18 from the President. 19 20 Ms. Cheney. Are you in the chain of command?
 - Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> So you're aware, I'm sure, that the chain of command for deployment of forces for the defense of the Capitol, for example, runs from the President of the United States to the Secretary of Defense?

Mr. Cipollone. I think -- I understand what my role is.

Mr. Cipollone. Yes.

21

22

23

24

1	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> So the chief of staff to the President is not in the chain of
2	command?
3	Mr. Cipollone. I understand that. But often the chief of staff would
4	communicate with DOD and on behalf of
5	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Not in the deployment of
6	Mr. <u>Cipollone</u> . I understand that.
7	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> So are you aware of any phone call by the President of the United
8	States to the Secretary of Defense that day?
9	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Not that I'm aware of, no.
LO	Ms. Cheney. Are you aware of any phone call by the President of United States
11	to the Attorney General of the United States that day?
L2	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> No.
L3	Ms. Cheney. Are you aware of any phone call by the President of the United
L4	States to the Secretary of Homeland Security that day?
L5	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I'm not aware of that, no.
L6	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
L7	Mrs. Murphy. That's all. I think Liz covered that.
L8	Thanks, Mrs. Murphy.
L9	Ms. Lofgren, did you have something?
20	Ms. Lofgren. Yeah. I want to circle back to your consideration of resignation.
21	You mentioned the circumstance of the Vice President as being part of that
22	consideration.
23	Could you give us any other things that caused you to consider resigning?
24	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Do you mind if we take a five-minute break now?
5	I could tell you one of the things that and I'm just trying to think about sort of

- 1 privilege issues here. But one of the things that I would consider in that regard would
- 2 be some pardons that were being proposed, some types of pardons that were being
- 3 proposed.
- 4 Ms. <u>Lofgren</u>. Can you be more specific as to those proposals?
- 5 Mr. <u>Cipollone</u>. How about we take a, if you don't mind, take a five-minute break.
- 6 I can consult with my counsel. We can talk about how much I can say in that regard.
- 7 Ms. Lofgren. Okay.
- 8 We'll take a five-minute break.
- 9 [Recess.]

1	
2	[3:17 p.m.]
3	All right. Let's go back on the record.
4	Mrs. Luria, I see your hand is raised. Did you have or, I'm sorry, when we left
5	off, did I don't know, Ms. Lofgren, if you had more to go?
6	Ms. Lofgren. Well, you know, I guess the question is, what are the pardons that
7	specifically were troubling? And then we'll see if there's a followup question.
8	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Okay.
9	So, publicly, it had been reported that a number of different types of pardons
10	were being considered, including pardons and, again, without revealing
11	privilege pardons relating to you know, broad pardons for people involved in
12	January 6th, pardons for Members of Congress, et cetera, pardons there was a public
13	report of self-pardon for the President.
14	And these things had some of these things, you know, were discussed before
15	and then also after, but primarily after January 6th. Pardons had been an ongoing
16	process, you know, toward the end of the administration, pardons and commutations.
17	And so, to the extent that any of that type of thing would've happened, that
18	would be something that I would not be around for. Not that there's not, you know it
19	wasn't about an analysis of technical legal authority. The pardon power is broad. But
20	that was not something that I felt was a good idea, for lots of reasons. And none of that
21	happened, at the end of the day.
22	Ms. Lofgren. Understanding that none of that happened, what was the basis of
23	your view that it would be a mistake?
24	Mr. Cipollone. Well, I mean, the basis of my view you know, there were

numerous, sort of, legal and prudential reasons, you know, that would've gone into that,

1	and it would reflect communications with the President, but I didn't think any of that
2	should be done.
3	Ms. Lofgren. Would it be your view I'm not asking what you told the President
4	but would it be your view that pardons offered to individuals in advance of the
5	January 6th events could be an inducement to misconduct?
6	Mr. Cipollone. I don't I don't have a view on that. I don't have a view on that
7	Do you have a particular I'm wondering if you were thinking of a particular
8	instance of such a thing. I'm not aware of such a thing.
9	Ms. Lofgren. I'm just referencing public statements made and the reliance on
10	those statements.
11	So, at this point, I would yield back,
12	Thanks, Ms. Lofgren.
13	All right, Mrs. Luria, your hand is up.
14	Mrs. Luria, are you there?
15	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Yes. I think my video just came up.
16	So I wanted to go back to my previous question about the review of the remarks
17	that were given on January 6th and then more broadly about the role of the White House
18	Counsel's Office.
19	So, you know, can you confirm that I'm correct in saying that the White House
20	Counsel is not the President's personal lawyer? Is that correct?
21	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Correct.
22	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> So you have a role to the institution of the Presidency.
23	And can you describe, you know, broadly how you view your role in essentially
24	ensuring that statements the President makes are not just complete lies?
25	You know, it's been stated over and over again in testimony from multiple people

1	who worked with you in the White House that the President had been told over and over
2	again that these allegations of election fraud were incorrect, they had no basis. It had
3	been through court, and 60 of 61 cases had shown that they had no merit. Yet he was
4	planning to give remarks on January 6th to the American people that again stated things
5	that were known to be false. And your reaction to that was to have that noted and
6	documented.
7	And then I have a question for Do we have a record of that? Is
8	that something the committee has, this document showing opposition to the content of
9	those remarks?
10	So I'll go to Mr. Cipollone first, and then if could follow up on that.
11	Mr. Cipollone. Yeah. Again, with respect to those particular remarks and
12	remarks like that and there's a difference in the process with official remarks, you

What happened with that in particular is, those remarks are typically circulated by the staff secretary, okay? And I am not typically, as I said, personally involved in reviewing remarks. You know, there are lots of lawyers in the White House who do that. Lawyers in agencies do that.

know, policy remarks and things like that and then remarks that, let's say, are campaign

or political remarks.

But with respect to this particular set of remarks, I found out that these remarks had been sent through the staff secretary I believe on the day of January 6th. Pat Philbin told me that we had gotten those remarks. And I think it was, you know -- I don't remember the -- and, again, maybe they had been circulated the night before. I don't know that. I remember learning about the circulation the day of, and I remember it being relatively close in time to the event.

And, you know, Pat Philbin -- and, again, you have, I know, discussed that with Pat

Philbin, who did the review. I've told you already what I did. 1 2 Yeah. And, Mrs. Luria, I'm happy to share with you more about documents when we get another opportunity. I just want to make sure you get a 3 4 chance, in the limited time we have, to ask any more questions of Mr. Cipollone. 5 Mrs. Luria. Well, thank you. So, I mean, we know publicly that this is not the only time, around this time of 6 7 January 6th or even after that, while he was still the sitting President, it's not the only 8 time that the President made these false allegations publicly through official remarks. 9 Was it your common practice that every time you were made aware of these 10 remarks in advance or even after the fact that you somehow documented and recorded 11 any comments, opinions --Mr. Cipollone. Again, it wasn't --12 Mrs. Luria. -- on the factual basis of those? 13 Mr. <u>Cipollone</u>. -- it wasn't my common practice to review remarks in detail. 14 That was done by other lawyers on the staff. 15 Mrs. Luria. But they worked for you in your office --16 Mr. Cipollone. Yes. 17 Mrs. Luria. -- the White House Counsel's Office. 18 Mr. Cipollone. Yes. Yeah. I --19 20 Mrs. Luria. And did you give them guidance to do that? Mr. Cipollone. Well, it's the normal process of things that's established in the 21 22 White House. It was throughout not only my tenure; that's a standard, in my understanding, standard practice. 23

Mrs. Luria. So, when a lawyer who worked for you in the White House Counsel's

Office objected to something, was there a process that would elevate it up, perhaps

24

- totally to you, if it was, you know, something that was a statement being made by the
- 2 President that had an objection? It would seem like that would need to be within your
- 3 visibility.
- 4 Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Yeah. Sometimes that would get elevated, or sometimes there's
- a policy dispute, or sometimes there was an issue, and that would get elevated through
- 6 the staff secretary process.
- 7 Mrs. Luria. And when --
- 8 Mr. Cipollone. This --
- 9 Mrs. Luria. -- these things --
- 10 Mr. Cipollone. I'm sorry.
- 11 Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> -- were known to be lies, the President was saying things that were
- not factual, what did you do about that?
- 13 Mr. Cipollone. Again, I've testified throughout today about what I was doing in
- terms of these issues, okay? In terms of reviewing campaign speeches or outside
- speeches, that was a process that was different for campaign speeches. As I said, my
- observation was, the President believed these things. But I think everyone understood
- 17 my view as to these things.
- 18 Mrs. Luria. So maybe I didn't hear all of the remarks you made today, but this is
- the first time I recall you saying that the President believed these things. So you're
- saying, in your assessment, the President believed that he had won the election?
- 21 Mr. Cipollone. In my assessment, again -- and I spoke about that in the context
- of the Jeff Clark meeting -- that he believed, at least based on -- again, I can't get inside
- his head, but based on my observation, I think he believed these allegations of fraud.
- 24 And he believed that -- yes, I mean, I think he -- again, without testifying as to what his
- actual belief is, because I'm just reporting my observation in that meeting.

1	Ms.	<u>Cheney.</u> So, just to be clear there, Pat, so you're saying he acted as if he
2	believed th	ose things.
3	Mr.	<u>Cipollone.</u> Thank you. Exactly.
4	Ms.	Cheney. Thank you.
5	Mrs	. <u>Luria.</u> Thank you.
6	l yie	eld back.
7		All right. Anything else before we resume?
8	Mr.	Raskin, your hand is up. Go ahead.
9	Mr.	Raskin, we can't hear you. You might be on mute.
10	l'm	sorry, unable to hear you.
11	Yea	h, I'm sorry, Mr. Raskin, I can see you talking, but I can't hear you. Maybe if
12	you want to	o jump off and jump back on again.
13	In ti	ne meantime, we'll unless there's anything else, we'll resume.
14		
15	Q	All right, Mr. Cipollone, I don't want to spend a lot more time on the
16	afternoon o	of the 6th, but I did have a couple more questions.
17	Wh	en you were in the dining room where the television was there a television
18	on?	
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Was the television live, or was it on a delay, or do you know?
21	Α	I didn't know.
22	Q	When you were in the dining room, in these discussions, was the violence at
23	the Capitol	visible on the screen, on the television?
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	All right.

1	Do you remen	nber any discussion at any point during the day about rioters at the
2	Capitol chanting "han	g Mike Pence"?
3	A Yes. Ir	emember I remember hearing that about that. Yes.
4	Q Yeah.	And
5	A I don't kı	now if I observed that myself on TV. I don't remember.
6	Q l'm just o	curious, I understand the privilege line you've drawn, but do you
7	remember what you	can share with us about the discussion about those chants, the
8	"hang Mike Pence" ch	nants?
9	A I could to	ell you my view of that.
10	Q Yeah. I	Please.
11	A My view	of that is that is outrageous.
12	Q Uh-huh.	
13	A And for a	anyone to suggest such a thing as the Vice President of the United
14	States, for people in t	hat crowd to be chanting that I thought was terrible. I thought it
15	was outrageous and v	wrong. And I expressed that very clearly to people.
16	Q Did anyo	one with whom you spoke that afternoon express a contrary view?
17	A Not that	I can recall "a contrary view"? again, without getting into
18	privilege issues.	
19	Q Well, is t	here anybody who expressed a contrary view that you can't share
20	with us because of a p	privilege objection?
21	A Again, th	nat would reveal privilege. I don't have a recollection, a clear
22	recollection, of contra	ary views on that, personally.
23	Q Okay.	
24	The New York	Times reported that you hammered at Mr. Trump to understand
25	that he had potential	legal exposure for what was taking place. Do you remember

1	discussion about the possible legal exposure as to what was taking place at the Capitol?
2	Mr. <u>Purpura</u> . That would be privileged, if he's talking to the President.
3	ву
4	Q Again, I'm just asking whether or not you had concerns yourself,
5	Mr. Cipollone, about legal exposure.
6	A Again, in my own mind, looking back on it, I was more I was mainly
7	concerned about doing everything I can do to stop the situation. You know, assessing
8	legal it was more, sort of, what can I do as part of the overall team to make sure I was
9	doing what I could do in my role to stop what was going on.
10	Q Okay. So you don't remember, specifically during the afternoon, forming
11	any view about legal exposure.
12	A That's not what I was focused on at that moment in the afternoon.
13	Q I see.
14	You mentioned Ivanka Trump being involved. Did she have any unique role or
15	unique ability to, sort of, get through to the President or a relationship with him as a
16	family member that, sort of, was valuable or you thought was useful over the course of
17	that day?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Tell me more
20	A I mean, not just the course of that day; throughout, you know, the time in
21	the administration. Ivanka is very effective, very intelligent. She's the President's
22	daughter.
23	Q Yeah.
24	A Obviously, I think he really respects and considers her opinion. And I think
25	he, you know, consistently would take advice from Ivanka.

- 1 Q Uh-huh.
- A And I viewed her as a -- I was very proud to be working with her. I think
- 3 she worked effectively.
- 4 And, in particular, on that day, as I said earlier, Mark Meadows wanted to, you
- 5 know, make sure that Ivanka was involved in the situation. And she was, and she was
- 6 very effective.
- 7 Q Yeah. You're anticipating my question. Because of that special
- 8 relationship, was she, sort of, elicited or brought in to these discussions because she had
- 9 the unique ability to get through to her father?
- 10 A That's my belief, yes.
- 11 Q All right. And she shared your view that more needed to be said, that we
- should tell the rioters to go home? That was your perception?
- 13 A Without revealing privilege, that's my recollection of how she -- it was her
- 14 clear view. But you've spoken to her, so --
- 15 Q Yeah.
- 16 A -- I'm sure she's expressed her views to you.
- 17 Q All right.
- 18 Ms. Cheney asked you some questions about the President not calling various
- 19 people. Was there any discussion about the President reaching out to the Vice
- 20 President that afternoon?
- 21 A I don't recall a discussion of -- I'm sure someone suggested that at some
- point, but I don't have a specific recollection of that.
- 23 Q Yeah. The President didn't call the Vice President that afternoon. Is that
- 24 right?
- 25 A Not that I'm aware of, no.

1	Q Yeah. Okay.
2	Ms. Cheney?
3	Ms. Cheney. Pat, are you aware that the Vice President gave an order to
4	Mr. Miller?
5	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Am I aware of that? I remember hearing about that, and I can't
6	remember when I became aware of that.
7	In terms of what I was talking about before, okay and I understand the chain of
8	command, and certainly clearly understand my role, as Counsel, I'm not in the chain of
9	command when Pat Philbin and I were being asked questions, you know, on some
10	issues related to the whole issue of the National Guard, we went, and Mark Meadows,
11	you know, made clear that the authority had been granted.
12	Now, the chief of staff, you know, saying that the President, you know I
13	understand who has the authority, but we were told that the authorities have already
14	been granted. The chief of staff, you know, while not formally being the chain of
15	command, as you well know, can communicate the directives of the President. And
16	what I was thinking is, we heard that from Mark, and then we can go back and relay that.
17	I believe they got that directly it sounds like, and it makes sense, that they got it
18	also directly from the Vice President that day.
19	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> They certainly got it from the Vice President that and I want it to
20	be clear, I was not suggesting that you had given an illegal order or that you had
21	stepped
22	Mr. Cipollone. Okay, yeah. I didn't think you were suggesting that, but I was
23	trying to understand exactly. I understand I'm not in the chain of command. All I was
24	trying to do was what I could do from my position and, you know, frankly, sometimes at

the request of people at DOD who are in the chain of command, what I could do to make

1	sure that there was clarity.
2	Ms. Cheney. Yeah. No, I understand that.
3	I think that it's just important, as we discussed earlier, to be clear that the
4	President of the United States is the one responsible for defending all three branches of
5	our government. And, as you confirmed before, as has every witness who's been asked
6	this question in front of the committee, the President of the United States made no
7	phone calls to any of the people who actually were in the chain of command that day to
8	deploy forces to the Capitol and issued no order that day or prior to that day to deploy
9	forces to defend the Capitol.
10	Mr. Cipollone. Well, again, on who he talked to that day, I'm going by what I
11	understand. You've gotten a lot of testimony from various people. I don't have any
12	reason to dispute that.
13	In terms of prior orders by the President, I think and I don't want to wade into
14	this myself at this point, but I understand that there may be a difference of opinion on
15	that, but I don't have an opinion on that, because I you know.
16	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> No, I well, as Secretary Miller has testified publicly, that he
17	received no such order from the President to deploy forces.
18	So I appreciate that. Thank you.
19	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Okay.
20	Thanks, Ms. Cheney.
21	Ms. Lofgren I'm sorry, Mr. Cipollone. Did you have something else you wanted
22	to add to that before
23	Mr. Cipollone. No. We can talk about it at some point. You know, there
24	obviously is the National Guard, and then there are forces. But we don't need to go into

the differences and all that.

1	Ms. Lofgren?
2	Ms. <u>Lofgren.</u> I was wondering what
3	Ms. Cheney. Sorry, Zoe, just to I don't mean to interrupt you, but I just want to
4	be clear: With respect to the National Guard, with respect to regular military forces,
5	there was no order given, and Secretary Miller has testified to that. So I don't want us
6	to try to create a situation about uncertainty here, because
7	Mr. Cipollone. I'm not trying to create any situation of uncertainty,
8	Representative Cheney.
9	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> I appreciate that.
10	Mr. Cipollone. And I don't want to characterize anybody else's testimony. I can
11	only
12	Ms. Cheney. No, I actually didn't think that you were. Thank you very much,
13	Pat.
14	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Okay.
15	Ms. Lofgren. Actually, that answered my question.
16	All right.
17	Mr. Raskin, are you back on? Did you have something?
18	Mr. Raskin. Can you hear me now?
19	Yes.
20	Mr. Raskin. Good.
21	Mr. Cipollone, you said that you don't like being threatened to resign, which I
22	appreciate, but you were seriously considering resigning because, you said, you didn't like
23	what was going on with the Vice President.
24	Can you be more specific about what it was that you didn't like about what was
25	taking place with the Vice President?

1	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Well, the first time, just to be clear, that I've testified and then
2	I'll answer your question about that issue, Representative Raskin number one, the
3	whole Jeff Clark scenario, that's something that I testified to, that if that had happened,
4	that would've caused me and lots of people to leave. That didn't happen.
5	Second, during, you know, the weeks, you know, leading up, you know, I didn't
6	didn't on that issue of the Vice President, you know, and the ultimate you know, the
7	ultimate resolution of that by the Vice President, in my view, was the correct one. And
8	so I just part of my thinking was, I assumed that would be the outcome, knowing the
9	Vice President, knowing his counsel. But during the course of that week, I did consider,
10	you know, whether it was time for me to move on. But that didn't happen.
11	Mr. Raskin. So, from my understanding
12	Mr. Cipollone. And then, after January 6th, after the violence, many people
13	were, I'm sure, considering such a step, and I chose not to, for the reasons I've discussed.
14	Mr. Raskin. In the pre-January-6th period, when you were forced to consider it,
15	want to be clear about why. Was that because of the pressure that was being brought
16	on the Vice President by people around the President?
17	Mr. Cipollone. Again, I wasn't forced to consider it. I was considering it, okay?
18	Number one.
19	Number two, there were a number of things in my mind, including things that had
20	built up with some of the events that we've discussed, and then, you know, again,
21	depending depending on, not so much pressure, but, you know, depending on outcome
22	in terms of that issue, that was weighing on my mind. But there were it wasn't one
23	particular thing, you know.
24	But during that week I definitely thought about it and talked to people, and it
25	didn't happen.

1 Mr. Raskin. Okay.

I had one other question. You said that you had expressed concern about Vice

President Pence. I think this was in connection with Mark Meadows, that you expressed

concern about Vice President Pence.

Mr. <u>Cipollone</u>. Well, again, I was -- as I think I said, I had concerns about everybody who was, you know, up at the Capitol at that point. I was obviously concerned about Vice President Pence. I don't have a recollection of spending a long period of time talking to various people. I think that was being -- that was obviously being handled by the Secret Service and by other people. But I just wanted to -- one thing on my mind -- and Pat Philbin, I think, also looked into it -- was that Vice President Pence, along with a lot of people, was okay.

Mr. Raskin. Gotcha.

And did you mention also specifically in passing Members of Congress or Capitol officers and their safety --

Mr. <u>Cipollone</u>. I don't remember my specific -- who I specifically referenced, but, obviously, you know, I was worried about what was going on inside the Capitol and the threat to people and the threat to law enforcement and some of the activity. And then, in particular, when I heard from Tony Ornato that someone had been shot, I would say that my concern became even more elevated.

So, yeah, I had a general -- obviously, you know, as a human being, I had a lot of concern about what was happening at the Capitol, as I told you, you know, and I felt very strongly that what happened on that day in terms of, you know, violence, you know, approaching and in the Capitol was wrong and it was a tragic thing and it was a tragedy. It's not who we are in this country; it doesn't reflect that. And I was feeling that in the strongest possible terms on that day and subsequent to that day.

1	Mr. Raskin. Thank you.
2	I yield back, and
3	Thanks, Mr. Raskin.
4	BY
5	Q I want to talk a little bit, Mr. Cipollone, about the 4:17, the video statement
6	that ultimately was put out. Tell us about your role, if any, in drafting the words that
7	were used in that.
8	A I don't I remember being there; I remember a group of people being there
9	I don't remember who the primary drafter was. I remember looking at it and giving
10	comments.
11	I remember, you know, Pat I believe Pat Philbin was also around, that other
12	people were. And Jared was there at that point, I believe.
13	And I don't remember the primary drafter, but I think I think, you know, I
14	must've taken a look at that at some point during that process.
15	Q Were the remarks that ultimately the President made on video from a
16	written draft, or were they more extemporaneous, or some combination of the two?
17	A I don't have both in front of me, but basically I remember that there were
18	some differences from the written draft and what was added in the remarks.
19	Q Yeah.
20	Mr. Nick Luna testified before the select committee. He said, "Mr. Cipollone was
21	part of the discussion to set up a statement. It was my understanding at the time he
22	was interested in having a forceful statement that put an end to the day's events."
23	Does that accurately summarize your perspective?
24	A That is true. And I would also add that Nick Luna is another person who,
25	you know, is a, you know, very was a very, very positive force on that day and generally

а

1	Q Yean.
2	Were you present when the statement in the Rose Garden was actually filmed?
3	A As my recollection was, I was inside the outer Oval, and I was present for,
4	yeah, the I wasn't outside, is my recollection, but I was in the outer Oval with some
5	other people in that office there. And, you know, I saw some of the filming.
6	Q All right. So you were involved in discussions about what it should be, bu
7	didn't go outside with the President and others to film the statement?
8	A No. I mean, that wouldn't be but I could see through the windows
9	Q Yeah.
10	A outside of the
11	Q I see.
12	So I won't read the whole statement to you, but at 4:17 the President does issue
13	videotaped statement where he talks about, "I know your pain. I know you're hurt.
14	We had an election that was stolen from us. Go home. We love you. You're very
15	special. I know how you feel, but go home. Go home in peace."
16	What was your reaction to that video statement?
17	A Well, my reaction was, he did tell people to go home and to go home in
18	peace. You know, some of the extemporaneous comments I don't remember having a
19	strong reaction to. I was happy that something was said at that point in time. You
20	know, some of those extemporaneous remarks didn't reflect what I thought, obviously,
21	given what I've testified to.

A I remember having a reaction that it was a good thing that that was done at

won't go over them again -- didn't go far enough. Did you have a similar reaction to the

22

23

24

25

Q

video statement that he made at 4:17?

You testified earlier that you thought some of the earlier tweets -- and I

1	that point in time. And I think subsequent statements were made the next day that I
2	had a role in
3	Q Yeah.
4	A that I think went farther in terms of an express condemnation of the
5	violence, et cetera. But, again, I don't want to get into my thinking and how I provided
6	that thinking to the President.
7	Q And I understand that, and I'll get to the January 7th speech. I'm just
8	wondering again, on a gut level, when you heard the 4:17 statement finally telling people
9	to go home but with all of that other language, did you think it was positive or not?
LO	Constructive? Destructive? What was your personal, not communications with the
l1	President; your personal opinion?
L2	A Well, my personal opinion was it was constructive in that it told people to go
L3	home, is my recollection of it. But, you know, referencing some of the other things at
L4	that point in time I didn't view as a constructive thing, but
L5	Q I understand.
L6	At 6:01 p.m., almost 2 hours after that, there's another tweet that the President
L7	issues. Similarly, "These are the things and events that happen when a sacred landslide
L8	election victory is so unceremoniously & viciously stripped away from great patriots who
L9	have been badly & unfairly treated for so long. Go home with love & in peace.
20	Remember this day forever!"
21	Did you have any involvement in crafting or issuing that message at 6:01 p.m.?
22	A I don't believe I did. I don't have I don't have a recollection of being
23	involved in crafting that, no.
24	Q Did you have a reaction to it when it was issued?

I'm trying to remember my reaction when I learned about that. Again, I'm

1	sure my reaction was consistent with my beliefs about what was stated in there. So I
2	don't I'm sure I had a negative personal reaction to that.
3	But, again, as I said, based on my observations of the President's actions, that that
4	was something those sentiments about the election was something that he believed
5	and felt strongly about.
6	Q Sure. I guess my question is: In your view, Mr. Cipollone, was it
7	constructive or helpful for the President, even after the violence of the afternoon of
8	January 6th, to talk about a sacred landslide election victory being unceremoniously
9	stripped away from great patriots?
10	A No. I don't think that was helpful.
11	Q You had a conversation with the President that evening
12	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Hey, before you go to that, I wanted to talk more about the
13	tweet and also just, again, to confirm Mr. Cipollone, I think you just said that the
14	President believed it, after you told us you couldn't get into his mind.
15	Mr. Cipollone. I think what I tried to say and maybe they can read it back.
16	said, based on my observations of his actions, you know, my conclusion at the time was
17	that he seemed to believe that.
18	I don't know how I don't know the best way to say that, but you said it earlier,
19	you know, in a way that I think was acceptable. And I don't need to keep saying that. I
20	think you understand what I thought was, based on my observation.
21	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Okay.
22	And I just on that tweet, the first sentence of it is, "These are the things and
23	events that happen." Do you agree that this was justified?
24	Mr. Cipollone. I'm sorry, what was justified?
25	Ms. Cheney. The attack of January 6th.

1	Mr. <u>Cipolione.</u> Of course not. I thought it was a terrible thing. It's not
2	justified. It can't be justified. It's wrong.
3	Ms. Cheney. I appreciate that.
4	Mr. Cipollone. It's a national tragedy. There's no and, again, without
5	characterizing your view of that statement and whether it does that, my view is, no,
6	that what happened at the Capitol cannot be justified in any form or fashion. It was
7	wrong, and it was tragic. And a lot and it was a terrible day. It was a terrible day for
8	this country.
9	Ms. Cheney. No, I appreciate that. And, again, it wasn't my characterization.
10	I was reading the President's words, that these are the things and events that happen
11	when a sacred landslide victory is stripped away.
12	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Right.
13	Ms. Cheney. I appreciate your views on that.
14	Mr. Cipollone. And I think I've been clear, that's not my view in any sense.
15	Ms. Cheney. Thank you.
16	BY
17	Q And just to go back to something Ms. Cheney said earlier, I think you
18	indicated that the President acted as if he believed these it was your observation, based
19	on the way he acted, that he believed
20	A Yeah. And I think I also said earlier that my sense, sort of, over time as
21	things, you know my observation is that that continued to solidify. In other words, I
22	think and without going into my conversations, you know, looking at things early on
23	and, you know, over time, it seemed to be getting more solidified in terms of at least, you
24	know, what he was saying about it and how he was
25	Q How he was acting and what he was saying.

Α Correct. 1 All right. 2 Q 3 You had a call with him that evening. I believe the phone records reflect that from 7:01 p.m. to 7:07 --4 Ms. Cheney. I just want to -- I'm sorry. One more time. I just want to be clear 5 for the record, and Pat: Mr. Cipollone, you're not saying to us, I mean, that you're 6 7 getting into the President's head. 8 Mr. Cipollone. Absolutely not. 9 Ms. Cheney. Is that correct? 10 Mr. Cipollone. No, I cannot. No. No, I'm not saying that, nor could anyone say that about anyone. 11 12 Ms. Cheney. Thank you. I appreciate that. BY 13 14 Q Okay. Again, Mr. Cipollone, 7:01 to 7:07 p.m., the phone records reflect that you had a call with the President. 15 Α I did. 16 Q Do you recall the subject matter of that call? 17 Α Yes. 18 All right. And are you able to share with us anything about the call? 19 Q 20 Mr. Purpura. No. It's privileged. BY 21 22 I understand you can't share the communication, but even what prompted you to reach out, what the subject matter was? 23 Here's what I can say. 24 Α

25

Q

Please.

1	A And if I tread on the privilege, make sure, Mike	
2	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> Okay.	
3	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> And I can't remember the exact time, but that the Capitol was	
4	secured again, and there was a period of time before everything went back into session.	
5	And I don't know where that phone call	
6	BY	
7	Q Yeah. It was before the joint session resumed?	
8	A It was, yeah.	
9	And I remember and I don't want to get into the detail of this, but I remember	
LO	going to Mark Meadows and, again, this would reflect the communication that I	
l1	ultimately gave to the President in that call.	
L2	But I remember going to Mark and saying, I think that someone should tell the	
L3	President to take a certain action with respect to what was going to happen in the joint	
L4	session. And he said, if you think that, you know, then go right ahead and do that.	
L5	Q Uh-huh.	
L6	A And I remember I believe he had already gone up at a certain point in	
L7	time, so I couldn't go	
L8	Q Up to the residence?	
L9	A Up to the residence. And I called the White House operator at some point	
20	As I recall, I didn't get him. And then he called me back, I think. And I think that's as	; I
21	looked at the White House call	
22	Q Yeah.	
23	A he called me back, because I had a call pending. And I expressed what I	
24	needed to express.	

And that, I think, is --

1	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> That's as far as you can go.
2	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> what I can say about that call.
3	BY
4	Q And I appreciate it. Was the timing of that conversation, before the joint
5	session resumed, important and it had to do with something with respect to the joint
6	session and what he should or shouldn't do?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay.
9	All right. Let me turn yeah, go ahead,
10	Did what you recommended, whatever it was and I understand
11	that you're not telling us that today did it come to pass?
12	Mr. Cipollone. Again, I think questions like that would reveal
13	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> The discussion.
14	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> the discussion. So I appreciate the question. I think I've said
15	what I can say at this point about that.
16	BY
17	Q All right. I want to move now to what you just referenced a bit ago about
18	the January 7th remarks that did go a bit further.
19	Did you have any role in preparing remarks
20	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Hey,
21	Yeah, Ms. Cheney. Go ahead.
22	Ms. Cheney. Sorry. One more thing before we get to January 7th.
23	Pat, were you aware that even subsequent to that call that you made to the
24	President, Rudy Giuliani was calling Senators and urging them to continue to delay the
25	count?

1	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I don't know what Rudy Giuliani was doing on that day. Did		
2	someone tell me that was happening? It's possible. I don't have a recollection of that		
3	"After that," you mean after the Capitol was		
4	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> After the violence, yeah.		
5	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I actually may I may have heard something about that, but		
6	nothing immediately comes to mind.		
7	Ms. Cheney. Did that inform your determination to talk with the President that		
8	night?		
9	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I don't think that was the reason I wanted to talk to the President.		
10	It was just my own determination that I wanted to talk to him about, in my view again,		
11	because I felt like I owed him my best advice, that I wanted to give him that. And I did.		
12	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.		
13	BY		
14	Q All right. Let's talk about January 7th.		
15	Were you involved in encouraging the President to give remarks on national		
16	healing on January 7th?		
17	A Many people were involved. I was one of them, yes.		
18	Q All right. Who took the first draft or the first crack at drafting what the		
19	President should say that day?		
20	A Well, I remember I don't know who took the first craft at drafting the		
21	larger speech that he did. I remember that both Pat Philbin and I felt that, you know,		
22	something more should be done. And I remember Pat had drafted kind of a brief		
23	statement, and I looked at it, and I said, yeah, this is generally, this is fine		
24	Q Yeah.		
25	A this is good, I agree with this.		

1	Q In your view, Mr. Cipollone, that morning, what were the general message:
2	that you thought it was important you thought was important for the President to
3	convey to the Nation? What were the themes he needed to hit?
4	A Again, in my view well
5	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> You can
6	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I can talk about my view?
7	ВУ
8	Q Yeah.
9	A In my view, he needed to continue to condemn in forceful terms what
10	happened at the Capitol.
11	In my view, he needed to express very clearly that the people who committed
12	violent acts and went into the Capitol and did what they did should be prosecuted and
13	should be arrested.
14	And, number three, I think he needed to convey, in my view and, again, these
15	were just my views, how I felt
16	Q Yeah.
17	A they did not reflect, the people who did that, anything about the
18	movement that had brought President Trump into office, that those are things that did
19	not reflect on who we are as Americans. And that needed to be stated forcefully
20	Q Okay.
21	A that they did not represent him or his political views in any form or
22	fashion.
23	And then I think he needed to express that we're moving on with a transition at
24	this point and
25	Q Uh-huh.

1 Α So those are some of the themes that, you know -- I think some of that was reflected in what Pat Philbin wrote. 2 0 Yeah. 3 So that's --Yeah. I understand. 5 Q Let's turn to exhibit 23. This is an actual draft of the "Remarks on National 6 7 Healing." And this was something that was, you know, obviously provided in advance. 8 And, maybe you could call it up so that the members can take a look. 9 So it's just a one-page --This is not the one that -- this is not -- I don't think this is the one that Philbin 10 initially drafted, is it? I don't think it is. 11 Well, let me just ask you first if --12 No, there's a shorter version that -- okay. I'm sorry. Go ahead. You ask 13 Α 14 the questions. Q Do you recognize this document, this "Remarks on National Healing"? 15 it may not have been the initial draft that Philbin did, but it was a draft? 16 Α I can see that this document is a draft of the "Remarks on National Healing." 17 Q All right. And do you recognize the handwriting on the page? 18 Α It appears to be the President's handwriting. 19 20 Q Black Sharpie, black letters --Α But --21 22 Q -- that typically --Α -- again, I'm not here to identify handwriting. 23 All right. Do you have any --24 Q

It may not be, but it -- you know. I don't know.

Α

1	Q	Do you have any insight into why the President crossed off or added any
2	particular la	anguage with respect to the draft remarks on national healing?
3	А	I don't have any insight into that.
4	Q	Do you remember any discussion about crossing out on directing the
5	"Departme	nt of Justice to ensure all lawbreakers are prosecuted to the fullest extent of
6	the law. V	We must send a clear message, not with mercy, but with justice"? That is
7	X'ed out an	d did not make it into the ultimate remarks.
8	Doy	ou remember any discussion about that message and whether it was or
9	wasn't appi	ropriate?
LO	Α	I'm sure there was a discussion about the types of remarks that should be
l1	made. I d	on't recall a specific discussion at this moment about, you know, these edits.
L2	But,	as I said to you, it was my view that was expressed directly to Jeff Rosen on
L3	that day l	pecause it was just my opinion, but it was a strong opinion, and Jeff's a
L4	friend tha	at the people who were involved in breaching the Capitol and committing acts
L5	of violence	should be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.
L6	Q	Yeah. I understand that was your view, and you expressed that before, but
L7	it's crossed	out of the speech and doesn't make it into the speech. Do you know why?
L8	Α	Do I know why?
L9	Q	Yeah.
20	А	Probably not in a way that would not implicate privilege.
21	Q	Okay.
22	Also	crossed off: "I want to be very clear, you don't represent me. You don't
23	represent c	our movement." That is crossed off.
24	Doy	you remember any discussion about that language and why the President did

not want it included?

1	A Oh, I'm again, I'm trying to recall, like I'm sure Stephen Miller was also
2	involved in drafting this. Maybe you can tell I mean, again, I'm not the person to go
3	through line by line of this speech and give you answers as to my speculation about wh
4	certain things weren't included.
5	Q And I appreciate that, Mr. Cipollone. I'm not looking for your
6	A Do you have a copy of the final version that I could look at?
7	Q I do not.
8	And I'm not looking for speculation. I'm just looking for your recollection as to
9	specific language, why it should or should not be included in the January 7th speech, to
10	the extent you can share with us those discussions.
11	A Yeah, I don't have a clear recollection of specifics, and particularly in a
12	manner that I think would I'm trying to remember if I spoke to other people outside o
13	the
14	Q Right.
15	A you know, so
16	Q Yeah.
17	A I don't I don't nothing comes immediately to mind on that issue.
18	Go ahead.
19	ВУ
20	Q Did you have conversations and just to elicit a privilege objection, if ther
21	is one did you have conversations with the President about these two topics in the
22	speech that you identified as important to you and that noted have been
23	struck from
24	A I think these
25	Q this draft?

1	A I'm sorry. I think these topics were important to a lot of people. So,
2	again, there were various other people and there were people inside the White House
3	who felt strongly about this, outside of the Counsel's Office. And so I'm sure those
4	conversations took place.
5	Mr. Purpura. You can't talk about conversations with the President.
6	BY
7	Q Yeah. The question, though, is, were there such conversations with the
8	President that you can't talk about?
9	A Again, I'm trying to have a clear recollection of the process for this.
10	Q Yeah.
11	A And was I around, you know, the President when he was thinking about this
12	and others were around? I may have been. You know, that wouldn't be outside of the
13	ordinary.
14	Q Uh-huh.
15	A And so that's my answer on that.
16	Q Okay.
17	We have developed information that this speech took multiple takes and that the
18	President had difficulty ultimately getting through this and creating a speech that could
19	be released. Is that consistent with your recollection?
20	A I was there when they did this in the East Wing. I think there were
21	multiple that sounds correct.
22	Q Yeah.
23	A I don't know if that was because of specific words or because sometimes,
24	you know, there are multiple takes of things like that, given, you know, how things are
25	said or you know.

- 1 Q Yeah. What was --
- A So I don't recall the specific reasons for the multiple takes. There were
- 3 multiple takes.
- 4 Q All right.
- In your observation, was this a difficult speech for him to make?
- 6 A In what sense, difficult? Like, obviously, it was a national tragedy --
- 7 Q Sure.
- 8 A and giving a speech in those times but I think it was a speech at that
- 9 time that he was willing to make and wanted to make and made.
- 10 Q Yeah, I understand. I'm just --
- 11 A Level of difficulty? Again, I don't have any observations on that --
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A -- that I can recall.
- 14 Q Did you believe it was important for him to make the speech as potentially
- cover for discussions that had started about the 25th Amendment or impeachment or any
- other possible consequence of --
- 17 A Again, that's not -- those were not the primary things in my mind at the time.
- 18 The primary thing was, this is the right thing to do --
- 19 Q Yeah.
- 20 A -- and it's important for the country, and it's important that his supporters
- 21 hear that from him. He had a lot of supporters, obviously, out there.
- 22 And so I thought -- that's how I was thinking about it. Thinking about it in that
- 23 way certainly was not my primary thing. It wasn't at the forefront of my mind. I just
- thought it was the right thing to do, to express that.
- 25 Q Were there discussions, though, as to the impact that this speech might have

on discussions of the 25th Amendment or other possible consequences of January 6th? 1 2 Α Not that I can recall. The next day, the time -- no, that's not -- again, if people are saying that, then --3 4 Q I'm just asking what you --5 Α Again, people may have different --O -- what you --6 7 Α I don't have a recollection of that. And, certainly, for Pat Philbin and 8 myself, I remember we just thought this needed to be said because it was the right thing 9 to say. 10 Ms. Cheney, go ahead. 11 Ms. Cheney. Pat, did you speak at all to Sean Hannity about this speech? Mr. Cipollone. I--I don't know. I don't -- I don't know. I--I-- I would 12 generally more speak to Jay Sekulow, so -- that's who I would speak to. And so I can't 13 14 remember if I spoke to Sean Hannity about this speech in particular. Ms. Cheney. Okay. We have a text between Sean and Kayleigh. 15 It's exhibit 29, Ms. Cheney. We'll call it up. 16 Ms. Cheney. Yes. January 7th. 17 It says: One, no more "stolen election" talk. Yes, impeachment and the 25th 18 Amendment are real and many people will quit. Three, he was intrigued by the pardon 19 20 idea, Hunter. Four, resistant but listened to Pence's thoughts to make it right. And, 21 five, seemed to like attending the inauguration talk. 22 So I'm wondering whether or not you had any discussions with Jay Sekulow, as you said, or potentially Sean Hannity that would reflect what he was apparently talking 23 with Kayleigh McEnany about. 24

Mr. Cipollone. My answer to that would be, I don't have a recollection that

somehow I talked to someone and then that resulted in this text. No. I think these 1 2 were ideas, my sense, that came from Sean Hannity. I don't -- if that happened, if I talked to somebody and said something and then -- I'm not aware of that. 3 4 Ms. Cheney. No, and I appreciate that. I was asking more, generally speaking, I 5 think that you can see that this text reflects that Sean's perspective was that potential for impeachment and for the 25th Amendment being invoked were real and also many 6 7 people will quit. 8 It said, Sean is also the source of a number of texts before this about how the 9 White House Counsel's Office will leave. And I wondered to what extent you may have 10 had conversations with him, whether they led to this text or not. 11 Mr. Cipollone. I mean, I know Sean Hannity. I respect Sean Hannity. I can't remember -- I had had conversations with him during my time in the White House. I 12 13 can't remember if I had some around this time, or maybe I had conversations with someone else who relayed to him what I was thinking. I don't know the answer to that. 14 15 Ms. Cheney. Okay. Do you recall discussions with anybody at FOX News about impeachment or the 16 25th Amendment? 17 Mr. Cipollone. Impeachment or the 25th Amendment, do I -- no, I don't recall. 18 Ms. Cheney. Thank you. 19 20 Mr. Cipollone. You mean once the idea was being publicly talked about? mean, I --21 22 Ms. Cheney. At any time between January 6th and January 20th. Mr. Cipollone. Okay, I'm -- I don't have a specific recollection of a conversation 23 24 like that. The only reason I'm hesitating is, obviously I said, you know, Laura Ingraham is

a friend of my family's and she's a friend of mine, and, you know, if I had a conversation

1	with I don't consider that in the context of FOX News. I consider that as the context
2	of, you know, Laura, a friend of my family's who sometimes comes over to dinner with
3	her kids.
4	But I don't remember me reaching out to personalities on FOX News and having
5	conversations with them. That wouldn't have been typical for me. I talked to
6	reporters a lot during the first impeachment, but but that wouldn't
7	Ms. Cheney. Yeah, no, and I understood that.
8	So do you recall a conversation with Laura Ingraham about either impeachment o
9	the 25th Amendment in this period?
10	Mr. Cipollone. Not specifically, no. And that's not something my general wa
11	of operating, as a lawyer and as a person, is to keep my confidences, to keep them very
12	closely. So, to the extent that I was thinking or hearing things that were part of my
13	work, I wouldn't typically share that. To the extent that things were in the news and
14	people would raise that, raise things, I would also be typically very circumspect in my
15	answers.
16	Ms. Cheney. Did you talk to anybody after the 20th about this time period with
17	respect to either impeachment or 25th Amendment?
18	Mr. Cipollone. You mean since the 20th to now?
19	Ms. Cheney. Yes, but if you want to limit it to the time period immediately after
20	the 20th, you could do that as well. How about we do: To reporters?
21	Mr. Cipollone. To reporters about the my general again, my general work
22	with reporters, you know, whenever I interacted with reporters, for the most part, it was
23	you know, authorized. I did not I was very, very careful about discussing things were
24	reporters, particularly, you know, in keeping my confidences and privileges.
25	Sometimes other people would talk about issues and things in this time period

1	and reporte	ers would reach out to me, but I didn't normally talk directly. Sometimes I
2	did, but only in the context of, you know, not revealing privilege but giving guidance if I	
3	thought sor	nething was they said you said this; did you do it, did you not? And I would
4	either give -	I would give guidance, typically, and say "I can't talk about that" or "doesn't
5	sound right	to me" kind of thing.
6	Ms.	Cheney. Do you remember which reporters?
7	Mr.	<u>Cipollone.</u> I don't a lot of reporters, you know, were reaching out. I'm
8	not in a position to go through that right now.	
9	Ms.	Cheney. Thanks.
10		BY
11	Q	Let me go back to the speech, Mr. Cipollone. Do you remember there
12	being any d	iscussion about whether the President in the "Remarks on National Healing"
13	should men	tion pardons for January-6th-related activity?
14	Α	I don't remember that, no.
15	Q	Do you remember pushing back against the notion that he would mention
16	pardons in t	the speech?
17	Α	I don't remember a discussion if there was one, I don't have a recollection
18	that there v	vould be pardons you mean related to January
19	Q	Yeah.
20	Α	mentioned in the speech?
21	Q	Yes.
22	Α	I don't have a recollection of that. That would be kind of against everything
23	that was be	ing said in the speech. But, again, this was a long time ago, so I've
24	expressed n	ny view

Q

Yeah.

Τ	A	to you clearly, without violating privilege, as to what my view as to such	
2	pardons would be.		
3	Q	You did. I appreciate that.	
4	l wan	t to ask you a little bit about discussions with members of the Cabinet in the	
5	wake of Janu	ary 6th, specifically Secretary Scalia, who I know is a close friend of yours.	
6	Do yo	ou recall any discussions with him about actions that the Cabinet would or	
7	should take l	between January 6th and January 20th to ensure the peaceful, orderly	
8	transition of	power?	
9	Α	Yes.	
LO	Q	Talk to us tell us about what the discussions were.	
l1	Α	Well, Gene Scalia is a longtime friend of mine and colleague, and I have a lot	
L2	of respect fo	r him. And he was another person in the Trump administration who was	
L3	extremely ta	lented and did great work.	
L4	Не са	alled me I don't remember exactly when, but it was after January 6th and	
L5	expressed a	number of things. The main thing he expressed was he thought there	
L6	should be a (Cabinet meeting.	
L7	Q	Uh-huh. For what purpose did he suggest a Cabinet meeting was	
L8	necessary?		
L9	Α	I think part of the purpose was so that people could express themselves and	
20	the Presiden	t could hear from the Cabinet in light of the events of January 6th.	
21	Q	Uh-huh.	
22	Α	I remember Gene ultimately felt like he wanted to write a memo about that.	
23	I think he did	that. I think he emailed that to Mark Meadows, is my understanding.	
24	can't remem	ber if he copied me on it or not. I don't know.	

Gene thought that there should be a Cabinet meeting. Probably others thought

1	that there should be a Cabinet meeting.	
2	Q	Did you have a view as to whether
3	Α	I thought there should be a Cabinet meeting, yeah.
4	Q	It didn't happen. Do you know why not?
5	Α	I don't know all the reasons why not. My sense was that Mark did not feel
6	like it would be productive at that point in time.	
7	Q	Do you know why Mark thought it would not be productive?
8	Α	I don't remember why. I think it probably had something to do with Mark's
9	view of hov	v the President might react in that meeting you know, things like that.
10	But	Gene, you know, got Mark's reactions to that directly. You've told me you
11	spoke with	him.
12	Q	We have.
13	Α	And that's my recollection of that.
14	Q	All right. Nothing else specifically about the Scalia idea of having a Cabinet
15	meeting, di	scussions with anyone about that?
16	Α	I probably had discussions with other people about that. Again, I
17	supported i	t.
18	Q	Yeah.
19	Α	I thought we should do that. So I probably mentioned it to other people or
20	talked abou	it it with other people.
21	Q	Do you recall, Mr. Cipollone, any discussions with General Milley or others
22	about the need to reassure world leaders about the stability of American democracy, the	
23	American G	overnment after January 6th?
24	Α	Between myself and General Milley?
25	Q	Well, first, yes, directly with General Milley.

1	Α	I remember being in communication with General Milley on occasion	
2	on you kr	ow. And I can't remember if I had a one-on-one call with him or he called	
3	me, but sometimes he did that.		
4	Q	Uh-huh.	
5	Α	And I don't remember this as a one-on-one call. But I remember hearing	
6	that that wa	as a sentiment	
7	Q	Yeah.	
8	Α	from General	
9	Q	Tell me more about that, what you heard about General Milley's or others'	
10	desire to re	assure world leaders.	
11	Α	I heard that that was his desire, that he thought that that was a good thing	
12	to do. Tha	at's what my recollection of it is.	
13	Q	Yeah. Did you share that view?	
14	Α	Well, again, my view was, particularly in light of events of January 6th, that	
15	we need to	move forward and, you know, have the transition of power. Anything that	
16	could be do	ne, you know, to aid that and to assure people that, you know, that was	
17	happening -	and I think this speech, I think, was part of that as well.	
18	Q	Yeah.	
19	Α	And the President did that.	
20	Q	Some people, in the wake of January 6th, in the Cabinet did resign. Did you	
21	talk, for exa	mple, to Secretary DeVos or Secretary Chao about their decisions to step	
22	away from	their positions on or after January 6th?	
23	Α	I can't remember with respect to Secretary DeVos. I may have.	
24	Secr	etary Chao, I believe I did speak to her at some point just to tell her that, you	

know, it had been an honor to serve with her.

1 Q Yeah. Α You know, I thought she was -- you know, she's been a Cabinet member 2 3 before. She was an effective Cabinet member. I had a good working relationship with her, and I have a very high regard for her. So I wanted to express that to her directly. 4 believe I did that. I can't remember at what point in time I did that. 5 Did she articulate to you why she felt strongly enough to resign? Q 6 7 Α I think that was obvious. I can't remember the details of what we talked 8 about. 9 Q Did you talk to others who didn't resign about whether they should, Cabinet members or other government officials, after January 6th? 10 Α Yes, I'm sure I did. 11 Who? 12 Q I'm sure I had discussions with Robert O'Brien. Robert and I were very 13 Α 14 close. We worked together; we spent time together. Q Yeah. 15 Α I think Robert also, as I said before, was getting calls that he needed to stay 16

17

there.

1	
2	[4:18 p.m.]
3	Is it fair to say that Mr. O'Brien thought about resigning but decided
4	not to?
5	Mr. <u>Cipollone</u> . That's fair.
6	Okay. Who else was in that category?
7	Mr. <u>Cipollone</u> . Maybe would now be a good time to take a five-minute break?
8	We've been going for a while.
9	We have, yeah.
10	Mr. <u>Cipollone</u> . It's getting late.
11	Sure. And we are getting close, I promise.
12	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I'm getting I'm a little tired.
13	I know. We're close to the end.
14	We will take our last five-minute break.
15	[Recess.]
16	ВУ
17	Q We're back on the record.
18	I think when we took a break, Mr. Cipollone, we were talking about whether or
19	not you had discussions with anyone else about the prospect of resignation. I'm just
20	wondering if you recall beyond Mr. O'Brien whether there were any such discussions?
21	A I'm sure there were such discussions. The other ones that I recall were
22	with members of my own staff, with and also with the deputies, Pat Philbin, Kate Todd,
23	other people who were working in the counsel's office.
24	Q Yeah. Do you recall talking to former Attorney General Barr during this
25	period? I know he had already left himself, but

1 A I'm sure I talked to Bill during the period.

Q You indicated that you stayed in your position as White House counsel even after General Barr resigned because you believed you had an important role to play and you were concerned about who might be chosen to fill your job. Is that sort of accurate in terms of why you personally decided to stay?

A Why I personally decided to stay was I thought that I could play a positive role. I thought that I could serve the country and the President. I thought that -- I was concerned about who might replace me. And those are the key reasons.

I felt like -- I didn't want to leave. I felt like I wanted to stay and I wanted to stay with my team. I wanted to stay working with the President and making what -- the contribution that I could make, along with many others, to the administration and to the country until January 20th.

Q Yeah. You mentioned that you had conversations with your own team about possible resignation. In his informal interview, Mr. Philbin told us that he considered resigning every day from approximately November 15th to the end of the administration. Does that comport with your understanding regarding Mr. Philbin and did you feel the same?

A That's correct. I'm sure that Mr. Philbin felt that way. I think the reality was, as I felt is, I don't know that I considered it every day. I went through each day and then I came back the next day. But I talked to Pat Philbin and others on a daily basis about these things.

Q Yeah. Mr. Philbin said that he considered resigning every day, quote, "because the whole thing was descending into a clown car. There were interlopers coming in and giving bad advice. There was no way to control and no way to prevent bad advice from being given to the President. Things were being done that were not

smart. I had a role in preventing that." 1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

2 Α I agree wholeheartedly with what Pat said. I agree that he had a very big role in preventing that. I'm grateful to him. I think the country should be grateful to 3 I think the President is and should be grateful to him in the role that he played.

And, yeah, I mean there were things that happened after that time. I remember there was one thing in particular that jumps to mind where Mike Lindell, the pillow man, was I think in the White House. He was going into the Oval Office.

And, again, I don't think this is something that the President wanted or maybe even knew about until these things would happen in some instances. I think this was probably one of them, because I think what happened was Robert O'Brien went down or President, my sense based on my interactions with Robert, appreciated it.

But Robert said, "Look, we need to -- these are things you should talk to the White House counsel about." I think he was just -- he didn't -- I think he was just doing that as a way of getting him out of the Oval, and then they brought him up to my office.

- Yeah, yeah. Were there other things like that, Mr. Cipollone, that between January 6th and the end of the administration you felt like you needed to bat back, push back against, prevent from happening?
- Α Again, nothing in particular comes to mind.
- 20 Q Okay.
 - You know, we were focused on doing the pardons, doing the transition. People were starting to off-board at that time and there was a whole schedule that had been put into place where people were off-boarding. So as days went by, we were working with a smaller and smaller legal staff. And we were working very, very hard, long hours to get things done.

1	And so were there instances like that? I didn't end up talking for very long to
2	Mike Lindell. There was a piece of paper that he had. I recall one of things on there, at
3	least in my recollection, was that I should be fired.
4	I didn't like the way he treated my assistant. And in the course of my
5	conversation with him, I confronted him about that. I said, "I understand you're here to
6	have me fired." And he said, "Well," and he got a little flustered and said it was actually
7	a lawyer who wanted that. And I said, "What? Which lawyer?" And he forgot their
8	name or something like that.
9	And so I don't know, he ended up speaking to one of my colleagues for a little bit,
10	but that was that. Things like that might happen on occasion. I don't know who was
11	involved in causing those things to happen. I didn't get the sense that the President, at
12	least in that instance, was involved in that.
13	Ms. Cheney, I see you have turned your camera on. Go ahead.
14	Ms. Cheney. Thank you.
15	Pat, so the Mr. Lindell was in the Oval Office? Is that what I understand?
16	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I think this has all been publicly reported, is my understanding.
17	didn't see him in the Oval Office, I wasn't there, I was told by Robert that he was
18	Robert O'Brien.
19	Mr. Cipollone. Robert O'Brien, that he was in or outside or seeking to get into
20	the Oval Office, is my understanding. And then Robert O'Brien did what I just said he
21	did. That's what he told me. He brought him up to my office.
22	I couldn't Robert came into my office. I couldn't see him, Mike Lindell, at that
23	point. Then he went downstairs into the waiting area of the White House, the reception
24	area.

And then my assistant, Kate, went down. I said, "You know, you need to tell him

1	I'm not going to" I had other meetings I had to go to and I wasn't able to see him. So
2	she reported that. I wasn't there for that.
3	But he got agitated with her in a way that I didn't appreciate. And when she
4	ultimately brought him up, I asked him to apologize to her, and then I talked to him for a
5	few minutes.
6	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Do you know who cleared him into the White House?
7	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Have no idea.
8	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
9	We presented some public testimony here that I'm sure you've
10	seen, Mr. Cipollone, about Jared Kushner talking about the prospect of you resigning.
11	Specifically he was asked, "Are you aware of instances where Pat Cipollone
12	threatened to resign?"
13	He said, "I kind of, like I said, my interest at that time was on trying to get as many
14	pardons done. And I know that, you know, he was always him and his team were
15	always saying, 'Oh, we're going resign. We're not going to be here if this happens, if that
16	happens.' So I kind of took it up to be whining, to be honest with you."
17	Do you have any reaction or response to that?
18	Mr. Purpura. Actually, I do, because he's not going to say it. That's
19	nonsense. Okay. I'll tell you as someone I'm not testifying here, but I'm just giving a
20	perspective as someone who worked for him 2 years in a very high stress, impactful
21	environment.
22	He behaved with a cool head, level thinking levelheaded thinking at all times.
23	And he didn't threaten things lightly, as he's already testified to. And he most certainly
24	did not whine about anything in the White House. He handled a very difficult job in very
25	difficult circumstances extraordinarily well.

1	Yeah.
2	Mr. Purpura. And it is unfair for someone to cast that about Pat.
3	Mike, I appreciate that perspective. I guess I just have to give
4	you
5	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> I want to
6	Yeah. Go ahead.
7	Ms. Cheney. I want say something.
8	Please.
9	Ms. Cheney. I not only appreciate that perspective, but I share it and am gratef
LO	for the role that Pat played and for the job that Pat did. And his service deserves to be
l1	recognized. So I want to associate myself with your comments.
L2	Mr. Purpura. Thank you, Representative Cheney.
L3	ВУ
L4	Q Anything at all, Mr. Cipollone, that you want to say about that?
L5	A Well, I appreciate that, Mike.
L6	And I appreciate that, Representative Cheney.
L7	I'll give my perspective on it.
L8	Q Yeah.
L9	A I didn't really pay too much attention to that comment, you know. And m
20	general view of Jared is that he's a friend of mine. He's a very, very capable person.
21	He played a positive role in the White House. I worked closely with him on a lot of
22	things. I think highly of him.
23	I think, as I said, I think highly of Ivanka. I think they were great to work with.
24	They're incredibly talented. I consider them friends. I don't really think he meant tha
)5	at the time. But, again, that's what I have to say about that.

1	Q	Yeah. Over the course I don't want to belabor what you answered in
2	response to	earlier questions, but did you consider resigning after that during or after
3	that Decem	ber 18th meeting where there were discussions of Sidney Powell as special
4	counsel and	the seizure of voting machines? Was that one of the triggering incidents
5	that	
6	Α	I mean look, again, just to make the point hopefully one last time.
7	Considering	and vocalizing, you know, are two different things. So did I vocalize to
8	anybody wh	nere it would matter in terms of, like, okay this is there were probably, you
9	know, three	specific instances about that.
LO	But,	no, I mean I think I've talked about this.
l1	Q	You have.
L2	Α	I didn't resign, I didn't want to resign.
L3	Q	Okay.
L4	Α	I wanted to stay working with the President. He ultimately by the way,
L5	he could ha	ve fired me at any moment and he didn't.
L6	Q	Yeah.
L7	Α	Okay. And that's part I think that, you know, he wanted my advice. And
L8	continued.	And he made the decisions, particularly during the pardon process. Okay.
L9	At the end o	of the day, and I can say this publicly, it's the President's decision on who to
20	pardon.	
21	Q	Yes.

He has broad pardon power. And he ultimately chose not to exercise this

25 Q Yeah.

his decision.

22

23

- A And I stayed till the last day. And, you know, actually on the night before
 the last day, I expressed my thanks to the President. And he expressed to me his thanks.

 And it was very cordial.
 - Q Yeah. I want to ask you a couple things about pardons. But just to recap, I think you testified today that you considered resigning over the Jeff Clark appointment, over the pressure placed upon the Vice President about January 6th, and over the prospect of some pardons that you thought were unwise. Is that all? Am I accurately characterizing --
 - A Well, I think -- again, I think this is enough discussion of resigning in my view, you know, would be my submission to you.
 - I think some of the things you said just in that recitation weren't exactly the way I said that, particularly the word "pressure" and things like this.
- But I said what I said. I think you understand at this point. I didn't resign. I stayed till the last day. I walked out.
 - You know, I was involved in the transition. I reached out to any successor, Dana Remus. I had conversations with her. I told her to come to the White House, don't wait till noon, come and get situated in the office. She did that. We had another good conversation on that day. And then I left.
- 19 Q Yeah.

- A I got in my car and went home.
 - Q In our informal discussion, moving to pardons, you said that you were working on pardons, you were focused on pardons and commutations of, you said, regular people who had been harmed in some way by the justice system, that you felt very good about what the administration was able to do in that area. But there were other requests for pardons that were reported on in the news about which you had

strong	opinions.
36,0116	opinions.

If you could just sort of summarize for us what were those pardons about which you had strong opinions, negative opinions, that they should not be --

A I believe I've already summarized those for you. Let me say with respect to the first thing, yes, I mean the President, again, one of his accomplishments, great accomplishments, was pardons and commutations for people who deserved them, for regular people who had been in prison for a long time, had sentences that did not make sense in my view. I believe that criminal justice reform that happened was a very good thing.

So I was -- the pardon process in many ways, including my work with Jared in that process, was very positive by and large and for the most part, and the President was very interested in doing that.

So there were some pardons that were discussed publicly. And I'm not even suggesting how often they were actually discussed privately. I'm not going to get into that. Okav.

But some of the things that were -- or how seriously they were really taken internally. Okay. I think sometimes what you read in the newspapers in terms of the seriousness of consideration may not reflect the reality of how seriously certain things were taken.

In my view, as I said, some of the pardons that were discussed in the media were by some people outside the White House as well. Pardons related to January 6th, no good. Didn't happen, President chose not to do that.

General pardons of people. I mean, in terms of broad pardons for large groups of people, that was not done.

Ms. Cheney, I thought you had come off. I'm sorry.

1	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> I did. Thank you, See
2	Okay.
3	Ms. Cheney. I just wanted to go back for just a minute.
4	Pat, during the attack itself, during the violence, was the President making calls to
5	people on the Hill to urge that they continue to delay the count.
6	Mr. Cipollone. I don't I don't know I don't know. I don't have a recollection
7	of that. There were a lot for example, I looked at the call log that you put that
8	showed me. I wasn't aware of some of those calls, a lot of those calls that were
9	happening, I don't have a recollection about that.
10	Ms. Cheney. And are you aware whether or not during the violence the
11	President was in contact with Rudy Giuliani, who was in the war room at the Willard?
12	Mr. Cipollone. I didn't have a lot of insight into a war room at the Willard or any
13	of that. I've seen on the call log, I believe there is a call with Rudy Giuliani, but I'm not
14	the person to talk about what was happening over at the war room. And a lot of that
15	stuff I was not aware of or had any insight into as a general matter at the time.
16	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> And beyond the meeting on the 18th, are you aware of other
17	contacts between the President and Mike Flynn?
18	Mr. Cipollone. I don't. If you have one in particular that you're asking about.
19	Nothing comes to mind, no.
20	Ms. Cheney. Did you express concerns about the activities of Mike Flynn in
21	conjunction with January 6th?
22	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I don't I don't recall having a specific understanding of Mike
23	Flynn or what he was doing with respect to January 6th. I expect I expressed general
24	concern with Mike Flynn and some of the things he was saying, particularly at that
25	meeting on December 18th, and I was pretty direct about that.

1	So, yes, I expressed my concern about ideas being promoted at that meeting and
2	generally in my understanding related to Mike Flynn. As it relates to January 6th, I
3	don't have a specific recollection about that.
4	Ms. Cheney. Okay. Thank you.
5	And were you aware of discussions between the President and Roger Stone?
6	Mr. Cipollone. No, not that I can recall. I remember there were issues
7	surrounding pardons that Roger Stone had some involvement in. And I've subsequently
8	read in newspaper articles about that stuff. But I didn't really think about, you know,
9	the President communicating with Roger Stone or I wasn't really aware of that. Could it
10	have happened? Maybe it did. But the President talks to a lot of people, or did, you
11	know, still does.
12	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Has the President talked to you recently?
13	Mr. Cipollone. Not recently, no. But I did have communications and
14	conversations with the President since that time, particularly in the context of Mike and I
15	and Pat were designated as and others were designated on dealing with requests to
16	the Archives. So in that context and just other conversations.
17	But with respect to any I have not. Mike, obviously, my counsel, has had
18	communication with the President's counsel on these issues, particularly as it relates to
19	privilege. I had a communication with the President that's reflected in the email that we
20	have. I'm not sure if that
21	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> [Inaudible.]
22	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> No? Okay.
23	But basically what happened was when the committee was asking me to appear I
24	have again, as I said, the privilege is not mine. I would have to get the direction of the

President as to that privilege, the former President.

1	And I think you were dealing, we were dealing with was it Justin Clark at the time
2	and Alex Cannon. And then there was you were talking with Mike and we worked out
3	an agreement as to what I could say. Then I got approval of that from the President.
4	It first came from Justin Clark, but then I wanted to get on a call with the President
5	and with Mike and Pat Philbin and with his attorneys and just to raise these issues and
6	make sure that I was hearing it directly and then would later be reflected in a writing of
7	what exactly I am authorized to talk about and what I'm not.
8	The privilege, as I said, lawyer privilege doesn't belong to me. And I wanted to
9	make sure that there was a clear record and understanding of what I was authorized to
10	discuss and what I wasn't.
11	Ms. Cheney. And so in and I appreciate that. In the context of any of those
12	discussions, has the President talked to you about the substance of your testimony?
13	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> The substance of my testimony? Not that
14	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Yes.
15	Mr. Cipollone. No, not that I can recall, no.
16	Ms. Cheney. And has the President's lawyers talked to your counsel about the
17	substance of your testimony?
18	Mr. Purpura. I can say, no, they have not.
19	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.
20	And has anybody else from Trump world reached out to you, Pat? And I know I
21	mentioned yesterday that we were going to ask you about this and just to remind you
22	that the committee's got evidence of outreach of concern that's happened in other
23	circumstances.
24	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Can I answer here's how I would answer that question. I'm
25	here testifying truthfully. Okay. I don't feel personally as if anybody has attempted in

2	you're saying, and my testimony belongs to me.
3	Ms. Cheney. And I have no question about that. I appreciate that. And I
4	suppose the question is not whether you have been susceptible to any pressure but
5	whether any pressure has been
6	Mr. Cipollone. I don't feel like any pressure has been exerted. Now, after it
7	became public that, you know, you wanted the committee wanted to hear more from
8	me, obviously it became a big public issue. And then I started getting emails from
9	people I don't know and all sorts of stuff along those lines.
10	But none of that did they say what I should do and did people give me advice?
11	Yeah. But, no, I don't feel like anything that rises to the level of what you're talking
12	about was involved with me.
13	Ms. Cheney. Who's contacted you?
14	Mr. Cipollone. Well, what I was just talking about was just people, strangers that
15	I don't know emailing me and sending me multiple emails about what I should do.
16	Ms. Cheney. What about people that you know contacting you from within
17	Trump world.
18	Mr. Cipollone. I mean, again, I don't about my testimony in particular?
19	Again, I don't want to go through and there are people who I've talked to, my lawyers
20	and other advisers, you know, and things like that.
21	But, no, I don't contacted me directly? I don't I'm trying to think. Not in
22	the way that you're asking the question.
23	Ms. Cheney. How about at all? I'm asking you if anybody from The Trump
24	Organization has contacted you about your testimony?
25	Mr. Cipollone. Again, people from representing President Trump have

a way that would be inappropriate. My testimony, I'm testifying truthfully to what

contacted Mike and we've discussed issues related to privilege and Mike had those 1 2 communications. And with respect to other people contacting parties like, you know, who I know? Again, I don't think with respect to the substance of my testimony, that's 3 4 not how I understand that. 5 Ms. Cheney. And so, what has the contact been, beyond the privilege discussions? 6 7 Mr. Cipollone. With me? There hasn't been a lot of direct contact about that 8 stuff. I mean, I think -- and, again, some of these people are my friends and I don't think 9 they were contacting me. I think Eric Herschmann probably contacted Mike or Jay or 10 something. 11 But other than that, you know, again, so much has happened in my life in the past couple weeks in dealing with this. And all I can say is I think I've answered your 12 13 question. I'm not going to delineate all the contacts. I don't feel like I've been contacted in a manner that was aimed at influencing the substance of my testimony. 14 That's my feeling. And so --15 Ms. Cheney. Have you heard from Mark Meadows? 16 Mr. Cipollone. I heard from Mark Meadows before all this. He sent me -- he 17 called and left a voicemail on my phone related to overturning Roe v. Wade. And so I 18 think it was the day of or the day after. 19 20 I listened to the message. I got Kate Todd on the phone and we called Mark back. And it was just a minute or two. I don't remember how long the phone call, but 21

25 Mr. Cipollone. No, no.

committee?

it was a phone call related to that, not about the testimony.

Ms. Cheney. But you didn't discuss with him your appearance in front of the

22

23

Ms. Cheney. What about --1 2 Mr. Cipollone. He was happy -- he was happy about the results in the Supreme 3 Court and that's what he was calling to express an opinion about with me. 4 Ms. Cheney. And what about George Terwilliger? 5 Mr. Cipollone. Did I talk to George? No, not directly. I think George --Mr. Purpura. I had a conversation with Mr. Terwilliger that was based solely on 6 7 privilege lines, nothing about any substance. 8 Ms. Cheney. And what was Mr. Terwilliger's role with respect to privilege lines? 9 Mr. Purpura. Well, I think he asked -- I think they have a pending lawsuit. And 10 so he asked where we were going to draw the privilege line. 11 Ms. Cheney. Did you consult with him about where it should be drawn in conjunction with the lawsuit? 12 Mr. Purpura. 13 No. Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Have you heard from any other counsel? 14 Mr. Purpura. Not in relation to this. I will say that Greg Jacobs' counsel 15 contacted me, again about privilege lines and where they go. And I had talked with him 16 previously. 17 Ms. Cheney. And what about Alex Cannon and Justin Clark? 18 Mr. Purpura. No. 19 20 Mr. Cipollone. I think in the context is my recollection of trying to get, you know, again clarity on this testimony that I'm doing. Didn't --21 22 Mr. Purpura. No, we didn't talk --Mr. Cipollone. We tried to reach out to them and they told you that somebody 23

Ms. Cheney. And after that, that individual's the person you spoke to?

else represents the President now.

24

1	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> Yes.
2	Ms. Cheney. And what about Pam Bondi?
3	Mr. Purpura. I've spoken did you speak with Pam?
4	Mr. Cipollone. Well, Pam Bondi is a friend of mine. Someone on my staff was
5	married recently in Ireland and I went to the wedding with my wife and Pam was there.
6	You know, Pam's a friend. I did not I haven't spoken directly with Pam Bondi and
7	certainly not about the substance of my testimony.
8	Ms. Cheney. What about Matt Schlapp or Mercedes Schlapp?
9	Mr. <u>Cipollone</u> . No, I haven't talked to Matt Schlapp in a long time or Mercedes.
10	They are friends of mine. Mercedes worked Mercy worked in the White House.
11	Try and remember. I think I saw Matt last time at a Notre Dame event here in
12	Washington at a dinner, I saw him there. I saw Representative Kinzinger speak at that
13	event. So that's the last time I believe I saw Matt.
14	Ms. Cheney. All right. Thank you.
15	Thanks, Ms. Cheney.
16	Is there a joint defense agreement or common interest agreement that would
17	allow you to share information with other counsel within the privilege?
18	[Discussion off the record.]
19	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> There is not.
20	Okay. And have you received, Mr. Cipollone, any financial
21	assistance to pay the fees of your very able and experienced counsel?
22	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> No, but
23	[Laughter.]
24	Is there a fee or is this a pro bono arrangement?
25	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> We will talk.

1	IVII.	<u>cipolione.</u> That remains to be seen. Wrike has been a great mend to me
2	and is help	ing.
3		ВУ
4	Q	The relevant question, though, is you haven't received any financial
5	assistance t	from any entity to pay legal fees.
6	Α	For me?
7	Q	You have not?
8	Α	No, I wouldn't no, I would pay my own legal fees.
9	Q	Yeah. To put a finer point on Ms. Cheney's questions, it sounds like you
10	said no one	e has attempted to influence your testimony before the select committee in
11	any way.	Is that right?
12	Α	Again, without going over everything I said or characterizing it, in my view I
13	don't know	what's in somebody else's mind. I didn't have that sense. A lot of people
14	have attem	pted to tell me I should or shouldn't do, you know, but that's just the public
15	stuff.	
16	Q	Yeah. I understand. I'm not looking for the public stuff, I'm looking for
17	whether ar	nybody tried to put any sort of pressure on you or
18	Α	Pressure?
19	Q	influence your testimony in any way, tell you what you should or shouldn't
20	say or do h	ere before the select committee.
21	Α	No. No not no.
22	Q	All right.
23	Α	I, you know, obviously thought through myself and I consult with advisers
24	and some o	of my lawyers and other people who I know whose opinion about what I should
25	do and kind	d of the issues that are at work in terms of me and some of my beliefs about

1	obviously co	ommitment to the rule of law.
2	Q	Yeah.
3	Α	But also the privilege and all that. But no.
4	Q	Let me just go back to the pardons issue and just a couple of other things.
5	Do y	ou remember any discussion about the President considering pardoning
6	Mr. Giuliani	after January 6th?
7	Α	I think well, not with respect to discussions I'm not going to talk about
8	discussions	with the President or what he was considering or not considering.
9	l ren	nember that issue came up at some point. And I thought I heard from
10	somebody t	hat Rudy Giuliani didn't want a pardon.
11	Q	Okay.
12	Α	But I don't again, I wasn't tracking exactly who was talking to who about a
13	pardon for	Rudy Giuliani.
14	Q	You have testified that I think there were some sort of pardons that made
15	sense, pard	ons of I think you used the term "regular people" versus pardons that did
16	not make se	ense.
17	Wou	ıld a possible pardon of Rudy Giuliani be on the latter side, a pardon that
18	would not h	nave made sense, in your view?
19	Α	Again, I don't without going into I don't think this was it didn't happen,
20	it wasn't on	e that was
21	Q	Right.
22	Α	I told you what I thought I heard I think thirdhand from somebody that that
23	was Rudy's	position, but I don't know. And I don't remember having any significant
24	consideration	on of such a thing through the formal I mean, we had a process, okay, where

people would submit petitions. Jared's team was working with our team. We were

1	working with DOJ pardon counsel to the extent that we needed. And we were working
2	with someone in Jeff Rosen's office. And there was a list and submissions, and I don't
3	recall that one being in that process.
4	Q Okay. Did Members of Congress seek pardons after January 6th?
5	A Again, I don't the only one that immediately comes to mind, because I
6	heard about it, was I think Pat and Eric had a conversation with Congressman Gaetz, I
7	think. And so I'm sure they've relayed that. I know that.
8	Did I hear about others? That wasn't something that I thought was a good idea,
9	not something that I viewed as being actively considered in any serious way.
10	Q Do you remember any other Members of Congress being discussed as
11	wanting pardons or potentially be considered for pardons, besides Representative Gaetz
12	A I don't. Again, if you have a specific question, please. And, again, you
13	know I respect you. I'm getting to the point in the day where
14	Q I know.
15	A I don't. If you have specific people who you want me to answer a
16	question about, I'll try. As I sit here, I'm not recalling. As a general matter I've said
17	repeatedly I didn't think that was a good idea, it didn't happen.
18	Q Okay. I think you also testified
19	A Now, there were some, I believe, former Members of Congress that had
20	been involved in being charged or something, but that's not what you're talking about.
21	Q No. I think you testified that you didn't think it made sense for the
22	President to pardon himself or his family members. That would have been a bad idea.
23	Is that right?
24	A That's my view and I and the President didn't do that. That was also the

view of other people.

1	You know, you have to be a little bit careful, because, you know, I've obviously I				
2	have to watch the privilege, so there's only so much I can say.				
3	Q I know.				
4	A But when you say people and people's pardon consideration of people,				
5	you just said family members and things like this. I mean, just because something is out				
6	in the paper or people are talking about it in the media or whatever, that doesn't mean				
7	that people certain people and I'm not going to go point by point wanted pardons				
8	or were receiving pardons. I think you just need to be not you, but everyone needs to				
9	be careful about that.				
LO	Q I agree completely, Mr. Cipollone. And that's why I'm asking you whether				
l1	or not you remember discussions about certain pardons, not things that were reported,				
L2	but discussions that occurred that you were either				
L3	A Again, on that one, I remember that that, you know, sort of like not just but				
L4	kind of more broadly. But those were discussed and the President ultimately, you know,				
L5	that's public, didn't do that. So that				
L6	Q Yeah.				
L7	Ms. Cheney, go ahead.				
L8	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you.				
L9	I just wanted to ask about Eric Herschmann has told the committee, as have two				
20	other witnesses, that Mr. Gaetz I think you mentioned that Mr. Gaetz was seeking a				
21	pardon, potentially Mr. Biggs as well. I wonder if you had discussions with Mr.				
22	Herschmann about those.				
23	Mr. Cipollone. I'm sure I did. I know about definitely about Mr. Gaetz. I				
24	think he wanted to talk to them. I did not get on that phone call. And I have no reason				
25	to dispute what Mr. Herschmann said about that or about anybody else who he says.				

1	ne was involved in the pardon process. The was working with us in the pardon			
2	process. He wasn't Eric wasn't technically in the White House Counsel's Office. He			
3	was an adviser to the President, but he wasn't formerly part of the White House Counsel'			
4	Office.			
5	And with respect to Eric, I just remembered in terms of communications with him,			
6	not related to your question, but just to put it out there, a while back before this			
7	happened he invited me and some other people to a dinner at his house in New Jersey fo			
8	August and I called him and said, yeah, I would try my best to make it.			
9	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Okay. Thank you.			
10	Last question on pardons. I just want to quote to you, we			
11	interviewed, as you know, Secretary Scalia and he had some recollection of a discussion			
12	with you, Mr. Cipollone, on December the oh, sorry, on January 14th, when he went to			
13	your office after meeting with the President.			
14	And he said that during the conversation he conveyed to you, Secretary Scalia			
15	conveyed to you his understanding of President Trump was that we was not going to			
16	proceed with widespread pardons, including pardons of family members.			
17	You replied that you too believed that that was not the President's current			
18	intention and that while it could change at the moment, you were hopeful that that's			
19	where they were?			
20	Do you recall that conversation with Secretary Scalia about the prospect of these			
21	family or widespread			
22	A Now that you say it, yeah, I do recall that, and I think that accurately reflects			
23	the conversation. I didn't believe that the President was going to do that. And that's			
24	because he was going make the decision not to do it. Again, ultimately his decision.			
25	Okay. All right.			

1	Anything, from you?			
2	Nothing from me.			
3	Anyone else in the room?			
4				
5	Just one question.			
6	Did you at the time leading up to January 6th or after, did you ever have occasion			
7	to advise other White House staff that they shouldn't engage with the President about			
8	any particular topics out of concern for their own legal liability?			
9	Mr. Cipollone. I don't I don't remember that. In the time leading up to			
LO	January 6th? I don't know. But there were times where I, you know, there were times			
11	where, you know, there were younger people around in sort of in terms of the staff.			
L2	And could I have said, hey, you know, don't go in there or something like that? Maybe.			
L3	But I don't remember a particular occasion.			
L4	And I'm talking about specific issues that you thought would put			
L5	them in legal jeopardy if they engaged with them on those topics.			
L6	Mr. Cipollone. I don't have a recollection of that, of something like that.			
L7	Okay.			
L8	Mr. Chairman, I see you're on. Do you have any questions or			
L9	anything you want to say before we stop?			
20	Well, Ms. Cheney, I see you've come off once again. Anything else that you			
21	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you,			
22	Yeah.			
23	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> I just wanted to ask about there was another speech the President			
24	gave on January 13th which was the day that he was impeached. And I wonder if you			

had any discussions, Pat, with anybody on the Hill about that speech or the arrangements

1	for his trial?				
2	Mr. Cipollone. I don't recall conversations. I had a lot of conversations with				
3	people on the Hill over this time period and generally. I don't recall conversations abou				
4	preparing or the procedure for the impeachment trial.				
5	I was not going I was not going to be I was obviously counsel in the first				
6	impeachment. I wasn't going to be counsel in this impeachment.				
7	And so, did I have a conversation or put somebody in contact or talk to people				
8	about, you know, our experiences and procedural issues? I'm sure I did. But I was				
9	not I was not representing the President in the second impeachment.				
10	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> And why was that?				
11	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I mean, for a lawyer, one impeachment is probably enough. Bu				
12	also it was not it was look, my view was you know my views that I've expressed here				
13	and I didn't think I was the right person to be representing the President in the second				
14	impeachment trial, and I expressed that.				
15	Ms. <u>Cheney.</u> Thank you, Pat. And do you know why the President decided to				
16	make the speech on January 13th?				
17	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> I'm even trying to remember which can you give me a clue as				
18	to what the speech which				
19	Ms. Cheney. It was a speech essentially right in the aftermath of the vote to				
20	impeach in the House. And he gave a speech about that and about what had happened				
21	on January 6th.				
22	Mr. <u>Cipollone.</u> Again, I, you know, I probably knew at the time. I probably, you				
23	know look, I was involved obviously in advising the President and giving				

my -- continuing to give my frank advice on these types of things. But I don't remember

this speech in particular. It sounds like it was the one right -- it was after the vote of

24

impeachment and he gave the speech? 1 2 Ms. Cheney. It was, yeah. Mr. Cipollone. Yeah, I'm -- I wouldn't doubt that we were involved in at least 3 4 looking at that, but I don't have a specific recollection. If I got the speech and I looked at 5 things, I could probably remember. Ms. Cheney. Great. Thank you. I appreciate it. 6 7 Thank you, Ms. Cheney. 8 Mr. Raskin, if you have something, I see you also came off of mute. 9 Mr. Raskin. Yeah, thank you. 10 And, Mr. Cipollone, I'm sorry that you weren't at the second impeachment, I think 11 they could have used you there. But I wanted to just ask you about one word that you used --12 Mr. Cipollone. I think I was in Florida. 13 Mr. Raskin. Oh, lucky you. 14 15 You called this a national tragedy, which in some sense it certainly was. But would you also call the events of January 6th a political crime? 16 Mr. Cipollone. I'm not -- again, I'm not here to choose those or assent to those 17 kinds of words. I said what I said. I gave my view. 18 Mr. Raskin. Fair enough. 19 20 Mr. Cipollone. I'm not even sure what -- well, anyway. Mr. Raskin. Because you used that word and it just -- it caught my attention. 21 22 I'm wondering, well, what kind of tragedy you think it was. I mean, was it like a natural tragedy or was it tragedy in the sense that it flowed from one person's tragic flaws? 23 Mr. Cipollone. Again, I'm not here to answer those types of questions in my 24

view. I appreciate why you're asking them. I understand that. But particularly at this

1	point in the day, Representative Raskin, I think it would be wise of me not to engage in				
2	that kind of discussion or questioning from a talented lawyer like you.				
3	Mr. Raskin. Fair enough. I bid you farewell. And I thank you for your focus				
4	today.				
5	I yield back.				
6	Any other questions? No?				
7	All right. If not, then Mr. Cipollone I just want to echo the thanks. We				
8	appreciate it. We could not do this work without people like you who were engaged in				
9	the events that are the focus of the select committee's work coming forward.				
10	And we appreciate your cooperation back in April through today. Very much				
11	appreciate it. Thank you for your willingness to come and answer questions for				
12	whatever it's been, 8 hours.				
13	Mr. <u>Cipollone</u> . Has it been 8 hours?				
14	I think it has.				
15	Mr. <u>Purpura.</u> Okay.				
16	All right. With that, I believe				
17	Mr. Cipollone. Thank you, and thank you again for your				
18	consideration and your entire team through this process.				
19	Thank you very much.				
20	All right. With that, we'll go off the record.				
21	[Whereupon, at 5:14 p.m., the interview was concluded.]				

1	Certificate of Deponent/Interviewee				
2					
3					
4	I have read the foregoing pages, which contain the correct transcript of the				
5	answers made by me to the questions therein recorded.				
6					
7					
8					
9					
10		Witness Name			
11					
12					
13					
14		Date			
15					